

The Principles of the 'General and Czech History' Commission for the Evaluation of Dissertations and the Person of the Applicant for the 'DSc.' Degree

The work of the commissions proceeds from the Rules for the Granting of the 'Doctor of Sciences' Degree in the ASCR issued as the Guidelines of the Academic Council of the ASCR No. 5/2014, from the General Principles for the Evaluation of Dissertations and Persons of an Applicant binding for all commissions issued by the Panel for the Granting of a Scientific Title of 'Doctor of Sciences', and governed by the Regulatory Procedure to the Rules for the Granting of the 'Doctor of Sciences' Degree.

1. General Criteria for the Evaluation of the Dissertations and Persons of the Applicants

The dissertation is understood to be an original scientific text of at least 400 pages in length in one of the following forms:

- a) a published monograph
- b) a collection of published works of a monothematic focus with proven foreign responses
- c) an extensive collective monograph with extraordinarily important and precisely specified co-authorial share
- d) a source edition with a fundamental scientific study as the introduction which will be the subject of the defence

Inter alia, the commission will evaluate the engagement of the applicant in the resolution of research plans, grant projects, projects of the EU and his/her share in university education and the guidance of doctoral candidates.

2. Materials Required for the Defence:

Materials listed in the 'Rules':

- a) certified proof of the successful completion of university education (diploma);
- b) certified proof of the granting of the academic Ph.D. degree position or proof of the granting of the scientific degree of Candidate of Sciences or proof of the granting of a title of the same standing;
- c) a curriculum vitae with an overview of the scientific work so far;
- d) the list of publications that form the background materials of the dissertation (according to the requirements defined in the 'Rules');
- e) the theses of the dissertation in ten (10) copies,
- f) the dissertation in five (5) copies,
- g) a statement of in what labour relation the dissertation was created,
- h) an expression of your own scientific contribution and share of the work if the scientific results listed in the dissertation were acquired with co-authors,
- i) written opinions of two Doctors of Sciences or Professors (See Art. III, Sect. 4),
- j) if the applicant presents a new dissertation in the same field in a new proceedings for the granting of a scientific title, a statement of who issued the denial decision, the title of the original dissertation and the definition of the differences between.

Besides the above-mentioned materials, the commission requires the presentation of the following supplementary materials:

Supplementary documentation for the dissertation submitted which is a prerequisite for the commencement of the proceedings

a) at least two monographs

b) a bibliography of at least forty (40) studies in scientific journals and anthologies with a special emphasis on studies in reviewed periodicals, of which a part has been published abroad

c) at least ten (10) weighty scientific reviews

d) a structured list of publications. The list is structured in the following way:

A. Monographs.

B. Chapters in monographs.

C. Original scientific works.

C1 Works published in professional journals issued abroad

C2 Works published in professional journals issued in the Czech Republic

C3 Works published in reviewed anthologies issued abroad

C4 Works published in reviewed anthologies issued in the Czech Republic

With journals from group **C1** (or **C2**), the impact factor if it exists can be listed after the citation.

D. Other specialised works according to the consideration of the applicant (e.g. dissertations (rigorous *viva voce*), candidate, doctoral, habilitation etc.), contributions in anthologies (besides **C3**, **C4**), synopses and collective abstracts, anthologies (editor or co-editor), translations of popularisation works, textbook texts etc. Works of various character should be distinctly differentiated.

E. Invited lectures (*invited speaker*) according to the consideration of the applicant. Only the main lectures at important international congresses, conferences etc. are listed. Provide the full data on the conferences and if possible also a reference to the relevant citation of the contribution in the proceedings from the list of works by the applicant.

F. Review responses of the dissertation publications with a special emphasis on the foreign review responses.

21 January 2014

prof. PhDr. Josef Žemlička, DrSc.
Chairperson of the Commission

Discussed and approved on 5 July 2014 by the Panel for the 'Doctor of Sciences' Degree.

prof. Ing. Karel Ulbrich, DrSc.
Chairperson of the Panel for the 'Doctor of Sciences' Degree