The Principles of the 'Czech Language' Commission for the Evaluation of the Dissertations and Persons of the Applicants for the 'DSc.' Degree

The work of the commissions proceeds from the Rules for the Granting of the 'Doctor of Sciences' Degree in the ASCR issued as the Guidelines of the Academic Council of the ASCR No. 3/2006, from the General Principles for the Evaluation of Dissertations and Persons of an Applicant binding for all commissions issued by the Panel for the Granting of a Scientific Title of 'Doctor of Sciences', and governed by the Regulatory Procedure to the Rules for the Granting of the 'Doctor of Sciences' Degree.

1. General Criteria for the Evaluation of the Dissertations and Persons of the Applicants

- 1. The applicant for acquiring the scientific degree of 'DSc.' should be a a distinctive scientific person, whose work (in the given field) is well known to the domestic and foreign specialised community.
- 2. A condition for granting the scientific degree of 'DSc.' is the successful defence of the dissertation. The dissertation must be an original scientific text (not e.g. a textbook text), which in an original way solves a topical and serious problem of the field (or his/her specific specialisation) and also has a generally linguistic or methodological character. It may not be a work that has already bee used for acquiring another academic or scientific-pedagogical degree. The dissertation is understood to be:
- a) an already issued book monograph
- b) a weighty and precise specified share (co-authorial) in an extensive collective monograph
- c) in an exceptional case, a collection of published works of a monothematic focus with proven foreign responses complemented with a commentary
- d) an already issued extensive lexicographic work, possibly a weighty and precisely specified share in a significant collective lexicographic work
- e) in an exceptional case, a source edition with a fundamental scientific study as the introduction which will be the subject of the defence
- 3. The prerequisite for the commencement of proceedings is:
- a) at least one already-published scientific book monograph (besides the defended one)
- b) at least forty (40) significant studies or treatises published in scientific journals and anthologies (if possible reviewed, at least partially foreign)
- 4. The documentation submitted by the applicant must contain
- a) a structured curriculum vita, which includes i.a. the pedagogical activity of the applicant including the guidance of doctoral candidates, lecture activity (maonly invited lectures of the 'invited speaker' type at important international events), etc.
- b) a list of publications with this structure:
- A Scientific monographs
- B Chapters in scientific monographs
- C 1 Articles published in professional journals issued abroad
- C 2 Articles published in professional journals issued in the Czech Republic
- C 3 Articles published in important professional anthologies issued abroad
- C 4 Articles published in important professional anthologies issued in the Czech Republic

D Other professional work according to the consideration of the applicant (e.g. dissertations, contributions in further journals and anthologies, editorial and popularisation work, translations, textbook texts, summarising abstracts and reviews; the list should be structured by type of publication)

E A list of reviewed and according to possibilities also recorded citation responses (domestic and foreign) to the work of the applicant

With journal articles, it is possible to list the impact factor also of the responses; when evaluating the applicant, the specialised commission does not exclude the use of scientometric criteria.

2. Further Materials Required for the Defence and Listed in the 'Rules':

- a) proof of the successful completion of university education (diploma);
- b) proof of the granting of the academic Ph.D. degree position or proof of the granting of the scientific degree of Candidate of Sciences or proof of the granting of a title of the same standing;
- c) the theses of the dissertation in twenty-five (25) copies;
- d) the dissertation in five (5) copies;
- e) a statement of in what labour relation the dissertation was created;
- f) an expression of your own scientific contribution and share of the work if the scientific results listed in the dissertation were acquired with co-authors;
- g) written opinions of two Doctors of Sciences or Professors (See Art. III, Sect. 4);
- h) if the applicant presents a new dissertation in the same field in a new proceedings for the granting of a scientific title, a statement of who issued the denial decision, the title of the original dissertation and the definition of the differences between.

7 February 2008

Doc. PhDr. Jana Hoffmannová, DrSc. Chairperson of the Commission

Discussed and approved on 15 May 2008 by the Panel for the 'Doctor of Sciences' Degree.

prof. RNDr. Antonín Holý, DrSc. Chairperson of the Panel for the 'Doctor of Sciences' Degree