

EQUIVARIANT MAPPINGS FROM VECTOR PRODUCT INTO
 G -SPACES OF φ -SCALARS WITH $G = O(n, 1, \mathbb{R})$

BARBARA GLANC, ALEKSANDER MISIAK,
 MARIA SZMUKSTA-ZAWADZKA, Szczecin

(Received April 5, 2006)

Abstract. There are four kinds of scalars in the n -dimensional pseudo-Euclidean geometry of index one. In this note, we determine all scalars as concomitants of a system of $m \leq n$ linearly independent contravariant vectors of two so far missing types. The problem is resolved by finding the general solution of the functional equation $F(Au_1, Au_2, \dots, Au_m) = \varphi(A) \cdot F(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m)$ using two homomorphisms φ from a group G into the group of real numbers $\mathbb{R}_0 = (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \cdot)$.

Keywords: G -space, equivariant map, pseudo-Euclidean geometry

MSC 2000: 53A55

1. INTRODUCTION

For $n \geq 2$ consider the matrix $E_1 = [e_{ij}] \in GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ where

$$e_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } i \neq j, \\ +1 & \text{for } i = j \neq n, \\ -1 & \text{for } i = j = n. \end{cases}$$

Definition 1. A pseudo-orthogonal group of index one is a subgroup of the group $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ satisfying the condition

$$G = O(n, 1, \mathbb{R}) = \{A: A \in GL(n, \mathbb{R}) \wedge A^T \cdot E_1 \cdot A = E_1\}.$$

The class of G -spaces (M_α, G, f_α) where f_α is an action of G on the space M_α constitutes a category if we take as morphisms equivariant maps $F_{\alpha\beta}: M_\alpha \rightarrow M_\beta$,

i.e. the maps which satisfy the condition

$$(1) \quad \bigwedge_{\alpha, \beta} \bigwedge_{x \in M_\alpha} \bigwedge_{A \in G} F_{\alpha\beta}(f_\alpha(x, A)) = f_\beta(F_{\alpha\beta}(x), A).$$

This category is called a geometry of the group G .

If we denote $A = [A_j^i]_1^n \in G$ then there exist exactly four homomorphisms of the group G in the group \mathbb{R}_0 , namely $1(A) = 1$, $\varepsilon(A) = \text{sign}(\det A)$, $\eta(A) = \text{sign}(A_n^n)$ and $\varepsilon(A) \cdot \eta(A)$ (see [3]). In the pseudo-Euclidean geometry of index one there are the G -space of contravariant vectors

$$(2) \quad (\mathbb{R}^n, G, f), \quad \text{where} \quad \bigwedge_{u \in \mathbb{R}^n} \bigwedge_{A \in G} f(u, A) = A \cdot u,$$

and four G -spaces of objects with one component and a linear transformation rule

$$(3) \quad (\mathbb{R}, G, h), \quad \text{where} \quad \bigwedge_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \bigwedge_{A \in G} h(x, A) = \begin{cases} 1 \cdot x & \text{for 1-scalars,} \\ \varepsilon(A) \cdot x & \text{for } \varepsilon\text{-scalars,} \\ \eta(A) \cdot x & \text{for } \eta\text{-scalars,} \\ \varepsilon(A) \cdot \eta(A) \cdot x & \text{for } \varepsilon\eta\text{-scalars.} \end{cases}$$

Every equivariant map F of a system of linearly independent vectors u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m with $m \leq n$ into \mathbb{R} satisfies the equality (1), which by applying the transformations rules (2) and (3) may be rewritten in the form

$$(4) \quad \bigwedge_{A \in G} F(Au_1, Au_2, \dots, Au_m) = 1 \cdot F(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m) \quad \text{for 1-scalars,}$$

$$(5) \quad \bigwedge_{A \in G} F(Au_1, Au_2, \dots, Au_m) = \varepsilon(A) \cdot F(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m) \quad \text{for } \varepsilon\text{-scalars,}$$

$$(6) \quad \bigwedge_{A \in G} F(Au_1, Au_2, \dots, Au_m) = \eta(A) \cdot F(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m) \quad \text{for } \eta\text{-scalars,}$$

$$(7) \quad \bigwedge_{A \in G} F(Au_1, Au_2, \dots, Au_m) = \varepsilon(A) \cdot \eta(A) \cdot F(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m) \quad \text{for } \varepsilon\eta\text{-scalars.}$$

For the pair u_1, u_2 of contravariant vectors the mapping $p(u_1, u_2) = u_1^T \cdot E_1 \cdot u_2$ satisfies (4), namely

$$p(Au_1, Au_2) = (Au_1)^T \cdot E_1 \cdot (Au_2) = u_1^T (A^T \cdot E_1 \cdot A) u_2 = u_1^T \cdot E_1 \cdot u_2 = p(u_1, u_2).$$

In [7] it was proved that the general solution of the equation (4) is of the form

$$(8) \quad F(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m) = \Theta(p(u_i, u_j)) = \Theta(p_{ij})$$

for $i \leq j = 1, 2, \dots, m \leq n$, where Θ is an arbitrary function of $\frac{1}{2}m(m+1)$ variables p_{ij} .

The invariant p allows us to decompose the space of contravariant vectors (2) into invariant subsets

$$\mathbb{R}^n = \overset{+}{V} \cup (\overset{-}{V} \cup \overset{0}{V}) \cup \{0\} = \overset{+}{V} \cup \overset{*}{V} \cup \{0\}$$

where

$\overset{+}{V} = \{u: u \in \mathbb{R}^n \wedge p(u, u) > 0\}$ is the set of Euclidean vectors,

$\overset{-}{V} = \{u: u \in \mathbb{R}^n \wedge p(u, u) < 0\}$ is the set of pseudo-Euclidean vectors,

$\overset{0}{V} = \{u: u \in \mathbb{R}^n \wedge p(u, u) = 0 \wedge u \neq 0\}$ is the set of isotropic vectors,

$\overset{*}{V} = \overset{-}{V} \cup \overset{0}{V}$ is the set of non-Euclidean vectors.

The isotropic cone $\overset{0}{V}$ is, moreover, a transitive set. Let K^{n-1} denote a ball in the hyperplane $q^n = 1$, namely

$$K^{n-1} = \left\{ q = [q^1, q^2, \dots, q^{n-1}, 1]^T : \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (q^i)^2 \leq 1 = q^n \right\}.$$

Lemma 1. For every point $q \in K^{n-1}$ and arbitrary matrix $A \in G$ we have

$$(9) \quad \text{sign}(w(q, A)) = \text{sign}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n A_i^n q^i\right) = \text{sign}(A_n^n) = \eta(A).$$

Proof. From the condition $A^T \cdot E_1 \cdot A = E_1$ and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we get

$$A_n^n - \sqrt{[(A_n^n)^2 - 1] \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (q^i)^2} \leq w(q, A) \leq A_n^n + \sqrt{[(A_n^n)^2 - 1] \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (q^i)^2},$$

which proves the lemma. \square

From $u \in \overset{*}{V}$ it follows that $u^n \neq 0$ and for an arbitrary matrix $A \in G$ we have also $A \cdot u \in \overset{*}{V}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} u &= [u^1, u^2, \dots, u^n]^T = u^n \left[\frac{u^1}{u^n}, \frac{u^2}{u^n}, \dots, \frac{u^{n-1}}{u^n}, 1 \right]^T \\ &= u^n [q^1, q^2, \dots, q^{n-1}, 1]^T = u^n \cdot q, \end{aligned}$$

where $q \in K^{n-1}$. The point q is called the direction of the non-Euclidean vector u . The last coordinate of the vector $A \cdot u$ is equal to

$$(10) \quad (Au)^n = \sum_{i=1}^n A_i^n u^i = u^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^n A_i^n q^i \right) = u^n \cdot w(q, A).$$

In accordance with (9) and (10) we get

$$(11) \quad \bigwedge_{u \in \check{V}} \bigwedge_{A \in G} \text{sign}[(Au)^n] = \eta(A) \cdot \text{sign}(u^n).$$

Now, let an arbitrary system of linearly independent vectors u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m be given. Let $L_m = L(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m)$ denote the linear subspace generated by the vectors u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m and $p|L_m$ the restriction of the form p to the subspace L_m .

Definition 2. The subspace L_m is called:

- (i) a Euclidean subspace if the form $p|L_m$ is positive definite,
- (ii) a pseudo-Euclidean subspace if the form $p|L_m$ is regular and indefinite,
- (iii) a singular subspace if the form $p|L_m$ is singular.

If we denote

$$P(m) = P(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m) = \det [p_{ij}]_1^m$$

then the above three cases are equivalent to $P(m) > 0$, $P(m) < 0$ and $P(m) = 0$, respectively. Let P_{ij}^m denote the cofactor of the element p_{ij} of the matrix $[p_{ij}]_1^m$ and let $P_{11}^1 = 1, P(0) = 1$ by definition.

If $m = n - 1$ and $P(n - 1) = 0$ then the singular subspace $L(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{n-1})$ determines exactly one isotropic direction $q \in K^{n-1}$ whose representative, if $P(n - 2) \neq 0$, is of the form

$$(12) \quad v = \frac{1}{P(n-2)} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} P_{n-1,i}^{n-1} \cdot u_i = v^n \cdot [q^1, q^2, \dots, q^{n-1}, 1]^T \in \check{V} \cap L_{n-1}.$$

From $p(u_i, v) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$ it follows that each vector u_i is of the form

$$(13) \quad u_i = \left[u_i^1, u_i^2, \dots, u_i^{n-1}, \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} u_i^k q^k \right] \quad \text{where } \Delta = \det [u_i^j]_1^{n-1} \neq 0.$$

Let us denote

$$(14) \quad B_r(u_1, \dots, u_r, \dots, u_{n-1}) = \begin{pmatrix} u_1^1 & u_1^2 & \dots & u_1^{n-1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ u_{r-1}^1 & u_{r-1}^2 & \dots & u_{r-1}^{n-1} \\ q^1 & q^2 & \dots & q^{n-1} \\ u_{r+1}^1 & u_{r+1}^2 & \dots & u_{r+1}^{n-1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ u_{n-1}^1 & u_{n-1}^2 & \dots & u_{n-1}^{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for } r = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1.$$

It is easy to prove that $B_r \cdot B_k = P_{rk}^{n-1}$, so at least one of B_r is different from zero. In [5] it was proved that

$$(15) \quad \bigwedge_{A \in G} B_r(Au_1, Au_2, \dots, Au_{n-1}) = \varepsilon(A) \cdot B_r(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{n-1})$$

and the general solution of the functional equation (5) was given, namely

$$(16) \quad F(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m < n-1 \text{ or } m = n-1 \text{ and } P(m) \neq 0, \\ \Theta(p_{ij}) \cdot B(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{n-1}) & \text{if } m = n-1 \text{ and } P(m) = 0, \\ \Theta(p_{ij}) \cdot \det(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) & \text{if } m = n, \end{cases}$$

where Θ is an arbitrary function of $\frac{1}{2}m(m+1)$ variables and B is an arbitrary nonzero ε -scalar among B_1, B_2, \dots, B_{n-1} . In this paper we determine the general solution of the functional equations (6) and (7).

2. GENERAL SOLUTION OF THE FUNCTIONAL EQUATION (6)

Definition 3. We say that a system of vectors e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n constitutes a pseudo-orthonormal base if

$$[p(e_i, e_j)]_1^n = E_1.$$

To each vector e_i of the pseudo-orthonormal base we assign the covector $e_i^* = e_i^T \cdot E_1$ and then $e_i^* \cdot u_j = p(e_i, u_j)$.

Definition 4. We say that a pseudo-orthogonal matrix A whose rows consist of coordinates of covectors $e_1^*, e_2^*, \dots, e_n^*$ corresponds to the pseudo-orthonormal base e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n .

Let a sequence of linearly independent vectors $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m, \dots, u_n$ be given. Let us denote $\varepsilon_m = \text{sign } P(m)$. Apparently $\varepsilon_n = -1$ and from the definition $\varepsilon_0 = +1$.

Definition 5. The sequence $(+1, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_m, \dots, \varepsilon_{n-1}, -1)$ will be called the signature of the sequence of subspaces $L(u_1), L(u_1, u_2), \dots, L_m, \dots, L_n$.

In [7] it was proved that the only restriction is $\varepsilon_{i+1} \leq \varepsilon_i$.

Lemma 2. *The functional equation*

$$\bigwedge_{A \in G} F(Au_1, Au_2, \dots, Au_m) = \eta(A) \cdot F(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m)$$

in the case $P(m) > 0$ has only the trivial solution $F(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m) \equiv 0$.

Proof. Evidently $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ and the partial signature up to ε_m is of the form $(+1, +1, \dots, +1)$. The vectors

$$(17) \quad e_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{P(k-1) \cdot P(k)}} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^k P_{ki} u_i \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

constitute in the Euclidean subspace $L(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m)$ the first m vectors of a pseudo-orthonormal base. We have

$$(18) \quad e_k = e_k(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k) \text{ and } p(e_k, e_r) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } r < k, \\ \Theta(p_{ij}) & \text{for } k \leq r \leq m. \end{cases}$$

The other vectors $e_{m+1}, e_{m+2}, \dots, e_n$ of the pseudo-orthonormal base is chosen from the orthogonal complement L_m^\perp . Inserting the matrix A corresponding to this base into equation (6) we get

$$F(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m) = \eta(A) \cdot F(Au_1, Au_2, \dots, Au_m) = \eta(A) \cdot \Theta_1(p_{ij}).$$

For the matrix C corresponding to the base $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{n-1}, -e_n$ we get

$$F(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m) = \eta(C) \cdot F(Cu_1, Cu_2, \dots, Cu_m) = -\eta(A) \cdot \Theta_1(p_{ij}).$$

Because in both cases the scalar $\Theta_1(p_{ij})$ is the same we conclude that $F \equiv 0$. \square

In the case $P(m) \leq 0$ the partial signature up to ε_m is either of the form

- (*) $(+1, \dots, +1, -1 = \varepsilon_s, -1, \dots, -1)$ for $s = 1, 2, \dots, m \leq n$ or
- (**) $(+1, \dots, +1, 0 = \varepsilon_s, \varepsilon_{s+1}, \dots, \varepsilon_m)$ for $s = 1, 2, \dots, \min\{m, n-1\}$.

In both the cases we have $P(s-1) > 0$ and $P(s) \leq 0$. Using the vectors u_1, u_2, \dots, u_s we get

$$(19) \quad v = v(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_s) = \sum_{i=1}^s P_{si} u_i \in V^*$$

because $v \neq 0$ and $p(v, v) = P(s-1) \cdot P(s) \leq 0$.

Let us assume that $F(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m)$ is a general solution of the functional equation (6) in the case $P(m) \leq 0$. We conclude that in this case the function $\text{sign } v^n F(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m)$ is the general solution of the functional equation (4). Using (8) we get

Theorem 1. *The general solution of the functional equation*

$$\bigwedge_{A \in G} F(Au_1, Au_2, \dots, Au_m) = \eta(A) \cdot F(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m)$$

is of the form

$$(20) \quad F(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m = 1, 2, \dots, n-1 \text{ and } P(m) > 0, \\ \Theta(p_{ij}) \cdot \text{sign}(v^n) & \text{if } m = 1, 2, \dots, n \text{ and } P(m) \leq 0, \end{cases}$$

where Θ is an arbitrary function of $\frac{1}{2}m(m+1)$ variables and v is an arbitrary vector belonging to $L_m \cap V^*$.

3. GENERAL SOLUTION OF THE FUNCTIONAL EQUATION (7)

The homomorphisms $1, \varepsilon, \eta, \varepsilon \cdot \eta$ constitute a Klein group and the relations (16) and (20) enable us to determine the general solution of the functional equation (7).

Lemma 3. *The general solution of the functional equation (7) is of the form*

$$(21) \quad F(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m < n-1 \text{ or } m = n-1 \text{ and } P(m) \neq 0, \\ \Theta(p_{ij}) \cdot B \cdot \text{sign}(v^n) & \text{if } m = n-1 \text{ and } P(m) = 0, \\ \Theta(p_{ij}) \cdot \text{sign}(v^n) \cdot \det(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) & \text{if } m = n, \end{cases}$$

where Θ is an arbitrary function of $\frac{1}{2}m(m+1)$ variables, v is an arbitrary non-Euclidean vector belonging to the subspace L_m and B is an arbitrary nonzero ε -scalar amongst B_1, B_2, \dots, B_{n-1} .

In the formulas (20) and (21) we can use in particular the vector v described by (19) whereas the ε -scalar B in (21) is not determined. However, there exist relations between v^n in (12), B_{n-1} in (14) and Δ in (13). If $P(n-2) \neq 0$ we have the formula (12) and $B_{n-1} \neq 0$. In what follows

$$\begin{aligned} v^n \cdot B_{n-1} &= \frac{B_{n-1}}{P(n-2)} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} P_{n-1,i} u_i^n = \frac{1}{B_{n-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} B_{n-1} \cdot B_i \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} u_i^j q^j \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} q^j \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} B_i u_i^j \right) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} q^j (\Delta \cdot q^j) = \Delta(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that

$$(22) \quad \bigwedge_{A \in G} \Delta(Au_1, Au_2, \dots, Au_{n-1}) = \varepsilon(A) \cdot w(q, A) \cdot \Delta(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{n-1}).$$

We give a more convenient version of this result.

Theorem 2. *The general solution of the functional equation*

$$\bigwedge_{A \in G} F(Au_1, Au_2, \dots, Au_m) = \varepsilon(A) \cdot \eta(A) \cdot F(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m)$$

is of the form

$$(23) \quad F(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m < n - 1 \text{ or } m = n - 1 \text{ and } P(m) \neq 0, \\ \Theta(p_{ij}) \cdot \text{sign } \Delta(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{n-1}) & \text{if } m = n - 1 \text{ and } P(m) = 0, \\ \Theta(p_{ij}) \cdot \text{sign}(v^n) \cdot \det(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) & \text{if } m = n, \end{cases}$$

where Θ is an arbitrary function of $\frac{1}{2}m(m+1)$ variables and v is an arbitrary non-Euclidean vector.

References

- [1] *J. Aczél, S. Gołąb*: Funktionalgleichungen der Theorie der geometrischen Objekte. Państwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe, Warszawa, 1960. zbl
- [2] *L. Bieszk, E. Stasiak*: Sur deux formes équivalents de la notion de (r, s) -orientation de la géométrie de Klein. Publ. Math. Debrecen 35 (1988), 43–50.
- [3] *E. Kasperek*: The homomorphisms of the pseudo-orthogonal group of index one into an abelian group. Demonstratio Math. 22 (1989), 763–771. zbl
- [4] *M. Kucharzewski*: Über die Grundlagen der Kleinschen Geometrie. Period. Math. Hungar. 8 (1977), 83–89. zbl
- [5] *A. Misiak, E. Stasiak*: Equivariant maps between certain G -spaces with $G = O(n-1, 1)$. Math. Bohem. 126 (2001), 555–560. zbl
- [6] *E. Stasiak*: O pewnym działaniu grupy pseudoortogonalnej o indeksie jeden $O(n, 1, \mathbb{R})$ na sferze S^{n-2} . Prace Naukowe P.S. 485 (1993).
- [7] *E. Stasiak*: Scalar concomitants of a system of vectors in pseudo-Euclidean geometry of index 1. Publ. Math. Debrecen 57 (2000), 55–69. zbl

Author's address: Barbara Glanc, Aleksander Misiak, Maria Szmuksta-Zawadzka, Instytut Matematyki, Politechnika Szczecińska, Al. Piastów 17, 70-310 Szczecin, Poland, e-mail: misiak@ps.pl.