

SOME COHOMOLOGICAL ASPECTS OF THE  
BANACH FIXED POINT PRINCIPLE

LUDVÍK JANOŠ, Claremont

(Received September 20, 2010)

*Abstract.* Let  $T: X \rightarrow X$  be a continuous selfmap of a compact metrizable space  $X$ . We prove the equivalence of the following two statements: (1) The mapping  $T$  is a Banach contraction relative to some compatible metric on  $X$ . (2) There is a countable point separating family  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{C}(X)$  of non-negative functions  $f \in \mathcal{C}(X)$  such that for every  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  there is  $g \in \mathcal{C}(X)$  with  $f = g - g \circ T$ .

*Keywords:* Banach contraction, cohomology, cocycle, coboundary, separating family, core

*MSC 2010:* 54H25, 54H20

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND NOTATION

The object of our study is a continuous selfmap  $T$  of a compact metrizable space  $X$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}(X)$  and  $\mathcal{M}(X)$  denote the set of all continuous real-valued functions and the set of all compatible metrics on  $X$ , respectively. Regarding  $\mathcal{C}(X)$  as an abelian group, we convert it to an  $(\mathbb{N}, +)$ -module defining the action of  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  on  $f \in \mathcal{C}(X)$  by

$$n \cdot f = f \circ T^n,$$

where  $T^n$  is the  $n$ th iteration of  $T$ . In most textbooks on homological algebra only group-actions are treated, whereas  $(\mathbb{N}, +)$  is only a commutative monoid reflecting the fact that  $T$  need not have an inverse. But it was shown by S. MacLane, H. Cartan, A. Bakakhanian [1] and others that the homology and cohomology theory of monoids is almost identical with that of groups. In our case only one-cohomology will be relevant. By a *one-chain* we understand a map

$$\varphi: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(X)$$

with  $\varphi(0) = 0 \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ . The cochain  $\varphi$  is a cocycle if it is of the form

$$\varphi(n) = f + 1 \cdot f + 2 \cdot f + \dots + (n-1) \cdot f, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where  $f$  is a function in  $\mathcal{C}(X)$ . If  $f$  is of the form  $g - 1 \cdot g$  with  $g \in \mathcal{C}(X)$  then the cocycle is a *coboundary*. In this case we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} k \cdot f = g - n \cdot g, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

and by abuse of language we call the function itself a coboundary. If  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{C}(X)$  is a family of functions we say  $\mathcal{F}$  is *point separating* if for any  $x, y \in X$ ,  $x \neq y$ , there is  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $f(x) \neq f(y)$ . A selfmap  $T: X \rightarrow X$  is a *Banach contraction* if for some  $c \in [0, 1)$  and  $d \in M(X)$  we have

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq cd(x, y), \quad x, y \in X.$$

We will prove

**Theorem 1.** *The selfmap  $T$  is a Banach contraction if and only if there is a countable point separating family  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{C}(X)$  of nonnegative coboundaries.*

## 2. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

We prove first the easier “only if” part. Thus let  $T$  be a  $c$ -contraction on a compact metric space  $(X, d)$ . Let  $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a countable dense subset of  $X$  and for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  consider the orbit  $\mathcal{O}(x_k) = \{T^n x_k : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . We define the function  $f_k$  as the distance from  $x \in X$  to the orbit  $\mathcal{O}(x_k)$ , i.e.  $f_k(x) = d(x, \mathcal{O}(x_k))$ . It is obvious that  $f_k \in \mathcal{C}(X)$  and that  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_k(T^n x)$  converges uniformly to some continuous function  $g_k \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ , so that  $f_k(x) = g_k(x) - g_k(Tx)$ ,  $x \in X$ , showing that this  $f_k$  is a coboundary. It is also easy to see that the family  $\mathcal{F} = \{f_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is point separating, which completes the “only if” part of the proof.

To prove the “if” part suppose  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{C}(X)$  is a family with the above mentioned properties. Let  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ . Since  $f$  is a coboundary there is  $g \in \mathcal{C}(X)$  with  $f(x) = g(x) - g(Tx)$ ,  $x \in X$ . The equation

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(T^k x) = g(x) - g(T^n x)$$

shows that the infinite sum  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f(T^k x)$  exists due to the fact that  $f \geq 0$ ,  $g$  is continuous and the space  $X$  is compact. This implies that

$$(2.1) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f(T^k x) = 0, \quad x \in X.$$

We will show that the orbit

$$\mathcal{O}(x) = x, Tx, T^2x, \dots, T^n x, \dots$$

converges for every  $x \in X$ . Assume that for some  $x$  it is not true. Then there are two distinct points, say  $y_1 \neq y_2$  towards which two subsequences of  $\mathcal{O}(x)$  converge. But then 1 implies that  $f(y_1) = f(y_2) = 0$ . Since  $f$  is an arbitrary element of  $\mathcal{F}$  this implies that  $\mathcal{F}$  fails to separate  $y_1$  from  $y_2$ , a desired contradiction. This yields that the orbit  $\mathcal{O}(x)$  converges and since  $\lim[\mathcal{O}(x)] = T \lim[\mathcal{O}(x)]$  the limit is a fixed point, say  $x^*$ . From the equality

$$f(x^*) = g(x^*) - g(Tx^*) = 0$$

it follows that  $T$  cannot have more fixed points than one since otherwise  $\mathcal{F}$  would not separate them. Thus  $T$  has a unique fixed point  $x^*$  toward which every orbit converges. We will show also that  $T$  has no periodic points. Suppose a point  $x \in X$  has period  $p \geq 2$ , i.e.  $T^p x = x$ . Since

$$f(x) + f(Tx) + \dots + f(T^{p-1}x) = g(x) - g(T^p x) = 0$$

we obtain that

$$f(x) + f(Tx) + \dots + f(T^{p-1}x) = 0$$

implying that  $f(T^k x) = 0$  for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$  which would again clash with the separation property of  $\mathcal{F}$ . This also shows how strong the argument of separation is.

In the final stage of our proof the function  $g$  appearing in  $f(x) = g(x) - g(Tx)$  will play an important role. Note that it is not uniquely determined by  $f$ , since  $g + c$ , with  $c$  any constant, can replace  $g$ . Thus we can choose the function  $g$  which corresponds to  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  by setting  $g(x^*) = 0$ . Since

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(T^k x) = g(x) - g(T^n x)$$

and the orbit converges to  $x^*$  it follows that the infinite sum  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f(T^k x)$  converges to the function  $g$ .

One of our previous results (see [1]) is that  $T$  is a Banach contraction if and only if the core of  $T$ ,  $\text{core}(T) = \bigcap \{T^n(X) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a singleton. It is known that the core is a nonempty compact  $T$ -invariant subset of  $X$  and that the restriction of  $T$  to the core is surjective. Thus, to conclude our proof we will assume that  $|\text{core}(T)| \geq 2$  and deduce from it a contradiction. Since the fixed point  $x^*$  is in the core there is in the core another point, say  $y$ , distinct from  $x^*$ . Since  $T$  maps the core onto itself every point in it has at least one pre-image in the core. Since there are no periodic points we can construct an inverse orbit from  $y$ , i.e. a sequence  $\{y_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  of distinct points with  $Ty_{k+1} = y_k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . We observe that there must be at least one  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $f(y) > 0$  since otherwise  $\mathcal{F}$  would not separate  $y$  from  $x^*$ . Let  $g$  be the function corresponding to  $f$  and consider the sequence  $\{g(y_k)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ . Since  $g(y_{k+1}) \geq g(y_k)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $g(x) \geq f(x)$  for every  $x \in X$  the limit of this sequence exists and is positive. Let  $m > 0$  be the limit. Let  $Z$  denote the set of all points in the core obtainable as limits of subsequences from  $\{y_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ . It follows that for any  $z \in Z$  we have  $g(z) = m > 0$ . We consider the orbit  $\mathcal{O}(z)$ . Let  $\{y_{k(i)}\}$  where  $k(1) < k(2) < \dots \rightarrow \infty$  be the subsequence of  $\{y_k\}$  the limit of which is  $z$ . Then  $Tz$  is obtained as the limit of  $\{y_{k(i)-1}\}$ . From this we see that  $\mathcal{O}(z) \subset Z$  and the sequence  $\{g(T^n z)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is the sequence of constants  $m > 0$ . But since  $\{T^n z\}$  converges to  $x^*$  we obtain the desired contradiction since  $g(x^*) = 0$ . Thus the core of  $T$  is the singleton  $\{x^*\}$  which concludes the proof.

#### References

- [1] *A. Bakakhanian*: Cohomological Methods in Group Theory. Marcel Dekker, New York, 1972.
- [2] *L. Janoš*: The Banach contraction mapping principle and cohomology. Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 41 (2000), 605–610. zbl

*Author's address: Ludvík Janoš, PO Box 1563, Claremont, CA 91711, USA.*