

A NOTE ON  $k$ - $c$ -SEMISTRATIFIABLE SPACES AND  
STRONG  $\beta$ -SPACES

LI-XIA WANG, LIANG-XUE PENG, Beijing

(Received March 13, 2010)

*Abstract.* Recall that a space  $X$  is a  $c$ -semistratifiable (CSS) space, if the compact sets of  $X$  are  $G_\delta$ -sets in a uniform way. In this note, we introduce another class of spaces, denoting it by  $k$ - $c$ -semistratifiable ( $k$ -CSS), which generalizes the concept of  $c$ -semistratifiable. We discuss some properties of  $k$ - $c$ -semistratifiable spaces. We prove that a  $T_2$ -space  $X$  is a  $k$ - $c$ -semistratifiable space if and only if  $X$  has a  $g$  function which satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) For each  $x \in X$ ,  $\{x\} = \bigcap \{g(x, n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and  $g(x, n+1) \subseteq g(x, n)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- (2) If a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $X$  converges to a point  $x \in X$  and  $y_n \in g(x_n, n)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then for any convergent subsequence  $\{y_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  we have that  $\{y_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $x$ .

By the above characterization, we show that if  $X$  is a submesocompact locally  $k$ - $c$ -semistratifiable space, then  $X$  is a  $k$ - $c$ -semistratifiable space, and the countable product of  $k$ - $c$ -semistratifiable spaces is a  $k$ - $c$ -semistratifiable space. If  $X = \bigcup \{\text{Int}(X_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and  $X_n$  is a closed  $k$ - $c$ -semistratifiable space for each  $n$ , then  $X$  is a  $k$ - $c$ -semistratifiable space.

In the last part of this note, we show that if  $X = \bigcup \{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and  $X_n$  is a closed strong  $\beta$ -space for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $X$  is a strong  $\beta$ -space.

*Keywords:*  $c$ -semistratifiable space,  $k$ - $c$ -semistratifiable space, submesocompact space,  $g$  function, strong  $\beta$ -space

*MSC 2010:* 54E20, 54D20

## 1. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that the class of generalized metric spaces plays an important role in general topology. Some of the known generalized metric spaces are semistratifiable

---

Research supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 10971185), supported by Beijing Natural Science Foundation (Grant No. 1102002), and The Project-sponsored by SRF for ROCS, SEM.

spaces ([3]), k-semistratifiable spaces ([15]) and stratifiable spaces ([2]). The notion of semistratifiable spaces was introduced by Creede in 1970 ([3]). In 1973, H. W. Martin introduced the class of CSS spaces ([16]).

Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a topological space and let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the collection of all compact sets of  $X$ . A space  $X$  is called a CSS space, if there is a function  $U: \mathbb{N} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  such that for each  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there is an open set  $U(n, C)$  in  $X$  such that

- (1)  $C = \bigcap \{U(n, C) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ ;
- (2) if  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $D \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $C \subseteq D$  then  $U(n, C) \subseteq U(n, D)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

In other words, a CSS space is a space in which the compact subsets are  $G_\delta$ -sets in a uniform way. Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a CSS space and let  $U$  be a CSS function for  $X$ . If for any disjoint compact sets  $C$  and  $K$  of  $X$  there is  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $U(n, C) \cap K = \emptyset$ , then  $X$  is called a k-CSS space. By the definitions, we know that every k-CSS space is a CSS space, and every k-semistratifiable space is a k-CSS space.

In this note, we mainly give a characterization of k-CSS spaces in terms of certain  $g$  functions. By this conclusion, we show that if  $X$  is a submesocompact locally k-CSS space, then  $X$  is a k-CSS space. We also show that the countable product of k-CSS spaces is a k-CSS space.

In [17] we showed that if  $X = \bigcup \{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and  $X_n$  is a closed CSS space (or a semistratifiable space) for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $X$  is a CSS space (or a semistratifiable space). In this note we show that if  $X = \bigcup \{\text{Int}(X_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and  $X_n$  is a closed k-CSS space for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $X$  is a k-CSS space.

In [7] the authors ask whether every  $F_\sigma$ -set of a  $\beta$ -space is a  $\beta$ -subspace. This question is answered in [18]. Since the article [18] is in Chinese and the referee suggested that we give a short description of the answer, we will give it in the last part of this note. In [17] we showed that if  $X$  is the countable union of closed  $\beta$ -subspaces, then  $X$  is a  $\beta$ -space. The class of strong  $\beta$ -spaces was introduced in [19] and was studied in [19] and [10] (see section 3 for the definition of strong  $\beta$ -spaces). In this note, we show that if  $X = \bigcup \{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and  $X_n$  is a closed strong  $\beta$ -space for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $X$  is a strong  $\beta$ -space. Thus we have that a  $F_\sigma$ -set of a strong  $\beta$ -space is a strong  $\beta$ -subspace.

Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a topological space. A  $g$  function is a function from  $X \times \mathbb{N}$  into the topology  $\mathcal{T}$  of  $X$  such that  $x \in g(x, n+1) \subseteq g(x, n)$  for each  $x \in X$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We use  $g$  to denote a  $g$  function throughout this paper.

In this note, all spaces are assumed to be at least Hausdorff spaces. The set of all positive integers is denoted by  $\mathbb{N}$ . If  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  are families of subsets of  $X$  such that for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  there exists  $V \in \mathcal{V}$  such that  $U \subseteq V$ , then we write  $\mathcal{U} \prec \mathcal{V}$ . We put  $\mathcal{U} \wedge \mathcal{V} = \{U \cap V : U \in \mathcal{U} \text{ and } V \in \mathcal{V}\}$ . If  $X$  is a space, then we denote by  $\mathcal{C}$  the collection of all compact subsets of  $X$  and by  $2^X$  the collection of all closed subsets

of  $X$ . If a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $X$  converges to a point  $x$  of  $X$ , then we denote it by  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow x$ . In notions and terminology we will follow [4], [8] and [13].

## 2. ON k-CSS SPACES

We begin this section by showing how k-c-semistratifiable spaces are related to five types of spaces that have already been studied. The six types of spaces are defined based on different ways of writing certain closed sets as  $G_\delta$ -sets in a uniform way. The definitions follow.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $2^X$  be the family of all closed subsets of a topological space  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  and consider four properties that a function  $U: \mathbb{N} \times 2^X \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  may have:

- (1) For each  $F \in 2^X$  and each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $U(n+1, F) \subseteq U(n, F)$  and if  $F_1 \subseteq F_2$  are in  $2^X$  then  $U(n, F_1) \subseteq U(n, F_2)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- (2) For each  $F \in 2^X$ ,  $F = \bigcap \{U(n, F) : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \bigcap \{\overline{U(n, F)} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .
- (3) For each  $F \in 2^X$ ,  $F = \bigcap \{U(n, F) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and if  $K$  is compact and  $K \cap F = \emptyset$ , then for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $K \cap U(n, F) = \emptyset$ .
- (4) For each  $F \in 2^X$ ,  $F = \bigcap \{U(n, F) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .

A space  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  that has a function  $U$  satisfying (1) and (2) is called *stratifiable* ([2]); a space with a function  $U$  satisfying (1) and (3) is called *k-semistratifiable* ([15]), and a space with a function  $U$  satisfying (1) and (4) is called *semistratifiable* ([3]).

Each class of spaces in Definition 2.1 has a well-developed theory. Next, we replace the collection  $2^X$  by the collection  $\mathcal{C}$  of all compact subsets of  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  in each of properties (1) through (4).

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the family of all compact subsets of a topological space  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  and consider four properties that a function  $U: \mathbb{N} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  may have:

- (1) For each  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  and each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $U(n+1, C) \subseteq U(n, C)$  and if  $C_1 \subseteq C_2$  are in  $\mathcal{C}$  then  $U(n, C_1) \subseteq U(n, C_2)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- (2) For each  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $C = \bigcap \{U(n, C) : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \bigcap \{\overline{U(n, C)} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .
- (3) For each  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $C = \bigcap \{U(n, C) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and if  $K$  is compact and  $K \cap C = \emptyset$ , then for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $K \cap U(n, C) = \emptyset$ .
- (4) For each  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $C = \bigcap \{U(n, C) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .

A *c-stratifiable* (CS) *space* ([11]) is a space that has a function  $U: \mathbb{N} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  with properties (1) and (2). A *k-c-semistratifiable* (k-CSS) *space* is a space that has a function  $U: \mathbb{N} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  with properties (1) and (3). A space is called *c-semistratifiable* (CSS) ([16]) if it has a function  $U: \mathbb{N} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  with properties (1) and (4). The function  $U$  is called a *CS function* for  $X$ , *k-CSS function* for  $X$ , and *CSS function* for  $X$ , respectively.

The above definitions make the implications in the following diagram clear:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \text{stratifiable} & \Rightarrow & \text{k-semistratifiable} & \Rightarrow & \text{semistratifiable} \\
 \Downarrow & & \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\
 \text{c-stratifiable} & \Rightarrow & \text{k-c-semistratifiable} & \Rightarrow & \text{c-semistratifiable}
 \end{array}$$

We thank the referee for pointing out how to make it clear how naturally the topic of this paper fits into classical topology.

**Theorem 2.3.** *If  $X$  is a first countable k-CSS space, then  $X$  is a CS space.*

*Proof.* Let  $U$  be a k-CSS function for  $X$ . For each  $F \in 2^X$ ,  $F = \bigcap \{U(n, F) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . We claim that  $F = \bigcap \{\overline{U(n, F)} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Suppose there is a point  $y \in \bigcap \{\overline{U(n, F)} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \setminus F$ . Let  $\{V_n(y) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a decreasing neighborhood base of the point  $y$  in  $X$ . Since  $y \notin F$  and  $F$  is closed in  $X$ , we have that  $\{V_n(y) \setminus F : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a decreasing neighborhood base of the point  $y$  in  $X$ . Thus  $(V_n(y) \setminus F) \cap U(n, F) \neq \emptyset$  for each  $n$ . If  $y_n \in (V_n(y) \setminus F) \cap U(n, F)$ , then  $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow y$ . Thus  $\{y\} \cup \{y_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = C$  is a compact subset of  $X$  and  $F \cap C = \emptyset$ . Thus there is  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $U(n, F) \cap C = \emptyset$ . This contradicts the fact that  $y_n \in U(n, F)$ . Thus  $F = \bigcap \{\overline{U(n, F)} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , and hence  $U$  is a CS function for  $X$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.4.** *If  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is a k-CSS space and  $Y \subseteq X$ , then  $Y$  is a k-CSS space.*

*Proof.* Let  $U$  be a k-CSS function for  $X$ . For each compact subset  $C$  of the subspace  $Y$ , we know that  $C$  is a compact subset of  $X$ . Put  $U_1(n, C) = U(n, C) \cap Y$ ; hence  $U_1(n, C)$  is an open subset of the subspace  $Y$ . We can see that the function  $U_1 : \mathbb{N} \times \mathcal{C}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{T} \cap Y$  is a k-CSS function for  $Y$ , where  $\mathcal{C}_Y$  is the family of all compact subsets of the subspace  $Y$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $X$  be a k-CSS space. If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a perfect map, then  $Y$  is a k-CSS space.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{C}_X$  denote the collection of all compact subsets of  $X$  and let  $\mathcal{C}_Y$  denote the collection of all compact subsets of  $Y$ . Let  $U$  be a k-CSS function for  $X$ . For each  $K \in \mathcal{C}_Y$ , we know that  $f^{-1}(K) \in \mathcal{C}_X$  since  $f$  is a perfect map. Let  $U_1(n, K) = Y \setminus f(X \setminus U(n, f^{-1}(K)))$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Since  $f^{-1}(K) \subseteq U(n, f^{-1}(K))$ , we have  $K \subseteq U_1(n, K)$  and  $U_1(n, K)$  is an open subset of  $Y$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . So  $K \subseteq \bigcap \{U_1(n, K) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . If  $y \notin K$ , then  $f^{-1}(y) \cap f^{-1}(K) = \emptyset$ . Thus there exists  $x \in f^{-1}(y)$  such that  $x \notin f^{-1}(K)$ . Since  $f^{-1}(K) = \bigcap \{U(n, f^{-1}(K)) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , there is  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x \notin U(n, f^{-1}(K))$ . Hence  $y \notin Y \setminus f(X \setminus U(n, f^{-1}(K))) = U_1(n, K)$ , so  $K = \bigcap \{U_1(n, K) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . If

$C \in \mathcal{C}_Y, K \in \mathcal{C}_Y$  and  $K \cap C = \emptyset$ , then  $f^{-1}(K) \cap f^{-1}(C) = \emptyset$ . Thus there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $U(n, f^{-1}(K)) \cap f^{-1}(C) = \emptyset$ . Thus  $U_1(n, K) \cap C = \emptyset$ . It is obvious that  $U_1(n, K_1) \subseteq U_1(n, K_2)$  if  $K_1 \in \mathcal{C}_Y, K_2 \in \mathcal{C}_Y$ , and  $K_1 \subseteq K_2$ . Hence  $Y$  is a k-CSS space.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a space. The space  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is a k-CSS space if and only if there exists a function  $F: \mathbb{N} \times \mathcal{T}' \rightarrow 2^X$ , where  $\mathcal{T}' = \{V: X \setminus V \text{ is a compact subset of } X \text{ and } V \in \mathcal{T}\}$  is such that the following conditions holds for each  $V \in \mathcal{T}'$ :*

- (1)  $\bigcup\{F(n, V): n \in \mathbb{N}\} = V$  and  $F(n, V) \subseteq F(n+1, V)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (2) if  $V_1, V_2 \in \mathcal{T}'$  and  $V_1 \subseteq V_2$ , then  $F(n, V_1) \subseteq F(n, V_2)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (3) if  $C$  is a compact subset of  $X$  and  $C \subseteq V$  for some  $V \in \mathcal{T}'$ , then there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $C \subseteq F(n, V)$ .

**Proof.**  $\Rightarrow$ : Let  $U$  be a k-CSS function for  $X$ . For each  $V \in \mathcal{T}'$ , we know that  $X \setminus V$  is a compact subset of  $X$ . Thus  $X \setminus V = \bigcap\{U(n, X \setminus V): n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , and hence  $V = \bigcup\{X \setminus U(n, X \setminus V): n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . If  $F(n, V) = X \setminus U(n, X \setminus V)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $F(n, V)$  is closed in  $X$ . Thus both the conditions (1) and (2) of the theorem are satisfied. Since  $C$  is compact,  $C \subseteq V$ , and  $V \in \mathcal{T}'$ , we have that  $X \setminus V$  is a compact set and  $C \cap (X \setminus V) = \emptyset$ . Thus there is  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $C \cap U(n, X \setminus V) = \emptyset$ , i.e.,  $C \subseteq X \setminus U(n, X \setminus V) = F(n, V)$ .

$\Leftarrow$ : Suppose  $F: \mathbb{N} \times \mathcal{T}' \rightarrow 2^X$  is a function which satisfies the conditions (1), (2), and (3) of the theorem. If  $K$  is a compact subset of  $X$ , then  $X \setminus K \in \mathcal{T}'$ . Thus  $\bigcup\{F(n, X \setminus K): n \in \mathbb{N}\} = X \setminus K$ , and hence we have  $\bigcap\{X \setminus F(n, X \setminus K): n \in \mathbb{N}\} = K$ . Let  $U(n, K) = X \setminus F(n, X \setminus K)$  for each  $n$ . We can easily show that  $U$  is a k-CSS function for  $X$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.7.** *If  $X = Y_1 \cup Y_2$ ,  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  are closed k-CSS subspaces of  $X$ , then  $X$  is a k-CSS space.*

**Proof.** Let  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  be k-CSS functions for  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$ , respectively. For each compact subset  $F$  of  $X$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , if we set  $U(n, F) = X \setminus [(Y_1 \setminus U_1(n, F \cap Y_1)) \cup (Y_2 \setminus U_2(n, F \cap Y_2))]$ , then  $F \subseteq U(n, F)$  and  $U(n, F)$  is an open subset of  $X$ . If  $E$  is a compact subset of  $X$  and  $E \cap F = \emptyset$ , then there is  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $U_1(n, F \cap Y_1) \cap (E \cap Y_1) = \emptyset$ , and there is  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $U_2(m, F \cap Y_2) \cap (E \cap Y_2) = \emptyset$ . If  $l \geq \max\{n, m\}$ , then we have  $U_1(l, F \cap Y_1) \cap (E \cap Y_1) = \emptyset$  and  $U_2(l, F \cap Y_2) \cap (E \cap Y_2) = \emptyset$ . So  $U(l, F) \cap E = \emptyset$ . We can show that the function  $U$  satisfies the other conditions of a k-CSS function. Hence  $U$  is a k-CSS function for  $X$ .  $\square$

In 1986 ([5]) and in 1988 ([12]), Z.M. Gao and S. Lin gave a characterization for  $k$ -semistratifiable spaces by a  $g$  function. In what follows, we give a characterization for  $k$ -CSS spaces by a  $g$  function.

If  $X$  is a compact CSS space, then  $X$  is a compact semistratifiable space. Thus we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.8.** *If  $X$  is a compact CSS space, then  $X$  is a metrizable space.*

**Lemma 2.9** ([1]). *Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a space. The space  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is a CSS space if and only if there is a function  $g: X \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ , such that*

- (1)  $\bigcap \{g(x, n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \{x\}$  and  $g(x, n+1) \subseteq g(x, n)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (2) if a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $X$  converges to  $y$ , then  $\bigcap \{g(x_n, n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \{y\}$ .

*This  $g$  function is called a CSS  $g$  function for  $X$ .*

**Theorem 2.10.** *A topological space  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is  $k$ -CSS if and only if there is a function  $g: X \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  which satisfies the following conditions:*

- (1) for each  $x \in X$ ,  $\{x\} = \bigcap \{g(x, n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and  $g(x, n+1) \subseteq g(x, n)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (2) if a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $x$  and  $y_n \in g(x_n, n)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then any convergent subsequence  $\{y_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $x$ .

*Proof.*  $\Rightarrow$ : Let  $U$  be a  $k$ -CSS function for  $X$ . For each  $x \in X$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define  $g(x, n) = U(n, \{x\})$ . Thus  $g(x, n+1) \subseteq g(x, n)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\{x\} = \bigcap \{g(x, n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . We assume that the sequences  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  satisfy the condition (2) of the theorem. Let  $\{y_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a convergent subsequence of  $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . We will show that  $\{y_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow x$ . Suppose  $\{y_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow y$  and  $y \neq x$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $y_{n_k} \neq x_m$  for each  $m$  and  $n_k$ . Since  $\{x_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow x$ , we know that  $K_1 = \{x_{n_k} : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{x\}$  and  $K_2 = \{y_{n_k} : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{y\}$  are compact sets and  $K_1 \cap K_2 = \emptyset$ . Therefore there is  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $U(m, K_1) \cap K_2 = \emptyset$ . For each  $n_k > m$ , we have  $g(x_{n_k}, n_k) = U(n_k, \{x_{n_k}\}) \subseteq U(m, \{x_{n_k}\}) \subseteq U(m, K_1)$ . Since  $y_{n_k} \in K_2$ , we have  $y_{n_k} \notin U(m, K_1)$  and hence we have  $y_{n_k} \notin g(x_{n_k}, n_k)$ . This is a contradiction with  $y_{n_k} \in g(x_{n_k}, n_k)$ . Hence  $\{y_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow x$ .

$\Leftarrow$ : Suppose  $X$  has a  $g$  function which satisfies the conditions (1) and (2).

We first show that  $X$  is a CSS space.

Let  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converge to  $x$ . If  $z \in \bigcap \{g(x_n, n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , then  $z \in g(x_n, n)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $y_n = z$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then the sequence  $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is convergent. By the condition (2), we have  $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow x$ , so  $z = x$ . Thus  $\bigcap \{g(x_n, n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \{x\}$ , and hence  $X$  is a CSS space by Lemma 2.9.

Let  $C$  be any non-empty compact subset of  $X$ . We set  $U(n, C) = \bigcup \{g(x, n) : x \in C\}$ . Let  $D$  be a compact subset of  $X$  and  $C \cap D = \emptyset$ . We will show that there is

$n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $U(n, C) \cap D = \emptyset$ . By Lemma 2.8 we have that  $C$  and  $D$  are two metrizable subspaces of  $X$ .

Suppose  $U(n, C) \cap D \neq \emptyset$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then there exists  $x_n \in C$  such that  $g(x_n, n) \cap D \neq \emptyset$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We choose a point  $y_n$  such that  $y_n \in g(x_n, n) \cap D$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq C$  and  $C$  is metrizable, the sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  has a convergent subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ . If we assume that  $\{x_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow x$ , then  $x \in C$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that  $\{n_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is an increasing sequence. For any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , if  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $n_{k-1} \leq n < n_k$  ( $n_0 = 0$ ), then we set  $x_n^* = x_{n_k}$ , and hence  $g(x_n^*, n) = g(x_{n_k}, n)$  and  $g(x_{n_k}, n_k) \subseteq g(x_{n_k}, n)$ . If  $n_{k-1} \leq n < n_k$  ( $n_0 = 0$ ) then we set  $y_n^* = y_{n_k}$ , and hence  $y_n^* = y_{n_k} \in g(x_{n_k}, n_k) \subseteq g(x_{n_k}, n) = g(x_n^*, n)$ . The sequence  $\{x_n^*\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $x$ . Since the compact subspace  $D$  is metrizable and  $y_n^* \in D$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the sequence  $\{y_n^*\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  has a convergent subsequence  $\{y_{n_m}^*\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $y_n^* \in g(x_n^*, n)$ , we know that the sequence  $\{y_{n_m}^*\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $x$ . Since  $D$  is closed, we have  $x \in D$ . So  $x \in C \cap D$ , which contradicts  $C \cap D = \emptyset$ . Thus there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $U(n, C) \cap D = \emptyset$ . It is obvious that the function  $U$  satisfies the other conditions of a k-CSS function for  $X$ . Hence  $X$  is a k-CSS space.  $\square$

The  $g$  function which appears in Theorem 2.10 is called a k-CSS  $g$  function for  $X$ .

In [17] it is proved that the countable product of CSS spaces is a CSS space. The following theorem shows that k-CSS spaces also have the countable product property.

**Theorem 2.11.** *Let  $X = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n$ . If  $X_n$  is a k-CSS space for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $X$  is a k-CSS space.*

**Proof.** For each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , since  $X_i$  is a k-CSS space, let  $g_i$  be a k-CSS function for  $X_i$  which satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.10. For any  $x = (x_i : i \in \mathbb{N}) \in X$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we define  $g(x, n) = \prod_{i \leq n} g_i(x_i, n) \times \prod_{i > n} X_i$ . It is clear that  $\{x\} = \bigcap \{g(x, n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and  $g(x, n+1) \subseteq g(x, n)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $x_n = (x_n^i : i \in \mathbb{N}) \in X$ ,  $y_n = (y_n^i : i \in \mathbb{N}) \in g(x_n, n)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let the sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converge to  $z = (z_i : i \in \mathbb{N}) \in X$ . Assume the sequence  $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  has a convergent subsequence  $\{y_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ . For each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and each  $n \geq i$  we have  $y_n^i \in g_i(x_n^i, n)$ . Since the sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $z$ , the sequence  $\{x_n^i\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $z_i$ . Since  $g_i$  is a k-CSS  $g$  function for  $X_i$  and the subsequence  $\{y_{n_k}^i\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is convergent, so  $\{y_{n_k}^i\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $z_i$ . So the sequence  $\{y_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $z$ . Hence  $X$  is a k-CSS space.  $\square$

In [1] it is proved that in the class of submetacompact spaces, locally CSS is equivalent to CSS. In what follows, we show that in the class of submesocompact spaces, locally k-CSS is equivalent to k-CSS.

**Definition 2.12** ([14]). A space  $X$  is called a *submesocompact* space if for any open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$  there is a sequence of open covers  $\{\mathcal{U}_n: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  such that  $\mathcal{U}_n$  is an open refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{U}_n \prec \mathcal{U}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and for each compact set  $C$  of  $X$  there is  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|\{V \in \mathcal{U}_n: V \cap C \neq \emptyset\}| < \omega$ .

**Definition 2.13.** A space  $X$  is called *locally k-CSS* if for each  $x \in X$  there exists a neighborhood  $V_x$  of  $x$  such that  $V_x$  is a k-CSS subspace of  $X$ .

**Theorem 2.14.** *Let  $X$  be a submesocompact space. If  $X$  is a locally k-CSS space, then  $X$  is a k-CSS space.*

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{W} = \{W(\alpha): \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  be an open cover of  $X$  such that  $W(\alpha)$  is a k-CSS subspace for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ , where  $\Lambda$  is a well ordered set. Let  $g_\alpha$  be a k-CSS function for the subspace  $W(\alpha)$  as described in Theorem 2.10. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the collection of all compact subsets of  $X$ .

Since  $X$  is a submesocompact space, there is a sequence  $\{\mathcal{U}_n: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of open covers of  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{U}_n \prec \mathcal{W}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and for any  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|\{U: U \in \mathcal{U}_n \text{ and } U \cap C \neq \emptyset\}| < \omega$ .

For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha \in \Lambda$ , we set  $V(n, \alpha) = \bigcup\{U: U \in \mathcal{U}_n, U \subseteq W(\alpha), U \not\subseteq W(\beta) \text{ for each } \beta < \alpha\}$ ; then  $V(n, \alpha) \subseteq W(\alpha)$ .

For any  $x \in X$  there is  $U \in \mathcal{U}_n$  such that  $x \in U$ . If  $\alpha = \min\{\beta: U \subseteq W(\beta) \text{ and } \beta \in \Lambda\}$ , then  $U \subseteq V(n, \alpha)$ . Thus  $x \in V(n, \alpha)$ . So we have that if  $\mathcal{V}_n = \{V(n, \alpha): \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  then the collection  $\mathcal{V}_n$  is an open cover of  $X$  for each  $n$ , and for any  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|\{V: V \in \mathcal{V}_n, V \cap C \neq \emptyset\}| < \omega$ . For each  $x \in X$  there is  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\text{ord}(x, \mathcal{V}_n) < \omega$ , where  $\text{ord}(x, \mathcal{V}_n) = |\{V: V \in \mathcal{V}_n, x \in V\}|$ . For each  $x \in X$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define  $A(x, n)$  as follows: If  $\text{ord}(x, \mathcal{V}_n) < \omega$ , then set  $A(x, n) = \{\alpha \in \Lambda: x \in V(n, \alpha)\}$ ; if  $\text{ord}(x, \mathcal{V}_n) \geq \omega$  then we choose any  $\alpha \in \Lambda$  such that  $x \in V(n, \alpha)$  and set  $A(x, n) = \{\alpha\}$ .

By the definition of  $A(x, n)$ , we know that it is a finite set. Now we set  $g(x, n) = \bigcap\{g_\alpha(x, n) \cap (\bigcap\{V(j, \alpha): j \leq n\}): \alpha \in \bigcup\{A(x, i): i \leq n\}\}$ . Thus  $g(x, n)$  is an open subset of  $X$  and  $x \in g(x, n+1) \subseteq g(x, n)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

If  $\alpha(x) \in A(x, 1)$ , then  $g(x, n) \subseteq g_{\alpha(x)}(x, n)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus  $x \in \bigcap\{g(x, n): n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \bigcap\{g_{\alpha(x)}(x, n): n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \{x\}$ .

Let  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be two sequences, such that  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow x$  and  $y_n \in g(x_n, n)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\{y_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  be any convergent subsequence of  $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . In what follows, we will show that  $\{y_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow x$ .

We assume  $\{y_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow y$ . If  $K_1 = \{y_{n_k}: k \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{y\}$  and  $K_2 = \{x_n: n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{x\}$ , then  $K = K_1 \cup K_2$  is compact.

Thus there is  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|\{U: U \in \mathcal{U}_l, U \cap K \neq \emptyset\}| < \omega$ , and hence  $|\{V: V \in \mathcal{V}_l, V \cap K \neq \emptyset\}| < \omega$ . So  $\text{ord}(x_n, \mathcal{V}_l) < \omega$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .



Since  $X = \bigcup \mathcal{V}_l$ , there is  $V(l, \alpha) \in \mathcal{V}_l$  such that  $x \in V(l, \alpha)$ . Since  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow x$ , there exists  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x_n \in V(l, \alpha)$  for each  $n \geq n_1$ . Since  $\text{ord}(x_n, \mathcal{V}_l) < \omega$  and  $x_n \in V(l, \alpha)$  for  $n \geq n_1$ , we have  $\alpha \in A(x_n, l)$  and hence  $y_n \in g(x_n, n) \subseteq g_\alpha(x_n, n)$  if  $n \geq n_1$ . Since  $\{x_n : n \geq n_1\} \rightarrow x$  and the sequence  $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  has a convergent subsequence  $\{y_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , and  $\{x_n : n \geq n_1\} \subseteq V(l, \alpha) \subseteq W(\alpha)$ , we know that  $\{y_{n_k} : n_k \geq n_1\} \rightarrow x$  by the k-CSS property of  $W(\alpha)$ . So  $y = x$ , hence  $X$  is a k-CSS space.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.15.** *If  $X$  is a paracompact locally k-CSS space, then  $X$  is a k-CSS space.*

**Theorem 2.16.** *If  $X = \bigcup \{\text{Int}(X_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and  $X_n$  is a closed k-CSS space for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $X$  is a k-CSS space.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.7, we can assume that  $X_m \subseteq X_{m+1}$  for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . For each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $U_m$  be a k-CSS function for  $X_m$ . For any compact set  $F$  of  $X$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have that  $F \cap X_m = \bigcap \{U_m(n, F \cap X_m) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , where  $U_m(n, F \cap X_m)$  is an open subset of  $X_m$ . Since  $X_m$  is closed in  $X$ , the set  $U_m^*(n, F \cap X_m) = U_m(n, F \cap X_m) \cup (X \setminus X_m)$  is an open subset of  $X$ . We know that  $F \subseteq U_m^*(n, F \cap X_m)$  for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define  $U(n, F) = \bigcap \{U_m^*(n, F \cap X_m) : m \leq n\}$ . Thus  $F \subseteq U(n, F)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $U(n, F)$  is an open subset of  $X$ . For any compact subset  $C$  of  $X$ , if  $C \cap F = \emptyset$  then there is  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $C \subseteq \bigcup \{\text{Int}(X_i) : i \leq m\} \subseteq X_m$ . Thus there is  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $C \cap U_m(n, F \cap X_m) = \emptyset$ . Thus  $C \cap U_m^*(n, F \cap X_m) = \emptyset$ . We can assume that  $n > m$ . Thus  $C \cap (\bigcap \{U_k^*(n, F \cap X_k) : k \leq m\}) = \emptyset$ , and hence  $C \cap U(n, F) = \emptyset$ . If  $F$  and  $E$  are two compact sets and  $F \subseteq E$ , we have  $U(n, F) \subseteq U(n, E)$ . Thus  $X$  is a k-CSS space.  $\square$

Recall that a space  $X$  is a  $\sigma$ -space if  $X$  has a  $\sigma$ -discrete closed network. It is known that any stratifiable space is a  $\sigma$ -space, and more generally so is any k-semistratifiable space ([6]). Every  $\sigma$ -space is semistratifiable, and hence every  $\sigma$ -space is perfect.

In [11] it is pointed out that the space in Example 6.2 which appears in [11] is a Hausdorff CS space which is neither regular nor perfect. Such a space is CS but not semistratifiable. Thus such a space is not a  $\sigma$ -space.

Every Moore space is a semistratifiable space. So we have:

**Problem 2.17.** Is every Moore space a k-CSS space?

**Problem 2.18.** Is locally k-CSS equivalent to k-CSS in the class of submetacompact spaces?

We know that  $c$ -stratifiable  $\Rightarrow$   $k$ - $c$ -semistratifiable  $\Rightarrow$   $c$ -semistratifiable.

We have not found an appropriate example to show the first arrow cannot be reversed.

In [11] it is pointed out that the space in Example 6.3 which appears in [11] is a  $T_1$  and CSS first countable space, but it is not CS. Such a space is not  $k$ -CSS by Theorem 2.3.

We have known that large classes of topological spaces are CSS.

Recall that a space  $X$  has a *quasi- $G_\delta(2)$ -diagonal* provided there is a sequence  $\{\mathcal{U}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of collections of open sets with the property that, given distinct points  $x, y \in X$ , there is  $n$  with  $x \in \text{St}^2(x, \mathcal{U}_n) \subseteq X \setminus \{y\}$ .

**Proposition 19** (cf. [17]). *If  $X$  has a quasi- $G_\delta(2)$ -diagonal, then  $X$  is a CSS space.*

**Proposition 20** (cf. [16]). *The space  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is CSS provided one of the following conditions holds:*

- (1)  $X$  is a  $\sigma^\#$ -space, i.e.,  $X$  has a  $\sigma$ -closure-preserving collection  $\mathcal{C}$  of closed sets with the property that if  $x \neq y$  are points of  $X$ , then there is  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $x \in C$  and  $y \notin C$ ;
- (2)  $X$  has a  $G_\delta^*$ -diagonal.

**Problem 2.21.** Let  $X$  be a space and let one of the following conditions hold:

- (1)  $X$  has a quasi- $G_\delta(2)$ -diagonal;
- (2)  $X$  is a  $\sigma^\#$ -space;
- (3)  $X$  has a  $G_\delta^*$ -diagonal.

Is the space  $X$   $k$ -CSS?

### 3. ON STRONG $\beta$ -SPACES

A space  $X$  is called a  *$\beta$ -space* ([9]) if there is a function  $g : X \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ , where  $\mathcal{T}$  denotes the topology of  $X$ , satisfying

- (1)  $x \in g(x, n+1) \subseteq g(x, n)$  for each  $x \in X$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (2) if  $y \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} g(x_n, n)$  for some  $y \in X$ , then the sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  has a cluster point.

The function  $g$  is called a  *$\beta$  function* for  $X$ .

A space  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is called a *strong  $\beta$ -space* ([19]) if there is a function  $g : X \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ , satisfying

- (1)  $x \in g(x, n+1) \subseteq g(x, n)$  for each  $x \in X$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ;

(2) if  $y \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} g(x_n, n)$  for some  $y \in X$ , then  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  has a compact closure.

The function  $g$  is called a *strong  $\beta$  function* for  $X$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *If  $X = X_1 \cup X_2$ , where  $X_i$  is a closed strong  $\beta$ -subspace of  $X$  for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , then  $X$  is a strong  $\beta$ -space.*

**Proof.** For each  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , the subspace  $X_i$  is a strong  $\beta$ -space, and hence there exists a function  $g_i$  described in the definition of the strong  $\beta$ -space. For each  $x \in X_1 \setminus X_2$  and each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $g(x, n) = g_1(x, n) \setminus X_2$ . Thus  $x \in g(x, n)$  and  $g(x, n)$  is an open subset of  $X$  for each  $x \in X_1 \setminus X_2$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Similarly, let  $g(x, n) = g_2(x, n) \setminus X_1$  if  $x \in X_2 \setminus X_1$ .

If  $x \in X_1 \cap X_2$  then let  $g(x, n) = (g_1(x, n) \cup (X \setminus X_1)) \cap (g_2(x, n) \cup (X \setminus X_2))$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus  $g(x, n)$  is an open subset of  $X$  for each  $x \in X_1 \cap X_2$  and each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

For each  $x \in X$ , if  $x \in X_2 \setminus X_1$  then  $x \notin g(y, n)$  for each  $y \in X_1 \setminus X_2$  and each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Similarly, we have that  $x \notin g(y, n)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , if  $x \in X_1 \setminus X_2$  and  $y \in X_2 \setminus X_1$ .

For each  $x \in X$  and each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have that  $x \in g(x, n+1) \subseteq g(x, n)$ . If  $y \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} g(x_n, n)$  for some  $y \in X$ , then there is  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  such that  $y \in X_i$ . We assume  $i = 1$ . Thus  $x_n \notin X_2 \setminus X_1$ , and hence  $x_n \in X_1$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus  $y \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} g_1(x_n, n)$ . So the closure of the sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is compact. Thus  $X$  is a strong  $\beta$ -space.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *If  $X = \bigcup\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and  $X_n$  is a closed strong  $\beta$ -space for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $X$  is a strong  $\beta$ -space.*

**Proof.** For each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , we can assume that  $X_m \subseteq X_{m+1}$  by Lemma 3.1. For each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $g_m$  be a strong  $\beta$  function for  $X_m$ . For each  $x \in X$ , let  $m(x) = \min\{m : m \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } x \in X_m\}$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $g(x, n) = (g_{m(x)}(x, n) \cup (X \setminus X_{m(x)})) \setminus X_{m(x)-1}$  if  $n \leq m_x$ ; otherwise let

$$g(x, n) = \left[ \bigcap_{m(x) \leq k \leq n} (g_k(x, n) \cup (X \setminus X_k)) \right] \setminus X_{m(x)-1}.$$

Thus  $g(x, n)$  is an open subset of  $X$  and  $x \in g(x, (n+1)) \subseteq g(x, n)$  for each  $x \in X$  and each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

If  $x \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} g(x_n, n)$  for some  $x \in X$ , then  $x_n \in X_{m(x)}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $n \geq m(x)$  then  $g(x_n, n) \cap X_{m(x)} \subseteq g_{m(x)}(x_n, n)$ . Thus  $x \in \bigcap\{g_{m(x)}(x_n, n) : n \geq m(x)\}$ . So the closure of  $\{x_n : n \geq m(x)\}$  is compact. Thus  $X$  is a strong  $\beta$ -space.  $\square$

We know that every closed subspace of a strong  $\beta$ -space is a strong  $\beta$ -space. So we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.3.** *If  $(X, \mathcal{F})$  is a strong  $\beta$ -space, then every  $F_\sigma$ -subset of  $X$  is a strong  $\beta$ -subspace.*

In [7] the authors ask whether every  $F_\sigma$ -subset of a  $\beta$ -space is a  $\beta$ -subspace. This question is answered in [18]. A short description of the answer follows.

**Lemma 3.4.** *If  $X = X_1 \cup X_2$ , where  $X_i$  is a closed  $\beta$ -subspace of  $X$  for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , then  $X$  is a  $\beta$ -space.*

*Proof.* The proof is analogous to the proof of Lemma 3.1. □

**Theorem 3.5** (cf. [18, Theorem 1]). *If  $(X, \mathcal{F})$  is a  $\beta$ -space, then every  $F_\sigma$ -subset of  $X$  is a  $\beta$ -subspace.*

*Proof.* Let  $U = \bigcup\{F_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , where  $F_n$  is closed in  $X$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus  $F_n$  is a  $\beta$ -subspace for each  $n$ . By Lemma 3.4, we can assume that  $F_n \subseteq F_{n+1}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $g: X \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  be a  $\beta$  function for  $X$ . For each  $x \in U$ , let  $m(x)$  be the smallest index such that  $x \in F_{m(x)}$ . Thus  $x \notin F_{m(x)-1}$ , where  $F_0 = \emptyset$ . We define  $g'(x, n) = (U \cap g(x, n)) \setminus F_{m(x)-1}$ . If  $x \in g'(y_n, n)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $y_n \in F_{m(x)}$  for each  $n$ . Thus  $x \in g(y_n, n)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and hence  $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  has a cluster point  $y$  in  $X$ . Since  $y_n \in F_{m(x)}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $F_{m(x)}$  is closed in  $X$ , we have that  $y \in F_{m(x)}$ . Thus  $y \in U$ . So the function  $g': U \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \cap U$  is a  $\beta$  function for the subspace  $U$ , and hence  $U$  is a  $\beta$ -subspace. □

*Acknowledgement.* The authors thank the referee for his/her valuable remarks and suggestions which greatly improved the paper.

#### References

- [1] *H. Bennett, R. Byerly, D. Lutzer: Compact  $G_\delta$  sets. Topology Appl. 153 (2006), 2169–2181.* zbl
- [2] *C. R. Borges: On stratifiable spaces. Pacific J. Math. 17 (1966), 1–16.* zbl
- [3] *G. D. Creede: Concerning semi-stratifiable spaces. Pacific J. Math. 32 (1970), 47–54.* zbl
- [4] *R. Engelking: General Topology. Sigma Series in Pure Mathematics 6, Heldermann, Berlin, revised ed. 1989.*
- [5] *Z. M. Gao: On  $g$ -function separation. Questions Answers Gen. Topology 4 (1986), 47–57.* zbl
- [6] *Z. M. Gao: The closed images of metric spaces and Fréchet  $\aleph$ -spaces. Questions Answers Gen. Topology 5 (1987), 281–291.* zbl
- [7] *C. Good, R. Knight, I. Stares: Monotone countable paracompactness. Topology Appl. 101 (2000), 281–298.* zbl
- [8] *G. Gruenhage: Generalized Metric Spaces. Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984.*

- [9] *R. E. Hodel*: Moore Spaces and  $\omega\Delta$ -spaces. *Pacific J. Math.* *38* (1971), 641–652. zbl
- [10] *N. Kemoto, Y. Yajima*: Certain sequences with compact closure. *Topology Appl.* *156* (2009), 1348–1354. zbl
- [11] *B. L. Kyung*: Spaces in which compacta are uniformly regular  $G_\delta$ . *Pacific J. Math.* *81* (1979), 435–446. zbl
- [12] *S. Lin*: A note on  $k$ -semistratifiable spaces. *J. Suzhou University (Natural Science)* *4* (1988), 357–363.
- [13] *S. Lin*: *Generalized Metric Spaces and Mappings*. Chinese Science Publishers, Beijing, 1995.
- [14] *S. Lin*: Mapping theorems on  $k$ -semistratifiable spaces. *Tsukuba J. Math.* *21* (1997), 809–815. zbl
- [15] *D. J. Lutzer*: Semimetrizable and stratifiable spaces. *General Topology Appl.* *1* (1971), 43–48. zbl
- [16] *H. W. Martin*: Metrizable spaces. *Can. J. Math.* *4* (1973), 840–841. zbl
- [17] *L.-X. Peng, L. X. Wang*: On CSS spaces and related conclusions. *Acta Math. Sci. (Chin. Ser. A)* *30* (2010), 358–363. (In Chinese.)
- [18] *L.-X. Peng, S. Lin*: Monotone spaces and metrization theorems. *Acta Math. Sinica (Chin. Ser.)* *46* (2003), 1225–1232. (In Chinese.) zbl
- [19] *Y. Yajima*: Strong  $\beta$ -spaces and their countable products. *Houston J. Math.* *33* (2007), 531–540. zbl

*Authors' addresses: Li-Xia Wang, Liang-Xue Peng, College of Applied Science, Beijing University of Technology, Beijing 100124, China, e-mail: wanglixia@emails.bjut.edu.cn, pengliangxue@bjut.edu.cn.*