

The Principles of the “Oriental Studies – Combination” Commission for the Evaluation of the Dissertations and Persons of the Applicants for the “DSc.” Degree

1. General Criteria

The work of the commissions proceeds from the Rules for the Granting of the ‘Doctor of Sciences’ Degree in the ASCR issued as the Guidelines of the Academic Council of the ASCR No. 5/2014, from the General Principles for the Evaluation of Dissertations and Persons of an Applicant binding for all commissions issued by the Panel for the Granting of a Scientific Title of ‘Doctor of Sciences’, and governed by the Regulatory Procedure to the Rules for the Granting of the ‘Doctor of Sciences’ Degree.

A free part defined by the commission according to the specifics of the field is given in the relevant internal norm of the ASCR http://www.avcr.cz/miranda2/export/sitesavcr/data.avcr.cz/vzdelavani/vedecky_titul_doktor_ved/files/IN06-08.pdf?0.15731623862149396, Article I, Sections 2 and 4.

2. The Principles of the Evaluation of the Dissertations and Persons of the Applicants

The applicant for the scientific degree DSc. must demonstrate that he/she is a distinctive scientific person, respects the principles of the ethics of scientific work and his/her work has brought original scientific results, that are utilised by scientists abroad or built upon in their work. The fulfilment of this requirement will be judged on the bases of studies published in reviewed foreign journals or in peer-reviewed contributions at top international conferences, citations in foreign journals, references in monographs, invitations to present lectures at international conferences, etc.

The perspectives for evaluating the persons, besides the integrity of his/her character and the observance of the principles of the ethics of scientific work, also include his/her share in the development of the field surpassing the dimension of individual research activity, particularly participation in the formulation of the concepts and directions of the research and management of research teams, or co-workers, and his/her share in university instruction and the guidance of doctoral candidates.

A prerequisite for the granting of the DSc. degree is a distinctive scientific work, which is a significant contribution to the field and as such is accepted on the international scale.

A condition for acquiring the scientific degree of DSc. is the successful defence of the dissertation in the proceedings for granting this degree.

The dissertation is understood to be:

- a) an original, published scientific monograph, which solves a serious scientific problem and brings a fundamentally new scientific discovery, or exceptionally b) a collection of published works of a monothematic focus with proven foreign responses complemented with a commentary of fundamental scientific importance,
- c) an extensive collective monograph with extraordinarily important and precisely specified co-authorial share (if possible with precisely defined chapters)
- d) a source edition with a fundamental scientific study as the introduction which will be the subject of the defence.

The dissertation may not be a work which has already been used for the defence of an academic or other scientific-pedagogical degree (PhDr., CSc., Dr. PhD.), was the background material for a habilitation proceedings, etc.

The dissertation has a length of at least 200 normed pages. In the theses on it (in ten /10/ copies), it is necessary to provide a list of one’s scientific works, which are the background material for the dissertation and a list of the other publications by the author. A component of the dissertation is the résumé (at most two pages in length) in Czech and English, possibly in another world language.

3. Materials Required for the Defence:

- a) certified proof of the successful completion of university education (diploma);
- b) certified proof of the granting of the academic Ph.D. degree position or proof of the granting of the scientific degree of Candidate of Sciences or proof of the granting of a title of the same standing;
- c) a curriculum vitae with an overview of the scientific work so far (a structured curriculum vitae includes scientific work, grants awarded, foreign internships and journeys, and particularly also the applicant's lecture activity, or pedagogical activity),
- d) the list of publications that form the background materials of the dissertation (according to the requirements defined in the 'Rules');
- e) the theses of the dissertation in ten (10) copies,
- f) the dissertation in five (5) copies,
- g) a statement of in what labour relation the dissertation was created,
- h) an expression of your own scientific contribution and share of the work if the scientific results listed in the dissertation were acquired with co-authors,
- i) written opinions of two Doctors of Sciences or Professors (See Art. III, Sect. 4 of *The Rules for Granting the Scientific Degree of 'Doctor of Sciences' at the ASCR*, the above-mentioned norm),
- j) if the applicant presents a new dissertation in the same field in a new proceedings for the granting of a scientific title, a statement of who issued the denial decision, the title of the original dissertation and the definition of the differences between.

4. The following supplementary materials:

The bibliography contains a minimum of forty (40) studies in scientific journals and anthologies, of which a part has been published abroad. The list is structured in the following way:

- A. Scientific monographs
- B. Chapters in scientific monographs
- C. Articles in important professional journals or anthologies issued abroad
- D. Articles in important professional journals or anthologies issued in the CR
- E. Other professional works according to the consideration of the applicant (e.g. rigorous *viva voce*, candidate, doctoral, habilitation etc.)
- F. Editorial or co-editorial works, translations, commentaries, textbook texts, etc.
- G. Invited lectures at important international congresses, conferences etc.
- H. Review responses of the dissertation publications with special emphasis on foreign review responses.

Prague, 29 January 2014

prof. PhDr. Petr Charvát, DrSc.
Chairperson of the Commission

Discussed and approved on 5 July 2014 by the Panel for the 'Doctor of Sciences' Degree.

prof. Ing. Karel Ulbrich, DrSc.
Chairperson of the Panel for the 'Doctor of Sciences' Degree