# ON $|A, \delta|_k$ -SUMMABILITY OF ORTHOGONAL SERIES

Xhevat Z. Krasniqi, Prishtinë

(Received May 20, 2010)

#### Dedicated to the memory of my Professor Muharrem Berisha

Abstract. In the paper, we prove two theorems on  $|A, \delta|_k$  summability,  $1 \leq k \leq 2$ , of orthogonal series. Several known and new results are also deduced as corollaries of the main results.

Keywords: orthogonal series, matrix summability

MSC 2010: 42C15, 40F05, 40D15

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  be a given infinite series with its partial sums  $\{s_n\}$  and let  $A := (a_{nv})$  be a normal matrix, i.e. a lower triangular matrix with non-zero diagonal entries. Then A defines the sequence-to-sequence transformation, mapping the sequence  $s := \{s_n\}$ to  $As := \{A_n(s)\}$ , where

$$A_n(s) := \sum_{v=0}^n a_{nv} s_v, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

In 1957, Flett [5] gave the following definition:

The infinite series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  is said to be absolutely  $|A|_k$ -summable,  $k \ge 1$ , if

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^{k-1} |\bar{\Delta}A_n(s)|^k$$

converges, where

$$\bar{\Delta}A_n(s) = A_n(s) - A_{n-1}(s).$$

1	7
Т	1

If this is the case, we write

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \in |A|_k.$$

In [6], Flett considered a further extension of absolute summability in which he introduced a further parameter  $\delta$ . The series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  is said to be  $|A, \delta|_k$ -summable,  $k \ge 1, \delta \ge 0$ , if

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^{\delta k+k-1} |\bar{\Delta}A_n(s)|^k < \infty.$$

Let p denote the sequence  $\{p_n\}$ . For two given sequences p and q, the convolution  $(p * q)_n$  is defined by

$$(p*q)_n = \sum_{m=0}^n p_m q_{n-m} = \sum_{m=0}^n p_{n-m} q_m.$$

When  $(p * q)_n \neq 0$  for all *n*, the generalized Nörlund transform of the sequence  $\{s_n\}$  is the sequence  $\{t_n^{p,q}\}$  obtained by putting

$$t_n^{p,q} = \frac{1}{(p*q)_n} \sum_{m=0}^n p_{n-m} q_m s_m$$

The infinite series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  is absolutely (N, p, q)-summable if the series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |t_n^{p,q} - t_{n-1}^{p,q}|$$

converges, and we write

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \in |N, p, q|.$$

The notion of |N, p, q| summability was introduced by Tanaka [3].

Let  $\{\varphi_j\}$  be an orthonormal system defined in the interval (a, b). We assume that f belongs to  $L^2(a, b)$  and

(1.1) 
$$f(x) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j \varphi_j(x),$$

where  $c_j = \int_a^b f(x)\varphi_j(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \quad (j = 0, 1, 2, ...).$ 

Following [4] we write

$$R_n := (p * q)_n, \quad R_n^j := \sum_{m=j}^n p_{n-m} q_m$$

where

$$R_n^{n+1} = 0, \quad R_n^0 = R_n.$$

We recall two results from [4].

**Theorem 1.1** [4]. If the series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( \frac{R_n^j}{R_n} - \frac{R_{n-1}^j}{R_{n-1}} \right)^2 |c_j|^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

converges, then the orthogonal series

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j \varphi_j(x)$$

is |N, p, q|-summable almost everywhere.

**Theorem 1.2** [4]. Let  $\{\Omega(n)\}$  be a positive sequence such that  $\{\Omega(n)/n\}$  is a nonincreasing sequence and the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n\Omega(n))^{-1}$  converges. Let  $\{p_n\}$  and  $\{q_n\}$  be non-negative. If the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |c_n|^2 \Omega(n) w^{(1)}(n)$  converges, then the orthogonal series  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j \varphi_j(x) \in |N, p, q|$  almost everywhere, where  $w^{(1)}(n)$  is defined by  $w^{(1)}(j) :=$  $j^{-1} \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} n^2 (R_n^j/R_n - R_{n-1}^j/R_{n-1})^2$ .

The main purpose of the present paper is to generalize Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 for  $|A, \delta|_k$  summability of the orthogonal series (1.1), where  $1 \leq k \leq 2$ . Before stating the main results, we introduce some further notation.

With a normal matrix  $A := (a_{nv})$  we associate two semi lower matrices  $\bar{A} := (\bar{a}_{nv})$ and  $\hat{A} := (\hat{a}_{nv})$  as follows:

$$\bar{a}_{nv} := \sum_{i=v}^{n} a_{ni}, \quad n, i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

and

$$\hat{a}_{00} = \bar{a}_{00} = a_{00}, \ \hat{a}_{nv} = \bar{a}_{nv} - \bar{a}_{n-1,v}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

19

It may be noted that  $\overline{A}$  and  $\hat{A}$  are the well-known matrices of series-to-sequence and series-to-series transformations, respectively.

Throughout this paper we denote by K a constant that depends only on k and may be different in different relations.

## 2. Main results

We prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. If the series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ n^{2(\delta+1-1/k)} \sum_{j=0}^{n} |\hat{a}_{n,j}|^2 |c_j|^2 \right\}^{k/2}$$

converges for  $1 \leq k \leq 2$ , then the orthogonal series

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j \varphi_j(x)$$

is  $|A, \delta|_k$ -summable almost everywhere.

Proof. Let

$$s_v(x) = \sum_{j=0}^v c_j \varphi_j(x)$$

be the partial sums of order v of the series (1.1). Then, for the matrix transform  $A_n(s)(x)$  of the partial sums  $s_v(x)$ , we have

$$A_{n}(s)(x) = \sum_{v=0}^{n} a_{nv} s_{v}(x) = \sum_{v=0}^{n} a_{nv} \sum_{j=0}^{v} c_{j} \varphi_{j}(x)$$
$$= \sum_{j=0}^{n} c_{j} \varphi_{j}(x) \sum_{v=j}^{n} a_{nv} = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \bar{a}_{nj} c_{j} \varphi_{j}(x).$$

Hence

$$\bar{\Delta}A_n(s)(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n \bar{a}_{nj}c_j\varphi_j(x) - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \bar{a}_{n-1,j}c_j\varphi_j(x)$$
$$= \bar{a}_{nn}c_n\varphi_n(x) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (\bar{a}_{n,j} - \bar{a}_{n-1,j})c_j\varphi_j(x)$$
$$= \hat{a}_{nn}c_n\varphi_n(x) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \hat{a}_{n,j}c_j\varphi_j(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n \hat{a}_{n,j}c_j\varphi_j(x)$$

20

Using Hölder's inequality and orthogonality, we have that

$$\begin{split} \int_{a}^{b} |\bar{\Delta}A_{n}(s)(x)|^{k} \, \mathrm{d}x &\leq (b-a)^{1-k/2} \left( \int_{a}^{b} |A_{n}(s)(x) - A_{n-1}(s)(x)|^{2} \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{k/2} \\ &= (b-a)^{1-k/2} \left( \int_{a}^{b} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{n} \hat{a}_{n,j} c_{j} \varphi_{j}(x) \right|^{2} \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{k/2} \\ &= (b-a)^{1-k/2} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{n} |\hat{a}_{n,j}|^{2} |c_{j}|^{2} \right]^{k/2}. \end{split}$$

Thus, the series

(2.1) 
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\delta k+k-1} \int_{a}^{b} |\bar{\Delta}A_{n}(s)(x)|^{k} \, \mathrm{d}x \leq K \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ n^{2(\delta+1)-2/k} \sum_{j=0}^{n} |\hat{a}_{n,j}|^{2} |c_{j}|^{2} \right]^{k/2}$$

converges since the last one does by the assumption. Now, the Lemma of Beppo-Lévi implies the theorem.  $\hfill \Box$ 

If we put

(2.2) 
$$w^{(k)}(A,\delta;j) := \frac{1}{j^{2/k-1}} \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} n^{2(\delta+1/k)} |\hat{a}_{n,j}|^2$$

then the following theorem holds.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $1 \leq k \leq 2$  and let  $\{\Omega(n)\}$  be a positive sequence such that  $\{\Omega(n)/n\}$  is a non-increasing sequence and the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n\Omega(n))^{-1}$  converges. If the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |c_n|^2 \Omega^{2/k-1}(n) w^{(k)}(A, \delta; n)$  converges, then the orthogonal series  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j \varphi_j(x)$  is  $|A, \delta|_k$ -summable almost everywhere, where  $w^{(k)}(A, \delta; n)$  is defined by (2.2).

Proof. Applying Hölder's inequality to the inequality (2.1) we get that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\delta k+k-1} \int_{a}^{b} |\bar{\Delta}A_{n}(s)(x)|^{k} \, \mathrm{d}x \leqslant K \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\delta k+k-1} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{n} |\hat{a}_{n,j}|^{2} |c_{j}|^{2} \right]^{k/2}$$
$$= K \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n\Omega(n))^{1-k/2}} \left[ n^{2\delta+1} \Omega^{2/k-1}(n) \sum_{j=0}^{n} |\hat{a}_{n,j}|^{2} |c_{j}|^{2} \right]^{k/2}$$

21

$$\begin{split} &\leqslant K \bigg( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n\Omega(n))} \bigg)^{1-k/2} \bigg[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2\delta+1} \Omega^{2/k-1}(n) \sum_{j=0}^{n} |\hat{a}_{n,j}|^2 |c_j|^2 \bigg]^{k/2} \\ &\leqslant K \bigg\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |c_j|^2 \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} n^{2\delta+1} \Omega^{2/k-1}(n) |\hat{a}_{n,j}|^2 \bigg\}^{k/2} \\ &\leqslant K \bigg\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |c_j|^2 \Big( \frac{\Omega(j)}{j} \Big)^{2/k-1} \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} n^{2(\delta+1/k)} |\hat{a}_{n,j}|^2 \bigg\}^{k/2} \\ &= K \bigg\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |c_j|^2 \Omega^{2/k-1}(j) w^{(k)}(A,\delta;j) \bigg\}^{k/2}, \end{split}$$

which is finite by virtue of the hypothesis of the theorem, and this completes the proof.  $\hfill \Box$ 

The next section is devoted to applications of our main results.

### 3. Applications of the main results

We can specialize the matrix  $A = (a_{nv})$  so that  $|A, \delta|_k$  summability reduces to some known notions of absolute summability. This means that sufficient conditions obtained in the main results, under which the orthogonal series (1.1) is  $|A, \delta|_k$ summable almost everywhere  $(1 \leq k \leq 2)$ , include sufficient conditions under which the orthogonal series (1.1) is absolute summable almost everywhere with different kinds of absolute summability notions. The most important particular cases of the  $|A, \delta|_k$  summability notions are:

- 1. For  $a_{n,v} = (n+1)^{-1}$  we obtain the Cesàro means  $A_n(s) = (n+1)^{-1} \sum_{v=0}^n s_v$ , and  $|A, \delta|_k \equiv |C, 1, \delta|_k$  summability.
- 2. For  $a_{n,v} = ((n v + 1)\log n)^{-1}$  we obtain the harmonic means  $A_n(s) = (\log n)^{-1} \sum_{v=0}^{n} s_v/(n v + 1)$ , and  $|A, \delta|_k \equiv |H, 1, \delta|_k$  summability.
- 3. For  $a_{n,v} = \binom{n-v+\alpha+1}{\alpha-1} / \binom{n+\alpha}{\alpha}$ ,  $0 \le \alpha \le 1$ , we obtain the Cesàro means (of order  $\alpha$ )  $A_n(s) = \binom{n+\alpha}{\alpha}^{-1} \sum_{v=0}^n \binom{n-v+\alpha+1}{\alpha-1} s_v$ , and  $|A,\delta|_k \equiv |C,\alpha,\delta|_k$  summability.
- 4. For  $a_{n,v} = p_{n-v}/P_n$  we obtain the Nörlund means  $A_n(s) = P_n^{-1} \sum_{v=0}^n p_{n-v} s_v$ , and  $|A, \delta|_k \equiv |N, p_n, \delta|_k$  summability.
- 5. For  $a_{n,v} = q_v/Q_n$  we obtain the Riesz means  $A_n(s) = Q_n^{-1} \sum_{v=0}^n q_v s_v$ , and  $|A, \delta|_k \equiv |\overline{N}, q_n, \delta|_k$  summability.

- 6. For  $a_{n,v} = p_{n-v}q_v/R_n$ , where  $R_n = \sum_{v=0}^n p_v q_{n-v}$ , we obtain the generalized Nörlund means  $A_n(s) = R_n^{-1} \sum_{v=0}^n p_{n-v}q_v s_v$ , and  $|A, \delta|_k \equiv |N, p_n, q_n, \delta|_k$  summability.
- 7. For  $a_{n,v} = (n+1)^{-1} P_v^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^v p_{v-k}$ , we obtain the  $t_n^{CN}$  means (see [7])  $A_n(s) = (n+1)^{-1} \sum_{v=0}^n P_v^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^v p_{v-k} s_k$ , and  $|A, \delta|_k \equiv |C^1 \cdot N_p, \delta|_k$  summability.

Now we shall discuss only some of the above cases for  $\delta = 0$  (the other cases can be discussed in a similar way). For this purpose, first let us clarify that the results of [4] follow from the main results of this paper. Indeed, for  $a_{n,v} = p_{n-v}q_v/R_n$  we have that

$$\hat{a}_{n,v} = \bar{a}_{n,v} - \bar{a}_{n-1,v} = \sum_{j=v}^{n} a_{nj} - \sum_{j=v}^{n-1} a_{n-1,j}$$
$$= \frac{1}{R_n} \sum_{j=v}^{n} p_{n-j}q_j - \frac{1}{R_{n-1}} \sum_{j=v}^{n-1} p_{n-1-j}q_j = \frac{R_n^j}{R_n} - \frac{R_{n-1}^j}{R_{n-1}}$$

whence

$$|\hat{a}_{n,v}|^2 = \left(\frac{R_n^j}{R_n} - \frac{R_{n-1}^j}{R_{n-1}}\right)^2.$$

Therefore, if we insert this equality, and take  $\delta = 0$  and k = 1 in Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, then Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 follow immediately.

Also, some other known results are included in Theorem 2.1. Namely, for  $a_{n,v} = p_{n-v}/P_n$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{a}_{n,j} &= \bar{a}_{n,j} - \bar{a}_{n-1,j} \\ &= \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{i=j}^n p_{n-i} - \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \sum_{i=j}^{n-1} p_{n-1-i} \\ &= \frac{1}{P_n P_{n-1}} \left( P_{n-1} P_{n-j} - P_n P_{n-1-j} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{P_n P_{n-1}} \left( (P_n - p_n) P_{n-j} - P_n (P_{n-j} - p_{n-j}) \right) \\ &= \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} - \frac{P_{n-j}}{p_{n-j}} \right) p_{n-j}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, using Theorem 2.1 for  $\delta = 0$  and k = 1, the following result holds.

Corollary 3.1 [1]. If the series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n p_{n-j}^2 \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} - \frac{P_{n-j}}{p_{n-j}} \right)^2 |c_j|^2 \right\}^{1/2}$$

converges, then the orthogonal series  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j \varphi_j(x)$  is |N, p|-summable almost everywhere.

Also, for  $a_{n,v} = q_v/Q_n$  one can find that

$$\hat{a}_{n,j} = \bar{a}_{n,j} - \bar{a}_{n-1,j} = -\frac{q_n Q_{j-1}}{Q_n Q_{n-1}}$$

Therefore, using again Theorem 2.1 for  $\delta = 0$  and k = 1, we obtain

Corollary 3.2 [2]. If the series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q_n}{Q_n Q_{n-1}} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n Q_{j-1}^2 |c_j|^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

converges, then the orthogonal series  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j \varphi_j(x)$  is  $|\overline{N}, q|$ -summable almost everywhere.

Some other interesting consequences are the corollaries formulated below.

Corollary 3.3. If the series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{n^{2(1-1/k)/k} p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}}\right)^k \left\{\sum_{j=1}^n p_{n-j}^2 \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n} - \frac{P_{n-j}}{p_{n-j}}\right)^2 |c_j|^2\right\}^{k/2}$$

converges for  $1 \leq k \leq 2$ , then the orthogonal series  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j \varphi_j(x)$  is  $|N, p|_k$ -summable almost everywhere.

R e m a r k 3.1. We note here that:

- 1. If  $p_n = 1$  for all values of n then  $|N, p|_k$  summability reduces to  $|C, 1|_k$  summability
- 2. If k = 1 and  $p_n = 1/(n+1)$  then  $|N, p|_k$  is equivalent to  $|R, \log n, 1|$  summability.

Corollary 3.4. If the series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{n^{2(1-1/k)/k} q_n}{Q_n Q_{n-1}} \right)^k \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n Q_{j-1}^2 |c_j|^2 \right\}^{k/2}$$

converges for  $1 \leq k \leq 2$ , then the orthogonal series  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j \varphi_j(x)$  is  $|\overline{N}, q|_k$ -summable almost everywhere.

A c k n o w l e d g m e n t. The author would like to express his sincerest thanks to the referee for her/his valuable suggestions for the improvement of this paper.

### References

- Y. Okuyama: On the absolute Nörlund summability of orthogonal series. Proc. Japan Acad. 54 (1978), 113–118.
- [2] Y. Okuyama, T. Tsuchikura: On the absolute Riesz summability of orthogonal series. Anal. Math. 7 (1981), 199–208.
- M. Tanaka: On generalized Nörlund methods of summability. Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 19 (1978), 381–402.
  zbl
- [4] Y. Okuyama: On the absolute generalized Nörlund summability of orthogonal series. Tamkang J. Math. 33 (2002), 161–165.
- [5] T. M. Flett: On an extension of absolute summability and some theorems of Littlewood and Paley. Proc. London Math. Soc. 7 (1957), 113–141.
- [6] T. M. Flett: Some more theorems concerning the absolute summability of Fourier series and power series. Proc. London Math. Soc. 8 (1958), 357–387.zbl
- [7] S. Lal: Approximation of functions belonging to the generalized Lipschitz Class by  $C^1 \cdot N_p$  summability method of Fourier series. Appl. Math. Comput. 209 (2009), 346–350. zbl

Author's address: Xhevat Z. Krasniqi, Department of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, University of Prishtina, Avenue Mother Theresa 5, Prishtinë, 10000, Republic of Kosova, e-mail: xhevat-z-krasniqi@hotmail.com.