

## **The Principles of the 'Immunology' Commission for the Evaluation of the Dissertations and Persons of the Applicants for the 'DSc.' Degree**

The work of the commissions proceeds from the Rules for the Granting of the 'Doctor of Sciences' Degree in the ASCR issued as the Guidelines of the Academic Council of the ASCR No. 5/2014, from the General Principles for the Evaluation of Dissertations and Persons of an Applicant binding for all commissions issued by the Panel for the Granting of a Scientific Title of 'Doctor of Sciences', and governed by the Regulatory Procedure to the Rules for the Granting of the 'Doctor of Sciences' Degree.

### **1. General Criteria for the Evaluation of the Dissertations and Persons of the Applicants**

The applicant must fulfil the criterion of an internationally recognised person in the relevant (sub)field. At the same time, the independence and originality of the scientific work of the applicant must be clearly evident. It is usually an adequate form of foreign cooperation in the resolution of the applicant's problem and the presentation of a part of the results of the applicant's work in the form of a synoptic article in a quality international journal, or in the forms of a monograph or a chapter in it.

The quantifiable criteria include:

1. At least twenty (20) publications in impact journals with an IF > 1, of which the applicant must be the corresponding author in at least ten (10) articles;
2. At least 300 citations according to the ISI Web of Knowledge. The applicant must submit a list of the rate of citation of the individual works in the form of references to the publication where these works are cited;
3. The applicant must be (or have been) the investigator of at least two of his/her own grants of the Czech Science Foundation, the Grant Agency of the AS, the MEYS or foreign grants;
4. The applicant must be the advisor of at least one doctoral candidate of his/her own who successfully defended his/her dissertation;
5. Besides these criteria, the applicant must fulfil the general principles for the evaluation of an applicant for the scientific degree of 'Doctor of Sciences' and his/her dissertation must be valid for all of the commissions.

### **2. Materials Required for the Defence:**

Materials listed in the 'Rules':

- a) certified proof of the successful completion of university education (diploma);
- b) certified proof of the granting of the academic Ph.D. degree position or proof of the granting of the scientific degree of Candidate of Sciences or proof of the granting of a title of the same standing;
- c) a curriculum vitae with an overview of the scientific work so far;
- d) the list of publications that form the background materials of the dissertation (according to the requirements defined in the 'Rules');
- e) the theses of the dissertation in ten (10) copies,

- f) the dissertation in five (5) copies,
- g) a statement of in what labour relation the dissertation was created,
- h) an expression of your own scientific contribution and share of the work if the scientific results listed in the dissertation were acquired with co-authors,
- i) written opinions of two Doctors of Sciences or Professors (See Art. III, Sect. 4),
- j) if the applicant presents a new dissertation in the same field in a new proceedings for the granting of a scientific title, a statement of who issued the denial decision, the title of the original dissertation and the definition of the differences between.

30 January 2014

RNDr. Martin Bilej, DrSc.  
Chairperson of the Commission

---

Discussed and approved on 5 July 2014 by the Panel for the 'Doctor of Sciences' Degree.

prof. Ing. Karel Ulbrich, DrSc.  
Chairperson of the Panel for the 'Doctor of Sciences' Degree