

# **The Principles of the 'Microbiology, Mycology and Virology' Commission for the Evaluation of the Dissertations and Persons of the Applicants for the 'DSc.' Degree**

The work of the commissions proceeds from the Rules for the Granting of the 'Doctor of Sciences' Degree in the ASCR issued as the Guidelines of the Academic Council of the ASCR No. 3/2006, from the General Principles for the Evaluation of Dissertations and Persons of an Applicant binding for all commissions issued by the Panel for the Granting of a Scientific Title of 'Doctor of Sciences', and governed by the Regulatory Procedure to the Rules for the Granting of the 'Doctor of Sciences' Degree.

## **1. General Criteria for the Evaluation of the Dissertations and Persons of the Applicants**

1. The applicant must be internationally recognised person in the field (subfield).
2. The publications selected as the background material for the dissertation must comprise a thematically compact collection.
3. In the decision on the dissertation, the commission will rely predominantly on the personal evaluation of the members of the commission, on their overview of the field and personal responsibility.
4. With the scientometric criteria, it is generally supposed that the applicant should be the author of at least thirty (30) original works in reviewed international journals, at least 200 citation responses provable according to the ISI Web of Knowledge.
5. The following are considered as supporting criteria:
  - a) The applicant is or has been an investigator of his/her own domestic or foreign grants.
  - b) A list of invitation to prestigious international meetings where the applicant was requested to present a plenary lecture.
  - c) An overview of the pedagogical activities (lectures at universities, training for students, guest professorships).
  - d) Honorary recognition which the applicant received in the CR or abroad.

## **2. Materials Required for the Defence:**

Materials listed in the 'Rules':

- a) certified proof of the successful completion of university education (diploma);
- b) certified proof of the granting of the academic Ph.D. degree position or proof of the granting of the scientific degree of Candidate of Sciences or proof of the granting of a title of the same standing;
- c) a curriculum vitae with an overview of the scientific work so far;
- d) the list of publications that form the background materials of the dissertation (according to the requirements defined in the 'Rules');
- e) the theses of the dissertation in ten (10) copies,
- f) dissertation in five (5) copies,
- g) a statement of in what labour relation the dissertation was created,
- h) an expression of your own scientific contribution and share of the work if the scientific results listed in the dissertation were acquired with co-authors,
- i) written opinions of two Doctors of Sciences or Professors (See Art. III, Sect. 4),
- j) if the applicant presents a new dissertation in the same field in a new proceedings for the granting of a scientific title, a statement of who issued the denial decision, the title of the original dissertation and the definition of the differences between.

29 January 2014

RNDr. Jiří Gabriel, DrSc.  
Chairperson of the Commission

---

Discussed and approved on 5 July 2014 by the Panel for the 'Doctor of Sciences' Degree.

prof. Ing. Karel Ulbrich, DrSc.  
Chairperson of the Panel for the 'Doctor of Sciences' Degree