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## 1. Introduction

Let $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}$ be a given infinite series with its partial sums $\left\{s_{n}\right\}$ and let $A:=\left(a_{n v}\right)$ be a normal matrix, i.e. a lower triangular matrix with non-zero diagonal entries. Then $A$ defines the sequence-to-sequence transformation, mapping the sequence $s:=\left\{s_{n}\right\}$ to $A s:=\left\{A_{n}(s)\right\}$, where

$$
A_{n}(s):=\sum_{v=0}^{n} a_{n v} s_{v}, \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

In 1957, Flett [5] gave the following definition:
The infinite series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}$ is said to be absolutely $|A|_{k}$-summable, $k \geqslant 1$, if

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^{k-1}\left|\bar{\Delta} A_{n}(s)\right|^{k}
$$

converges, where

$$
\bar{\Delta} A_{n}(s)=A_{n}(s)-A_{n-1}(s) .
$$

If this is the case, we write

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} \in|A|_{k}
$$

In [6], Flett considered a further extension of absolute summability in which he introduced a further parameter $\delta$. The series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}$ is said to be $|A, \delta|_{k}$-summable, $k \geqslant 1, \delta \geqslant 0$, if

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^{\delta k+k-1}\left|\bar{\Delta} A_{n}(s)\right|^{k}<\infty
$$

Let $p$ denote the sequence $\left\{p_{n}\right\}$. For two given sequences $p$ and $q$, the convolution $(p * q)_{n}$ is defined by

$$
(p * q)_{n}=\sum_{m=0}^{n} p_{m} q_{n-m}=\sum_{m=0}^{n} p_{n-m} q_{m}
$$

When $(p * q)_{n} \neq 0$ for all $n$, the generalized Nörlund transform of the sequence $\left\{s_{n}\right\}$ is the sequence $\left\{t_{n}^{p, q}\right\}$ obtained by putting

$$
t_{n}^{p, q}=\frac{1}{(p * q)_{n}} \sum_{m=0}^{n} p_{n-m} q_{m} s_{m}
$$

The infinite series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}$ is absolutely $(N, p, q)$-summable if the series

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|t_{n}^{p, q}-t_{n-1}^{p, q}\right|
$$

converges, and we write

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} \in|N, p, q|
$$

The notion of $|N, p, q|$ summability was introduced by Tanaka [3].
Let $\left\{\varphi_{j}\right\}$ be an orthonormal system defined in the interval $(a, b)$. We assume that $f$ belongs to $L^{2}(a, b)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_{j} \varphi_{j}(x) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{j}=\int_{a}^{b} f(x) \varphi_{j}(x) \mathrm{d} x \quad(j=0,1,2, \ldots)$.

Following [4] we write

$$
R_{n}:=(p * q)_{n}, \quad R_{n}^{j}:=\sum_{m=j}^{n} p_{n-m} q_{m}
$$

where

$$
R_{n}^{n+1}=0, \quad R_{n}^{0}=R_{n} .
$$

We recall two results from [4].
Theorem 1.1 [4]. If the series

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{R_{n}^{j}}{R_{n}}-\frac{R_{n-1}^{j}}{R_{n-1}}\right)^{2}\left|c_{j}\right|^{2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

converges, then the orthogonal series

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_{j} \varphi_{j}(x)
$$

is $|N, p, q|$-summable almost everywhere.
Theorem 1.2 [4]. Let $\{\Omega(n)\}$ be a positive sequence such that $\{\Omega(n) / n\}$ is a nonincreasing sequence and the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(n \Omega(n))^{-1}$ converges. Let $\left\{p_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{q_{n}\right\}$ be non-negative. If the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|c_{n}\right|^{2} \Omega(n) w^{(1)}(n)$ converges, then the orthogonal series $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_{j} \varphi_{j}(x) \in|N, p, q|$ almost everywhere, where $w^{(1)}(n)$ is defined by $w^{(1)}(j):=$ $j^{-1} \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} n^{2}\left(R_{n}^{j} / R_{n}-R_{n-1}^{j} / R_{n-1}\right)^{2}$.

The main purpose of the present paper is to generalize Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 for $|A, \delta|_{k}$ summability of the orthogonal series (1.1), where $1 \leqslant k \leqslant 2$. Before stating the main results, we introduce some further notation.

With a normal matrix $A:=\left(a_{n v}\right)$ we associate two semi lower matrices $\bar{A}:=\left(\bar{a}_{n v}\right)$ and $\hat{A}:=\left(\hat{a}_{n v}\right)$ as follows:

$$
\bar{a}_{n v}:=\sum_{i=v}^{n} a_{n i}, \quad n, i=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

and

$$
\hat{a}_{00}=\bar{a}_{00}=a_{00}, \hat{a}_{n v}=\bar{a}_{n v}-\bar{a}_{n-1, v}, \quad n=1,2, \ldots
$$

It may be noted that $\bar{A}$ and $\hat{A}$ are the well-known matrices of series-to-sequence and series-to-series transformations, respectively.

Throughout this paper we denote by $K$ a constant that depends only on $k$ and may be different in different relations.

## 2. Main results

We prove the following theorem.
Theorem 2.1. If the series

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\{n^{2(\delta+1-1 / k)} \sum_{j=0}^{n}\left|\hat{a}_{n, j}\right|^{2}\left|c_{j}\right|^{2}\right\}^{k / 2}
$$

converges for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant 2$, then the orthogonal series

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_{j} \varphi_{j}(x)
$$

is $|A, \delta|_{k}$-summable almost everywhere.
Proof. Let

$$
s_{v}(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{v} c_{j} \varphi_{j}(x)
$$

be the partial sums of order $v$ of the series (1.1). Then, for the matrix transform $A_{n}(s)(x)$ of the partial sums $s_{v}(x)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{n}(s)(x) & =\sum_{v=0}^{n} a_{n v} s_{v}(x)=\sum_{v=0}^{n} a_{n v} \sum_{j=0}^{v} c_{j} \varphi_{j}(x) \\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{n} c_{j} \varphi_{j}(x) \sum_{v=j}^{n} a_{n v}=\sum_{j=0}^{n} \bar{a}_{n j} c_{j} \varphi_{j}(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{\Delta} A_{n}(s)(x) & =\sum_{j=0}^{n} \bar{a}_{n j} c_{j} \varphi_{j}(x)-\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \bar{a}_{n-1, j} c_{j} \varphi_{j}(x) \\
& =\bar{a}_{n n} c_{n} \varphi_{n}(x)+\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\left(\bar{a}_{n, j}-\bar{a}_{n-1, j}\right) c_{j} \varphi_{j}(x) \\
& =\hat{a}_{n n} c_{n} \varphi_{n}(x)+\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \hat{a}_{n, j} c_{j} \varphi_{j}(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{n} \hat{a}_{n, j} c_{j} \varphi_{j}(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Hölder's inequality and orthogonality, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{a}^{b}\left|\bar{\Delta} A_{n}(s)(x)\right|^{k} \mathrm{~d} x & \leqslant(b-a)^{1-k / 2}\left(\int_{a}^{b}\left|A_{n}(s)(x)-A_{n-1}(s)(x)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{k / 2} \\
& =(b-a)^{1-k / 2}\left(\int_{a}^{b}\left|\sum_{j=0}^{n} \hat{a}_{n, j} c_{j} \varphi_{j}(x)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{k / 2} \\
& =(b-a)^{1-k / 2}\left[\sum_{j=0}^{n}\left|\hat{a}_{n, j}\right|^{2}\left|c_{j}\right|^{2}\right]^{k / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the series

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\delta k+k-1} \int_{a}^{b}\left|\bar{\Delta} A_{n}(s)(x)\right|^{k} \mathrm{~d} x \leqslant K \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[n^{2(\delta+1)-2 / k} \sum_{j=0}^{n}\left|\hat{a}_{n, j}\right|^{2}\left|c_{j}\right|^{2}\right]^{k / 2} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

converges since the last one does by the assumption. Now, the Lemma of Beppo-Lévi implies the theorem.

If we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{(k)}(A, \delta ; j):=\frac{1}{j^{2 / k-1}} \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} n^{2(\delta+1 / k)}\left|\hat{a}_{n, j}\right|^{2} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the following theorem holds.

Theorem 2.2. Let $1 \leqslant k \leqslant 2$ and let $\{\Omega(n)\}$ be a positive sequence such that $\{\Omega(n) / n\}$ is a non-increasing sequence and the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(n \Omega(n))^{-1}$ converges. If the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|c_{n}\right|^{2} \Omega^{2 / k-1}(n) w^{(k)}(A, \delta ; n)$ converges, then the orthogonal series $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_{j} \varphi_{j}(x)$ is $|A, \delta|_{k}$-summable almost everywhere, where $w^{(k)}(A, \delta ; n)$ is defined by (2.2).

Proof. Applying Hölder's inequality to the inequality (2.1) we get that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\delta k+k-1} \int_{a}^{b}\left|\bar{\Delta} A_{n}(s)(x)\right|^{k} \mathrm{~d} x \leqslant K \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\delta k+k-1}\left[\sum_{j=0}^{n}\left|\hat{a}_{n, j}\right|^{2}\left|c_{j}\right|^{2}\right]^{k / 2} \\
=K \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n \Omega(n))^{1-k / 2}}\left[n^{2 \delta+1} \Omega^{2 / k-1}(n) \sum_{j=0}^{n}\left|\hat{a}_{n, j}\right|^{2}\left|c_{j}\right|^{2}\right]^{k / 2}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leqslant K\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n \Omega(n))}\right)^{1-k / 2}\left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2 \delta+1} \Omega^{2 / k-1}(n) \sum_{j=0}^{n}\left|\hat{a}_{n, j}\right|^{2}\left|c_{j}\right|^{2}\right]^{k / 2} \\
& \leqslant K\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|c_{j}\right|^{2} \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} n^{2 \delta+1} \Omega^{2 / k-1}(n)\left|\hat{a}_{n, j}\right|^{2}\right\}^{k / 2} \\
& \leqslant K\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|c_{j}\right|^{2}\left(\frac{\Omega(j)}{j}\right)^{2 / k-1} \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} n^{2(\delta+1 / k)}\left|\hat{a}_{n, j}\right|^{2}\right\}^{k / 2} \\
& =K\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|c_{j}\right|^{2} \Omega^{2 / k-1}(j) w^{(k)}(A, \delta ; j)\right\}^{k / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is finite by virtue of the hypothesis of the theorem, and this completes the proof.

The next section is devoted to applications of our main results.

## 3. Applications of the main results

We can specialize the matrix $A=\left(a_{n v}\right)$ so that $|A, \delta|_{k}$ summability reduces to some known notions of absolute summability. This means that sufficient conditions obtained in the main results, under which the orthogonal series (1.1) is $|A, \delta|_{k^{-}}$ summable almost everywhere ( $1 \leqslant k \leqslant 2$ ), include sufficient conditions under which the orthogonal series (1.1) is absolute summable almost everywhere with different kinds of absolute summability notions. The most important particular cases of the $|A, \delta|_{k}$ summability notions are:

1. For $a_{n, v}=(n+1)^{-1}$ we obtain the Cesàro means $A_{n}(s)=(n+1)^{-1} \sum_{v=0}^{n} s_{v}$, and $|A, \delta|_{k} \equiv|C, 1, \delta|_{k}$ summability.
2. For $a_{n, v}=((n-v+1) \log n)^{-1}$ we obtain the harmonic means $A_{n}(s)=$ $(\log n)^{-1} \sum_{v=0}^{n} s_{v} /(n-v+1)$, and $|A, \delta|_{k} \equiv|H, 1, \delta|_{k}$ summability.
3. For $a_{n, v}=\binom{n-v+\alpha+1}{\alpha-1} /\binom{n+\alpha}{\alpha}, 0 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant 1$, we obtain the Cesàro means (of or$\operatorname{der} \alpha) A_{n}(s)=\binom{n+\alpha}{\alpha}^{-1} \sum_{v=0}^{n}\binom{n-v+\alpha+1}{\alpha-1} s_{v}$, and $|A, \delta|_{k} \equiv|C, \alpha, \delta|_{k}$ summability.
4. For $a_{n, v}=p_{n-v} / P_{n}$ we obtain the Nörlund means $A_{n}(s)=P_{n}^{-1} \sum_{v=0}^{n} p_{n-v} s_{v}$, and $|A, \delta|_{k} \equiv\left|N, p_{n}, \delta\right|_{k}$ summability.
5. For $a_{n, v}=q_{v} / Q_{n}$ we obtain the Riesz means $A_{n}(s)=Q_{n}^{-1} \sum_{v=0}^{n} q_{v} s_{v}$, and $|A, \delta|_{k} \equiv\left|\bar{N}, q_{n}, \delta\right|_{k}$ summability.
6. For $a_{n, v}=p_{n-v} q_{v} / R_{n}$, where $R_{n}=\sum_{v=0}^{n} p_{v} q_{n-v}$, we obtain the generalized Nörlund means $A_{n}(s)=R_{n}^{-1} \sum_{v=0}^{n} p_{n-v} q_{v} s_{v}$, and $|A, \delta|_{k} \equiv\left|N, p_{n}, q_{n}, \delta\right|_{k}$ summability.
7. For $a_{n, v}=(n+1)^{-1} P_{v}^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{v} p_{v-k}$, we obtain the $t_{n}^{C N}$ means (see [7]) $A_{n}(s)=$ $(n+1)^{-1} \sum_{v=0}^{n} P_{v}^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{v} p_{v-k} s_{k}$, and $|A, \delta|_{k} \equiv\left|C^{1} \cdot N_{p}, \delta\right|_{k}$ summability.
Now we shall discuss only some of the above cases for $\delta=0$ (the other cases can be discussed in a similar way). For this purpose, first let us clarify that the results of [4] follow from the main results of this paper. Indeed, for $a_{n, v}=p_{n-v} q_{v} / R_{n}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{a}_{n, v} & =\bar{a}_{n, v}-\bar{a}_{n-1, v}=\sum_{j=v}^{n} a_{n j}-\sum_{j=v}^{n-1} a_{n-1, j} \\
& =\frac{1}{R_{n}} \sum_{j=v}^{n} p_{n-j} q_{j}-\frac{1}{R_{n-1}} \sum_{j=v}^{n-1} p_{n-1-j} q_{j}=\frac{R_{n}^{j}}{R_{n}}-\frac{R_{n-1}^{j}}{R_{n-1}},
\end{aligned}
$$

whence

$$
\left|\hat{a}_{n, v}\right|^{2}=\left(\frac{R_{n}^{j}}{R_{n}}-\frac{R_{n-1}^{j}}{R_{n-1}}\right)^{2}
$$

Therefore, if we insert this equality, and take $\delta=0$ and $k=1$ in Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, then Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 follow immediately.

Also, some other known results are included in Theorem 2.1. Namely, for $a_{n, v}=$ $p_{n-v} / P_{n}$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{a}_{n, j} & =\bar{a}_{n, j}-\bar{a}_{n-1, j} \\
& =\frac{1}{P_{n}} \sum_{i=j}^{n} p_{n-i}-\frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \sum_{i=j}^{n-1} p_{n-1-i} \\
& =\frac{1}{P_{n} P_{n-1}}\left(P_{n-1} P_{n-j}-P_{n} P_{n-1-j}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{P_{n} P_{n-1}}\left(\left(P_{n}-p_{n}\right) P_{n-j}-P_{n}\left(P_{n-j}-p_{n-j}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{p_{n}}{P_{n} P_{n-1}}\left(\frac{P_{n}}{p_{n}}-\frac{P_{n-j}}{p_{n-j}}\right) p_{n-j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, using Theorem 2.1 for $\delta=0$ and $k=1$, the following result holds.

Corollary 3.1 [1]. If the series

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{p_{n}}{P_{n} P_{n-1}}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{n-j}^{2}\left(\frac{P_{n}}{p_{n}}-\frac{P_{n-j}}{p_{n-j}}\right)^{2}\left|c_{j}\right|^{2}\right\}^{1 / 2}
$$

converges, then the orthogonal series $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_{j} \varphi_{j}(x)$ is $|N, p|$-summable almost everywhere.

Also, for $a_{n, v}=q_{v} / Q_{n}$ one can find that

$$
\hat{a}_{n, j}=\bar{a}_{n, j}-\bar{a}_{n-1, j}=-\frac{q_{n} Q_{j-1}}{Q_{n} Q_{n-1}} .
$$

Therefore, using again Theorem 2.1 for $\delta=0$ and $k=1$, we obtain

Corollary 3.2 [2]. If the series

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q_{n}}{Q_{n} Q_{n-1}}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n} Q_{j-1}^{2}\left|c_{j}\right|^{2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

converges, then the orthogonal series $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_{j} \varphi_{j}(x)$ is $|\bar{N}, q|$-summable almost everywhere.

Some other interesting consequences are the corollaries formulated below.

Corollary 3.3. If the series

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{n^{2(1-1 / k) / k} p_{n}}{P_{n} P_{n-1}}\right)^{k}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{n-j}^{2}\left(\frac{P_{n}}{p_{n}}-\frac{P_{n-j}}{p_{n-j}}\right)^{2}\left|c_{j}\right|^{2}\right\}^{k / 2}
$$

converges for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant 2$, then the orthogonal series $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_{j} \varphi_{j}(x)$ is $|N, p|_{k}$-summable almost everywhere.

Remark 3.1. We note here that:

1. If $p_{n}=1$ for all values of $n$ then $|N, p|_{k}$ summability reduces to $|C, 1|_{k}$ summability
2. If $k=1$ and $p_{n}=1 /(n+1)$ then $|N, p|_{k}$ is equivalent to $|R, \log n, 1|$ summability.

Corollary 3.4. If the series

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{n^{2(1-1 / k) / k} q_{n}}{Q_{n} Q_{n-1}}\right)^{k}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n} Q_{j-1}^{2}\left|c_{j}\right|^{2}\right\}^{k / 2}
$$

converges for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant 2$, then the orthogonal series $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_{j} \varphi_{j}(x)$ is $|\bar{N}, q|_{k}$-summable almost everywhere.
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