

The Principles of the “Philosophy” Commission for the Evaluation of Dissertations and the Person of the Applicant for the ‘Res. Prof.’ Degree

The work of the commission proceeds from the Rules for the Granting of the ‘Doctor of Sciences’ Degree in the CAS issued as the Guidelines of the Academic Council of the CAS No. 5/2014, from the General Principles for the Evaluation of Dissertations and Persons of an Applicant binding for all commissions issued by the Panel for the Granting of a Scientific Title of ‘Research Professor, and governed by the Regulatory Procedure to the Rules for the Granting of the ‘Research Professor’ Degree.

1. General Criteria for the Evaluation of the Dissertation and Person of the Applicant

The granting of the degree Res. Prof. is confirmation of the seriousness of the applicant's contribution to the development of philosophical research and reflection of the respect he/she has reached in his field. When assessing the novelty and theoretical reach of the applicant's results, the Commission takes into account the domestic and international response to his publications, statements of renowned personalities active in the field of the applicant's research and the opinion of the opponents of the applicant's dissertation. It takes into account the applicant's educational, conceptual and scientific-organizational activities, the successfully completed projects and grants, appearances at international conferences, activity at foreign universities and scientific institutions, the applicant's representation in national and international editorial boards and scientific societies, etc.

A prerequisite for **initiating proceedings** is:

- **at least one previously published scientific monograph** (except the dissertation submitted);
- **at least 40 research major articles** published in scientific (especially peer-reviewed) journals, collective monographs or anthologies, part of which issued abroad, with positive peer review and, if possible, registered citation responses at home and abroad.

As a **dissertation**, the following can be considered:

- (a) an original work of a monographic nature
- (b) a comprehensive set of already published studies, connected with an accompanying synthetic text generalizing the author results and explanatory of their contribution to philosophical research.

A work that has already been submitted to obtain other scientific or scientific-pedagogical rank will not be accepted as a dissertation.

2. Materials Required for the Defence:

- a) certified proof of the successful completion of university education (diploma);
- b) certified proof of the granting of the academic Ph.D. degree position or proof of the granting of the scientific degree of Candidate of Sciences or proof of the granting of a title of the same standing;
- c) a curriculum vitae with an overview of the scientific work so far;

- d) the list publications that form the background materials of the dissertation (according to the requirements defined in the 'Rules'), with an IF and citations (without self-citations);
- e) the theses of the dissertation in ten (10) copies,
- f) the dissertation in five (5) copies,
- g) a statement of in what labour relation the dissertation was created,
- h) an expression of your own scientific contribution and share of the work if the scientific results listed in the dissertation were acquired with co-authors,
- i) written opinions of two Doctors of Sciences or Professors (See Art. III, Sect. 4),
- j) if the applicant presents a new dissertation in the same field in a new proceedings for the granting of a scientific title, a statement of who issued the denial decision, the title of the original dissertation and the definition of the differences between.

13 January 2015

Prof. PhDr. Petr Kořátko, CSc.
Chairman of the Commission

Discussed and approved on 5 February 2015 by the Panel for the 'Research Professor' Degree.

prof. Ing. Karel Ulbrich, DrSc.
Chairperson of the Panel for the 'Doctor of Sciences' Degree