A GENERALIZED NOTION OF n-WEAK AMENABILITY

ABASALT BODAGHI, Garmsar, BEHROUZ SHOJAEE, Karaj

(Received October 6, 2012)

Abstract. In the current work, a new notion of *n*-weak amenability of Banach algebras using homomorphisms, namely (φ, ψ) -*n*-weak amenability is introduced. Among many other things, some relations between (φ, ψ) -*n*-weak amenability of a Banach algebra \mathcal{A} and $M_m(\mathcal{A})$, the Banach algebra of $m \times m$ matrices with entries from \mathcal{A} , are studied. Also, the relation of this new concept of amenability of a Banach algebra and its unitization is investigated. As an example, it is shown that the group algebra $L^1(G)$ is (φ, ψ) -*n*-weakly amenable for any bounded homomorphisms φ and ψ on $L^1(G)$.

Keywords: Banach algebra; continuous homomorphism; $(\varphi,\psi)\text{-derivation};$ n-weak amenability

MSC 2010: 46H25, 43A20, 22D15

1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of amenability for Banach algebras was introduced by Johnson in [7]. A Banach algebra \mathcal{A} is *amenable* if $H^1(\mathcal{A}, X^*) = \{0\}$ for every Banach \mathcal{A} -module X, where $H^1(\mathcal{A}, X^*)$ is the *first Hochschild cohomology group* of \mathcal{A} with coefficients in X^* . One of the fundamental results of Johnson [7] was that the group algebra $L^1(G)$ is an amenable Banach algebra if and only if G is an amenable locally compact group. Dales et al. introduced the notion of n-weak amenability of Banach algebras in [4]. A Banach algebra \mathcal{A} is n-weakly amenable if $H^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^{(n)}) = \{0\}$, where $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ is the n-th dual space of \mathcal{A} (1-weak amenability is called weak amenability). A Banach algebra is called *permanently weakly amenable* if it is n-weakly amenable for each positive integer n. It is well known that for any locally compact group G, $L^1(G)$ is permanently weakly amenable (see [3], [4] and [8]). Then n-weak amenability of some Banach algebras is investigated in [6].

In [1], Bodaghi et al. generalized the concept of weak amenability of a Banach algebra \mathcal{A} to that of (φ, ψ) -weak amenability, where φ and ψ are continuous homo-

morphisms on \mathcal{A} (the case of amenability has been earlier developed by Moslehian and Motlagh in [12]). They determined the relations between weak amenability and (φ, ψ) -weak amenability of a Banach algebra \mathcal{A} . In [5], Eshaghi and Jabbari showed that for a locally compact group G, $L^1(G)$ is (φ, ψ) -weakly amenable for all continuous homomorphisms φ and ψ from $L^1(G)$ into $L^1(G)$.

In this paper, we shall extend the concept of *n*-weak amenability to that of (φ, ψ) *n*-weak amenability of Banach algebras which is somewhat different from the notion (φ) -*n*-weak amenability introduced in [11]. We investigate some relations between (φ, ψ) -*n*-weak amenability of a Banach algebra \mathcal{A} and $M_m(\mathcal{A})$, the Banach algebra of $m \times m$ matrices with entries from \mathcal{A} . Among other examples, we show that $L^1(G)$ is (φ, ψ) -*n*-weakly amenable for all bounded homomorphisms φ and ψ on $L^1(G)$.

2. (φ, ψ) -n-weak amenability

Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be Banach algebras. We denote by $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ the space of all bounded homomorphisms from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{B} , with the operator norm, and denote $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$ by $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{A})$. Throughout the paper, by continuity we mean that a homomorphism or derivation is continuous in norm topology.

Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra and let φ and ψ be in Hom(\mathcal{A}). We consider the following module actions on \mathcal{A} :

$$a \cdot x = \varphi(a) \cdot x, \quad x \cdot a = x \cdot \psi(a) \quad \forall a, x \in \mathcal{A}.$$

We denote the above \mathcal{A} -module by $\mathcal{A}_{(\varphi,\psi)}$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The natural \mathcal{A} -module actions on $(\mathcal{A}_{(\varphi,\psi)})^{(n)}$ (the *n*-th dual of \mathcal{A}) are as follows:

$$a \cdot a^{(2n)} = \varphi(a) \cdot a^{(2n)}, \quad a^{(2n)} \cdot a = a^{(2n)} \cdot \psi(a) \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{A}, a^{(2n)} \in (\mathcal{A}_{(\varphi,\psi)})^{(2n)}.$$
$$a \cdot a^{(2n-1)} = \psi(a) \cdot a^{(2n-1)}, \quad a^{(2n-1)} \cdot a = a^{(2n-1)} \cdot \varphi(a)$$
$$\forall a \in \mathcal{A}, a^{(2n-1)} \in (\mathcal{A}_{(\varphi,\psi)})^{(2n-1)}.$$

A bounded linear map $D: \mathcal{A} \to (\mathcal{A}_{(\varphi,\psi)})^{(2n)}$ is called a (φ,ψ) -derivation if $D(ab) = D(a) \cdot \psi(b) + \varphi(a) \cdot D(b)$, for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. For the odd case, a bounded linear map $D: \mathcal{A} \to (\mathcal{A}_{(\varphi,\psi)})^{(2n-1)}$ is a (φ,ψ) -derivation if $D(ab) = D(a) \cdot \varphi(b) + \psi(a) \cdot D(b)$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. A bounded linear map $D: \mathcal{A} \to (\mathcal{A}_{(\varphi,\psi)})^{(2n)}$ is called (φ,ψ) -inner if there exists $x \in (\mathcal{A}_{(\varphi,\psi)})^{(2n)}$ such that $D(a) := \delta_a(a) = \varphi(a) \cdot x - x \cdot \psi(a)$, for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Also $D: \mathcal{A} \to (\mathcal{A}_{(\varphi,\psi)})^{(2n-1)}$ is (φ,ψ) -inner if there exists $x \in (\mathcal{A}_{(\varphi,\psi)})^{(2n-1)}$ is (φ,ψ) -inner if there exists $x \in (\mathcal{A}_{(\varphi,\psi)})^{(2n-1)}$ is (φ,ψ) -inner if there exists $x \in (\mathcal{A}_{(\varphi,\psi)})^{(2n-1)}$ is (φ,ψ) -inner if there exists $x \in (\mathcal{A}_{(\varphi,\psi)})^{(2n-1)}$ such that $D(a) = x \cdot \varphi(a) - \psi(a) \cdot x$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. The Banach algebra \mathcal{A} is called (φ,ψ) -n-weakly amenable if every (φ,ψ) -derivation $D: \mathcal{A} \to (\mathcal{A}_{(\varphi,\psi)})^{(n)}$ is (φ,ψ) -inner.

The following proposition is analogous to Proposition 1.2 from [4] in a more general setting. Since the proof is similar, it is omitted.

Proposition 2.1. Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If \mathcal{A} is (φ, ψ) -(n+2)-weakly amenable, then \mathcal{A} is (φ, ψ) -n-weakly amenable.

For a Banach algebra \mathcal{A} , we put $\mathcal{A}^2 = \operatorname{span}\{ab: a, b \in \mathcal{A}\}$. The next proposition is proved in [1, Proposition 2.1].

Proposition 2.2. Let \mathcal{A} be Banach algebra and let $\varphi, \psi \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{A})$ such that $\varphi(a)b = a\psi(b)$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. If \mathcal{A} is (φ, ψ) -weakly amenable, then $\overline{\mathcal{A}^2} = \mathcal{A}$, where $\overline{\mathcal{A}^2}$ is the closure of \mathcal{A}^2 in \mathcal{A} .

Let \mathcal{A} be a non-unital Banach algebra. Then $\mathcal{A}^{\#} = \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathbb{C}e$, the unitization of \mathcal{A} , is a unital Banach algebra with the following product:

$$(a, \alpha)(b, \beta) = (ab + \alpha b + \beta a, \alpha \beta) \quad \forall a, b \in \mathcal{A}, \, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Define $e^* \in \mathcal{A}^{\#*}$ by requiring $\langle e^*, e \rangle = 1$ and $\langle e^*, a \rangle = 0$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Then we have the following identification:

$$\mathcal{A}^{\#(2n)} = \mathcal{A}^{(2n)} \oplus \mathbb{C}e \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$
$$\mathcal{A}^{\#(2n+1)} = \mathcal{A}^{(2n+1)} \oplus \mathbb{C}e^* \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

Let $\varphi, \psi \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{A})$. Define the map $\widehat{\varphi} \colon \mathcal{A}^{\#} \to \mathcal{A}^{\#}$ via $\widehat{\varphi}(a, \alpha) = (\varphi(a), \alpha)$. It is easy to see that $\widehat{\varphi} \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}^{\#})$. The $\mathcal{A}^{\#}$ -module actions on $(\mathcal{A}_{(\varphi,\psi)})^{\#(2n+1)}$ are given by

$$(a + \alpha e) \cdot (a^{(2n+1)} + \beta e^*) = \psi(a) \cdot a^{(2n+1)} + \alpha a^{(2n+1)} + (\alpha \beta + \langle a^{(2n+1)}, a \rangle) e^*,$$
$$(a^{(2n+1)} + \beta e^*) \cdot (a + \alpha e) = a^{(2n+1)} \cdot \varphi(a) + \alpha a^{(2n+1)} + (\alpha \beta + \langle a^{(2n+1)}, a \rangle) e^*.$$

The following result is analogous to [4, Proposition 1.4], but we include the proof for the sake of completeness.

Theorem 2.1. Let \mathcal{A} be a non-unital Banach algebra and let $\varphi, \psi \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{A})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

- (i) Suppose that $\mathcal{A}^{\#}$ is $(\widehat{\varphi}, \widehat{\psi})$ -2*n*-weakly amenable. Then \mathcal{A} is (φ, ψ) -2*n*-weakly amenable;
- (ii) Suppose $\varphi(a)b = a\psi(b)$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. If \mathcal{A} is (φ, ψ) -(2n-1)-weakly amenable, then $\mathcal{A}^{\#}$ is $(\widehat{\varphi}, \widehat{\psi})$ -(2n-1)-weakly amenable.

Proof. (i) Assume that $D: \mathcal{A} \to (\mathcal{A}_{(\varphi,\psi)})^{(n)}$ is a bounded (φ,ψ) -derivation. Consider $\mathcal{A}^{\#}$ -module actions on $(\mathcal{A}_{(\varphi,\psi)})^{(2n)}$ as follows:

$$(a, \alpha) \cdot a^{(2n)} = \varphi(a)a^{(2n)} + \alpha a^{(2n)}, \quad a^{(2n)} \cdot (a, \alpha) = a^{(2n)} \cdot \psi(a) + \alpha a^{(2n)},$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $a^{(2n)} \in \mathcal{A}^{(2n)}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Define the map $\widehat{D} \colon \mathcal{A}^{\#} \to \mathcal{A}^{\#(2n)}$ by $\widehat{D}((a, \alpha)) = D(a)$, for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. One can check that \widehat{D} is a $(\widehat{\varphi}, \widehat{\psi})$ -derivation. This shows that \mathcal{A} is (φ, ψ) -2*n*-weakly amenable.

(ii) Since $\mathcal{A}^{\#}$ is unital, without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$D: \mathcal{A}^{\#} \to (\mathcal{A}_{(\widehat{\varphi},\widehat{\psi})})^{\#(2n-1)}; \quad a \mapsto \langle a^*, a \rangle e^* + \widehat{D}(a)$$

is a continuous $(\widehat{\varphi}, \widehat{\psi})$ -derivation in which $a^* \in \mathcal{A}^*$. It is easy to see that $\widehat{D} \colon \mathcal{A} \to (\mathcal{A}_{(\varphi,\psi)})^{(2n-1)}$ is a continuous (φ,ψ) -derivation. Thus there exists $a_0^{(2n-1)} \in \mathcal{A}^{(2n-1)}$ such that $\widehat{D}(a) = a_0^{(2n-1)} \cdot \varphi(a) - \psi(a) \cdot a_0^{(2n-1)}$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Given $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ we have

$$\begin{split} \langle a^*, ab \rangle &= \langle \widehat{D}(b), a \rangle + \langle \widehat{D}(a), b \rangle \\ &= \langle a_0^{(2n-1)} \cdot \varphi(b) - \psi(b) \cdot a_0^{(2n-1)}, a \rangle + \langle a_0^{(2n-1)} \cdot \varphi(a) - \psi(a) \cdot a_0^{(2n-1)}, b \rangle \\ &= \langle a_0^{(2n-1)}, \varphi(b)a - a\psi(b) \rangle + \langle a_0^{(2n-1)}, \varphi(a)b - b\psi(a) \rangle = 0. \end{split}$$

Therefore $a^*|_{\mathcal{A}^2} = 0$. By Proposition 2.1, \mathcal{A} is (φ, ψ) -weakly amenable. Now, Proposition 2.2 shows that \mathcal{A}^2 is dense in \mathcal{A} . Hence $a^* = 0$ and thus $D = \widehat{D}$ is a (φ, ψ) -inner derivation.

Theorem 2.2. Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra and $\psi, \varphi, \lambda \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{A})$. If φ is an epimorphism and \mathcal{A} is $(\psi \circ \varphi, \lambda \circ \varphi)$ -n-weakly amenable, then \mathcal{A} is (ψ, λ) -n-weakly amenable. The converse is true if φ^2 is an identity map.

Proof. We show the proof for the even case. The odd case is similar. Let $D: \mathcal{A} \to (\mathcal{A}_{(\psi,\lambda)})^{(2n)}$ be a continuous (ψ,λ) -derivation and $\tilde{D} = D \circ \varphi$. For each $a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$\begin{split} D(ab) &= (D \circ \varphi)(ab) = D(\varphi(a)\varphi(b)) \\ &= D(\varphi(a)) \cdot \lambda(\varphi(b)) + \psi(\varphi(a)) \cdot D(\varphi(b)) \\ &= \widetilde{D}(a) \cdot (\lambda \circ \varphi)(b) + (\psi \circ \varphi)(a) \cdot \widetilde{D}(b). \end{split}$$

Thus \widetilde{D} is an $(\psi \circ \varphi, \lambda \circ \varphi)$ -derivation. So there exists $\Phi \in (\mathcal{A}_{(\psi \circ \varphi, \lambda \circ \varphi)})^{(2n)}$ such that for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $\widetilde{D}(a) = (\psi \circ \varphi)(a) \cdot \Phi - \Phi \cdot (\lambda \circ \varphi)(a)$. Let $b \in \mathcal{A}$. Then there exists $a \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\varphi(a) = b$ and so

$$D(b) = D(\varphi(a)) = \widetilde{D}(a) = \psi(\varphi(a)) \cdot \Phi - \Phi \cdot \lambda(\varphi(a)) \cdot \Phi = \psi(b) \cdot \Phi - \Phi \cdot \lambda(b).$$

Therefore D is an (ψ, λ) -inner derivation.

Conversely, suppose that $D: \mathcal{A} \to (\mathcal{A}_{(\psi \circ \varphi, \lambda \circ \varphi)})^{(2n)}$ is a $(\psi \circ \varphi, \lambda \circ \varphi)$ -derivation and let $\widetilde{D} = D \circ \varphi^{-1}$. For every $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, we get

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{D}(ab) &= D \circ \varphi^{-1}(ab) = D(\varphi^{-1}(a)\varphi^{-1}(b)) \\ &= D(\varphi^{-1}(a)) \cdot \lambda \circ \varphi(\varphi^{-1}(b)) + \psi \circ \varphi(\varphi^{-1}(a)) \cdot D(\varphi^{-1}(b)) \\ &= D(\varphi^{-1}(a)) \cdot \lambda(b) + \psi(a) \cdot D(\varphi^{-1}(b)) \\ &= \widetilde{D}(a) \cdot \lambda(b) + \psi(a) \cdot \widetilde{D}(b). \end{split}$$

Due to (ψ, λ) -*n*-weak amenability of \mathcal{A} , there exists an $\Psi \in (\mathcal{A}_{(\psi,\lambda)})^{(2n)}$ such that for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $\widetilde{D}(a) = \psi(a) \cdot \Psi - \Psi \cdot \lambda(a)$ and thus we have $D(a) = D(\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(a)) = \widetilde{D}(\varphi(a)) = \psi(\varphi(a)) \cdot \Psi - \Psi \cdot \lambda(\varphi(a))$. Therefore D is an $(\psi \circ \varphi, \lambda \circ \varphi)$ -inner derivation.

Corollary 2.1. Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra and let $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{A})$. Then the following statements hold:

- (i) If φ is an epimorphism and A is (φ^m, φ^m)-n-weakly amenable for some m ∈ N, then A is n-weakly amenable;
- (ii) If \mathcal{A} is n-weakly amenable such that $\varphi^2 = 1_{\mathcal{A}}$, then \mathcal{A} is (φ^2, φ^2) -n-weakly amenable.

Let \Box and \Diamond be the first and second Arens products on the second dual space \mathcal{A}^{**} , then \mathcal{A}^{**} is a Banach algebra with respect to both of these products. Similar to [11, Proposition 4.4], we have the following result:

Proposition 2.3. Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra, $\varphi, \psi \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{A})$ and let X be a Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule. Suppose $D: \mathcal{A} \to X$ is a continuous (φ, ψ) -derivation. Then $D'': (\mathcal{A}^{**}, \Box) \to X^{**}$ is a continuous (φ'', ψ'') -derivation.

Proposition 2.4. Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra with a bounded approximate identity and let X be a Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule. If $\varphi, \psi \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}), D \colon \mathcal{A} \to X^*$ is a continuous (φ, ψ) -derivation and there exists $\sigma \in X^*$ such that

$$\langle D(a), \varphi(b) \cdot x \cdot \psi(c) \rangle = \langle \psi(a) \cdot \sigma - \sigma \cdot \varphi(a), \varphi(b) \cdot x \cdot \psi(c) \rangle,$$

for all $a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}$ and $x \in X$, then D is (φ, ψ) -inner.

Proof. Replacing D with $D - \delta_{\sigma}$, we may suppose that

$$\langle D(a), \varphi(b) \cdot x \cdot \psi(c) \rangle = 0 \qquad \forall a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}, \ x \in X.$$

The above equality shows that

(2.1)
$$\psi(c) \cdot D(a) \cdot \varphi(b) = 0$$

for all $a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}$. Assume that $(e_j) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ is a bounded approximate identity for which the iterated weak*-limit $\sigma_0 = \lim_j \lim_k (\psi(e_j) \cdot D(e_k) - D(e_k) \cdot \varphi(e_j))$ exists. For each $b \in \mathcal{A}$ and $x \in X$, by applying (2.1) we get

$$\begin{split} \langle D(b), x \rangle &= \lim_{j} \lim_{k} \langle D(e_{j}be_{k}), x \rangle \\ &= \lim_{j} \lim_{k} \langle D(e_{j}) \cdot \varphi(b)\varphi(e_{k}) + \psi(e_{j}) \cdot D(be_{k}), x \rangle \\ &= \lim_{j} \lim_{k} \langle D(e_{j}) \cdot \varphi(b)\varphi(e_{k}) + \psi(e_{j}) \cdot D(b) \cdot \varphi(e_{k}) + \psi(e_{j})\psi(b) \cdot D(e_{k}), x \rangle \\ &= \lim_{k} \langle D(e_{k}) \cdot \varphi(b) + \psi(b) \cdot D(e_{k}), x \rangle \\ &= \lim_{j} \lim_{k} \langle \psi(b) \cdot [\psi(e_{j}) \cdot D(e_{k}) - D(e_{k}) \cdot \varphi(e_{j})], x \rangle \\ &- \lim_{j} \lim_{k} \langle [\psi(e_{j}) \cdot D(e_{k}) - D(e_{k}) \cdot \varphi(e_{j})] \cdot \varphi(b), x \rangle \\ &= \langle \psi(b) \cdot \sigma_{0} - \sigma_{0} \cdot \varphi(b), x \rangle. \end{split}$$

Consequently, D is a (φ, ψ) -inner derivation.

Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra. The set of $m \times m$ matrices with entries from \mathcal{A} , denoted by $M_m(\mathcal{A})$, is a Banach algebra with product in the obvious way and ℓ^1 -norm. Supposing that $\varphi, \psi \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{A})$, we consider $M_m(\mathcal{A}_{(\varphi,\psi)})$ as a Banach $M_m(\mathcal{A})$ -module as follows:

$$(a \cdot x)_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \varphi(a_{ik}) \cdot x_{kj}, \quad (x \cdot a)_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{m} x_{ik} \psi(a_{kj})$$

where $a = (a_{ij}) \in M_m(\mathcal{A}), \quad x = (x_{ij}) \in M_m(\mathcal{A}_{(\varphi,\psi)})$. We identify $M_m(\mathcal{A}_{(\varphi,\psi)})^{(n)}$ with $M_m((\mathcal{A}_{(\varphi,\psi)})^{(n)})$ as Banach \mathcal{A} -modules and thus

$$(2.2) \quad (a \cdot x^{(2n)})_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \varphi(a_{jk}) \cdot x^{(2n)}_{ik}, \ (x^{(2n)} \cdot a)_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{m} x^{(2n)}_{kj} \cdot \psi(a_{ki})$$

$$(2.3) \ (a \cdot x^{(2n-1)})_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \psi(a_{jk}) \cdot x_{ik}^{(2n-1)}, \ (x^{(2n-1)} \cdot a)_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{m} x_{kj}^{(2n-1)} \cdot \psi(a_{ki})$$

where $a = (a_{ij}) \in M_m(\mathcal{A})$ and $x^{(n)} = (x_{ij}^{(n)}) \in M_m((\mathcal{A}_{(\varphi,\psi)})^{(n)})$. For $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, we put $(a)_{ij} = a \otimes \varepsilon_{ij} \in M_m(\mathcal{A})$, where ε_{ij} is the matrix whose entries is 1 if i = j, and zero otherwise.

Theorem 2.3. Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra with identity, $\varphi, \psi \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{A})$ and let $I: M_m(\mathcal{A}) \to M_m(\mathcal{A})$ be the identity map. Then

- (i) A is (φ, ψ)-2n-weakly amenable if and only if M_m(A) is (φ⊗I, ψ⊗I)-2n-weakly amenable;
- (ii) \mathcal{A} is (φ, ψ) -(2n 1)-weakly amenable if and only if $M_m(\mathcal{A})$ is $(\varphi \otimes I, \psi \otimes I)$ -(2n 1)-weakly amenable.

Proof. (i) Suppose \mathcal{A} is (φ, ψ) -2*n*-weakly amenable and $D: M_m(\mathcal{A}) \to M_m((\mathcal{A}_{(\varphi,\psi)})^{2n})$ is a $(\varphi \otimes I, \psi \otimes I)$ -derivation. We are regarding M_m , the Banach algebra of $m \times m$ matrices with entries from \mathbb{C} , as a subalgebra $M_m(\mathcal{A})$. Since M_m is amenable, there exists $x^{(2n)} = (x_{ij}^{(2n)}) \in M_m((\mathcal{A}_{(\varphi,\psi)})^{2n})$ such that $D|_{M_m} = \delta_{x^{(2n)}}|_{M_m}$. Replacing $D - \delta_{x^{(2n)}}$ by D, we may suppose $D|_{M_m} = 0$. For $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$, set $D((a)_{rs}) = (d_{ij}^{r,s}: i, j \in \mathbb{N}_m) \in M_m((\mathcal{A}_{(\varphi,\psi)})^{2n})$ and $d_{11}^{(1,1)} = d(a)$. We have $D((a)_{rs}) = D(\varepsilon_{r1}(a)_{11}\varepsilon_{1s}) = \varepsilon_{r1} \cdot D((a)_{11}) \cdot \varepsilon_{1s}$, since $D(\varepsilon_{r1}) = D(\varepsilon_{1s}) = 0$. According to (2.2), we have $d_{ij}^{(r,s)} = 0$ unless (i, j) = (r, s), and in this case set $d_{rs}^{(r,s)} = d(a)$. It is easy to see that $d: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}^{(2n)}$ is a (φ, ψ) -derivation. By assumption there exists $a^{(2n)} \in \mathcal{A}^{(2n)}$ such that

(2.4)
$$d(a) = \varphi(a) \cdot a^{(2n)} - a^{(2n)} \cdot \psi(a) \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Take $X \in M_m((\mathcal{A}_{(\varphi,\psi)})^{2n})$ to be the matrix that has $a^{(2n)}$ in each diagonal position and zero elsewhere. By (2.2) and (2.4), we have

$$D((a)_{ij}) = (\varphi(a))_{ij} \cdot X - X \cdot (\psi(a))_{ij} = (\varphi \otimes I)(a \otimes \varepsilon_{ij}) \cdot X - (\psi \otimes I)(a \otimes \varepsilon_{ij}).$$

On the other hand,

$$D((a_{ij})) = (\varphi \otimes I)((a_{ij})) \cdot X - X \cdot (\psi \otimes I)((a_{ij}))$$

The above equalities show that $M_m((\mathcal{A}_{(\varphi,\psi)})^{(2n)})$ is $(\varphi \otimes I, \psi \otimes I)$ -2*n*-weakly amenable.

Conversely, assume that $M_m((\mathcal{A}_{(\varphi,\psi)})^{(2n)})$ is $(\varphi \otimes I, \psi \otimes I)$ -2*n*-weakly amenable and $D: \mathcal{A} \to (\mathcal{A}_{(\varphi,\psi)})^{(2n)}$ is a (φ,ψ) -derivation. It is easy to check that $D \otimes I: \mathcal{A} \otimes M_m \to (\mathcal{A}_{(\varphi,\psi)})^{(2n)} \otimes M_m$ is a $(\varphi \otimes I, \psi \otimes I)$ -derivation. We identify $M_m((\mathcal{A}_{(\varphi,\psi)})^{(2n)})$ with $(\mathcal{A}_{(\varphi,\psi)})^{(2n)} \otimes M_m$. By assumption there exists $x \in (\mathcal{A}_{(\varphi,\psi)})^{(2n)} \otimes M_m$ such that $x = \sum_{i,j=1}^m x_{ij}^{(2n)} \otimes \varepsilon_{ij}$ and $D \otimes I = \delta_x$. For each $a \in \mathcal{A}$, we have $D \otimes I(a \otimes \varepsilon_{11}) = D(a) \otimes \varepsilon_{11} = (a \otimes \varepsilon_{11}) \cdot x - x \cdot (a \otimes \varepsilon_{11})$ $= (\varphi(a) \otimes \varepsilon_{11}) \cdot x - x \cdot (\psi(a) \otimes \varepsilon_{11})$ $= \sum_{i=1}^m (\varphi(a) \cdot x_{i1}^{(2n)}) \otimes \varepsilon_{i1} - \sum_{i=1}^m (x_{1j}^{(2n)} \cdot \psi(a)) \otimes \varepsilon_{1j}.$

The above equalities imply that $D(a) = \varphi(a) \cdot x_{11}^{(2n)} - x_{11}^{(2n)} \cdot \psi(a)$, so \mathcal{A} is (φ, ψ) -2*n*-weakly amenable.

(ii) The proof is similar to (i).

Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be Banach algebras, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\theta: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ is a continuous homomorphism. Then $\mathcal{B}^{(n)}$ (the *n*-th dual of \mathcal{B}) can be regarded as \mathcal{A} -module under the module actions

$$a \cdot b^{(n)} = \theta(a) \cdot b^{(n)}, \quad b^{(n)} \cdot a = b^{(n)} \cdot \theta(a) \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{A}, \ b^{(n)} \in \mathcal{B}^{(n)}.$$

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, the *n*-th adjoint map of θ is \mathcal{A} -module homomorphism.

Theorem 2.4. Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be Banach algebras and let $\varphi, \psi \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{B})$. Let $\theta_1 \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ and $\theta_2 \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A})$ such that $\theta_1 \circ \theta_2 = I_{\mathcal{B}}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the maps $\widetilde{\varphi} = \theta_2 \circ \varphi \circ \theta_1$ and $\widetilde{\psi} = \theta_2 \circ \psi \circ \theta_1$ are in $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{A})$ and

- (i) If \mathcal{A} is $(\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\psi})$ -2*n*-weakly amenable, then \mathcal{B} is (φ, ψ) -2*n*-weakly amenable;
- (ii) If \mathcal{A} is $(\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\psi})$ -(2n-1)-weakly amenable, then \mathcal{B} is (φ, ψ) -(2n-1)-weakly amenable.

Proof. Obviously $\widetilde{\varphi}, \widetilde{\psi} \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{A})$.

(i) Suppose \mathcal{A} is $(\widetilde{\varphi}, \widetilde{\psi})$ -2*n*-weakly amenable and $D: \mathcal{B} \to (\mathcal{B}_{(\varphi,\psi)})^{(2n)}$ is a (φ, ψ) -derivation. The map $\widetilde{D} = \theta_2^{(2n)} \circ D \circ \theta_1: \mathcal{A} \to (\mathcal{A}_{(\varphi,\psi)})^{(2n)}$ is a bounded linear map. For each $a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$\widetilde{D}(a_1a_2) = \theta_2^{(2n)} \circ D \circ \theta_1(a_1a_2) = \theta_2^{(2n)}(D(\theta_1(a_1)\theta_1(a_2)))$$

= $\theta_2^{(2n)}(D(\theta_1(a_1)) \cdot \psi(\theta_1(a_2)) + \varphi(\theta_1(a_1)) \cdot D(\theta_1(a_2)))$
= $\theta_2^{(2n)} \circ D \circ \theta_1(a_1) \cdot \theta_2(\psi(\theta_1(a_2))) + \theta_2(\varphi(\varphi(a_1))) \cdot \theta_2^{(2n)} \circ D \circ \theta_1(a_2)$
= $\theta_2^{(2n)} \circ D \circ \theta_1(a_1) \cdot \widetilde{\psi}(a_2) + \widetilde{\varphi}(a_1) \cdot \theta_2^{(2n)} \circ D \circ \theta_1(a_2).$

Then \widetilde{D} is a $(\widetilde{\varphi}, \widetilde{\psi})$ -derivation, hence there exists $x \in (\mathcal{A}_{\varphi,\psi})^{(2n)}$ such that

(2.5)
$$\widetilde{D}(a) = \widetilde{\varphi}(a) \cdot x - x \cdot \widetilde{\psi}(a) \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{A}$$

It is easy to check that $\theta_1^{(2n)}(\widetilde{\varphi}(\theta_2(b)) \cdot x) = \varphi(b) \cdot \theta_1^{(2n)}(x)$ and $\theta_1^{(2n)}(x \cdot \widetilde{\psi}(\theta_2)) = \theta_1^{(2n)}(x) \cdot \psi(b)$ for $b \in \mathcal{B}$. Also, $\theta_1^{(2n)} \circ \theta_2^{(2n)} = I_{\mathcal{B}^{(2n)}}$. By (2.5), we obtain

$$D(b) = \theta_1^{(2n)} \circ \theta_2^{(2n)} \circ D \circ \theta_1 \circ \theta_2(b)$$

= $\theta_1^{(2n)} (\theta_2^{(2n)} \circ D \circ \theta_1 \circ \theta_2(b))$
= $\theta_1^{(2n)} (\widetilde{\varphi}(\theta_2(b)) \cdot x - x \cdot \widetilde{\psi}(\theta_2(b)))$
= $\varphi(b) \cdot \theta_1^{(2n)}(x) - \theta_1^{(2n)}(x) \cdot \psi(b),$

for all $b \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\theta_1^{(2n)}(x) \in (\mathcal{B}_{(\varphi,\psi)})^{(2n)}$. Therefore \mathcal{B} is (φ,ψ) -2*n*-weakly amenable. (ii) The proof is similar to (i).

In the case when φ and ψ are identity maps, we see that the homomorphic image of an *n*-weakly amenable Banach algebra is again *n*-weakly amenable.

Corollary 2.2. Let $\varphi, \psi \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{B}), n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra such that $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} \oplus I$ for a closed subalgebra \mathcal{B} and closed ideal I. If \mathcal{A} is $(\iota \circ \varphi \circ P, \iota \circ \psi \circ P)$ *n*-weakly amenable, then \mathcal{B} is (φ, ψ) -*n*-weakly amenable, where $P \colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ is the natural projection and $\iota \colon \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}$ is the inclusion map.

3. Examples

For any Banach space X, we will say that a net $(m_{\alpha}) \subseteq X^*$ converges weak^{\approx} to $m \in X^*$ if $m_{\alpha} \to m$ in weak^{*} topology and $||m_{\alpha}|| \to ||m||$. This notion was introduced by Lau and Loy in [9]. In particular, if $\mu \in M(G)$, assume that $\nu \in$ $L^{\infty}(G)^*$ is a norm preserving extension of μ . Then there exists a net $(\varphi_j) \subseteq L^1(G)$ with $||\varphi_j|| \leq ||\mu||$ and $\varphi_j \to \nu$. Passing to a suitable subnet we may assume that $||\varphi_j|| \to ||\mu||$. Hence, we have $\varphi_j \to \mu$ in weak^{\approx} topology. If $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(L^1(G))$, the we can extend φ to a homomorphism $\widehat{\varphi}$ on M(G). Now, we need the following result which is analogous to [5, Theorem 2.4]. Since the proof is similar, it is omitted.

Theorem 3.1. Let G be a locally compact group and let $\varphi, \psi \in \text{Hom}(L^1(G))$. Let $L^1(G)$ be a M(G)-bimodule by module actions $\mu \cdot f = \widehat{\varphi}(\mu) * f$ and $f \cdot \mu = f * \widehat{\psi}(\mu)$ for each $f \in L^1(G)$ and $\mu \in M(G)$. Then every (φ, ψ) -derivation $D: L^1(G) \to L^1(G)^{(2n)}$ extends to a unique $(\widehat{\varphi}, \widehat{\psi})$ -derivation M(G) into $L^1(G)^{(2n)}$.

Let G be a locally compact group, $\varphi, \psi \in \text{Hom}(L^1(G))$ and let X be a Banach space. Suppose that G acts on X from left (right), i.e., we have a continuous mapping $(g, x) \mapsto g \cdot x \ ((x, g) \mapsto x \cdot g)$ from $G \times X$ into X in which $g \cdot x = \varphi(g) \cdot x \ (x \cdot g = x \cdot \psi(g))$. A map $d: G \to X$ is called a (φ, ψ) -derivation if

$$d(gh) = d(g) \cdot \varphi(h) + \psi(g) \cdot d(h) \qquad \forall g, h \in G.$$

The (φ, ψ) -derivation d is called (φ, ψ) -inner if there exists $x \in X$ such that $d(g) = x \cdot \varphi(g) - \psi(g) \cdot x$, for every $g \in G$. In this case we write $d = ad_x$. A map $T: G \to X$ is called a (φ, ψ) -crossed homomorphism if

$$T(gh) = \psi(g) \cdot T(h) \cdot \varphi(g)^{-1} + T(g),$$

for every $g, h \in G$, and T is called (φ, ψ) -principal if there exists $x \in X$ such that $T(s) = \psi(g) \cdot x \cdot \varphi(g)^{-1} - x$, for every $g \in G$. Let $d: G \to X$ be a (φ, ψ) -derivation

and set $T(g) = d(g) \cdot \varphi(g)^{-1}$, for $g \in G$. Then T is a crossed homomorphism and T is principal if d is (φ, ψ) -inner. Conversely, let $T: G \to X$ be a (φ, ψ) -crossed homomorphism. Set $d(g) = T(g) \cdot \varphi(g)$ for $g \in G$. Then d is a (φ, ψ) -derivation and d is (φ, ψ) -inner if T is principal. Let $D: \ell^1(G) \to X^*$ be continuous (φ, ψ) -derivation. Set $d(g) = D(\delta_g)$ for every $g \in G$. Then d is a (φ, ψ) -derivation and it is clear that if D is an (φ, ψ) -inner derivation then so is d. Similar to [10, Theorem 1.1], we have the following result:

Theorem 3.2. Let G be a (discrete) group and X a locally compact space on which G has a 2-sided action as above. Then any bounded (φ, ψ) -derivation $D: G \to M(X)$ is (φ, ψ) -inner.

In the following example, we use techniques of the proofs from [3] and [4, Theorem 4.1] to show that $L^1(G)$ is (φ, ψ) -n-weakly amenable for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varphi, \psi \in$ Hom $(L^1(G))$.

Example 3.1. Let G be a locally compact group and $\varphi, \psi \in \text{Hom}(L^1(G))$ be nonzero (for the cases where φ or ψ is zero homomorphism, refer to Example 3.2). It is known that $L^1(G)$ has a bounded approximate identity (e_α) with $||e_\alpha|| \leq 1$ for all α . By [2, Proposition 28.7], there exists $E \in L^1(G)^{**}$ such that ||E|| = 1 and Eis a right identity for $(L^1(G)^{**}, \Box)$. Since $L^1(G)$ is a closed ideal of measure algebra M(G), the Banach algebra $(L^1(G)^{**}, \Box)$ is a closed ideal in $(M(G)^{**}, \Box)$. Hence, the map $\mathcal{T}: M(G) \to (L^1(G)^{**}, \Box)$ defined by $\mathcal{T}(\mu) = E \Box \mu$ is an isometric embedding. We write E_g for $E \Box \delta_g$, where $g \in G$. Obviously, $E_{gh} = E_g \Box E_h$ for all $g, h \in G$.

Let $X = L^1(G)^{(2k+2)}$ and $D \colon L^1(G) \to X$ be a (φ, ψ) -derivation. Then $D'' \colon (\mathcal{A}^{**}, \Box) \to X^{**}$ is a bounded (φ'', ψ'') -derivation by Proposition 2.3. For any $g, h \in G$, we have

$$D''(E_{gh}) = D''(E_g) \cdot \varphi''(E_h) + \psi''(E_g) \cdot D''(E_h)$$

and thus

(3.1)
$$\psi''(E_{(gh)^{-1}}) \cdot D''(E_{gh}) = \psi''(E_{h^{-1}}) \cdot (\psi''(E_{g^{-1}}) \cdot D''(E_g)) \cdot \varphi''(E_h) + \psi''(E_{h^{-1}}) \cdot D''(E_h).$$

Since X^* is the underling space of a commutative von Neumann algebra, it is an L^{∞} -space. Thus the real-valued functions in X^* form the space $X^*_{\mathbb{R}}$ which is a complete lattice, that is, every non-empty bounded subset of $X^*_{\mathbb{R}}$ has a supremum. Easily, we can see that

(3.2)
$$\operatorname{Re}(\psi''(E_g) \cdot \Phi) = \psi''(E_g) \cdot (\operatorname{Re} \Phi) \qquad \forall g \in G, \ \Phi \in X^*.$$

Similar to the proof of [4, Theorem 4.1], we can prove that

(3.3)
$$\psi''(E) \cdot \sup\{\psi''(E_g) \cdot \Phi \colon \Phi \in Y\} = \psi''(E_g) \cdot \sup\{\psi''(E) \cdot \Phi \colon \Phi \in Y\}$$

and

(3.4)
$$\sup\{\psi''(E_g)\cdot\Phi\colon\Phi\in Y\}\cdot\psi''(E)=\sup\{\psi''(E)\cdot\Phi\colon\Phi\in Y\}\cdot\psi''(E_g)$$

for all $g \in G$, where Y is an arbitrary bounded subset of $X^*_{\mathbb{R}}$. Put

$$S = \sup\{\psi''(E_{g^{-1}}) \cdot \operatorname{Re} D''(E_g) \colon g \in G\};$$

the supremum being taken in the complete lattice $X^*_{\mathbb{R}}.$ It follows from (3.1)–(3.4) that

$$\psi''(E) \cdot S \cdot \psi''(E) = \psi''(E_{h^{-1}}) \cdot S \cdot \psi''(E_h) + \psi''(E_{h^{-1}}) \cdot \operatorname{Re} D''(E_h) \cdot \psi''(E).$$

If $\psi''(E_h)$ acts from the left on the above equality, we get

(3.5)
$$\psi''(E) \cdot \operatorname{Re} D''(E_h) \cdot \varphi''(E) = \psi''(E_h) \cdot S \cdot \varphi''(E) - \psi''(E) \cdot S \cdot \varphi''(E_h).$$

Similarly for the imaginary part of $D''(E_h)$, there exists an element T such that

(3.6)
$$\psi''(E) \cdot \operatorname{Im} D''(E_h) \cdot \varphi''(E) = \psi''(E_h) \cdot T \cdot \varphi''(E) - \psi''(E) \cdot T \cdot \varphi''(E_h).$$

Taking $\Psi = S + iT \in X^{***}$ and using (3.5) and (3.6), we deduce that

$$\psi''(E) \cdot D''(E_h) \cdot \varphi''(E) = \psi''(E_h) \cdot \Psi \cdot \varphi''(E) - \psi''(E) \cdot \Psi \cdot \varphi''(E_h).$$

Therefore for each discrete measure $\zeta \in \ell^1(G)$, we have

$$\psi''(E) \cdot D''(E \Box \zeta) \cdot \varphi''(E) = \psi''(E \Box \zeta) \cdot \Psi \cdot \varphi''(E) - \psi''(E) \cdot \Psi \cdot \varphi''(\zeta) \cdot \varphi''(E).$$

Now, assume that $f, g \in L^1(G)$, then

(3.7)
$$\psi(f) \cdot D''(E \Box \zeta) \cdot \varphi(g) = \psi(f * \zeta) \cdot \Psi \cdot \varphi(g) - \psi(f) \cdot \Psi \cdot \varphi(\zeta * g).$$

Given $h \in L^1(G)$, there is a net (ζ_j) of discrete measure such that $\zeta_j \to h$ in the strong operator topology on $L^1(G)$. So $\lim_j \varphi(\zeta_j * f) = \varphi(h * f)$ and $\lim_j \varphi(f * \zeta_j) = \varphi(f * h)$ for all $f \in L^1(G)$. Similarly, we have the same for ψ . For each $f, g \in L^1(G)$, we have

$$\begin{split} \lim_{j} \psi(f) \cdot D''(E \Box \zeta_{j}) \cdot \varphi(g) &= \lim_{j} (D''(f * \zeta_{j}) \cdot \varphi(g) - D''(f) \cdot \varphi(\zeta_{j} * g)) \\ &= D''(f * h) \cdot \varphi(g) - D''(f) \cdot \varphi(h * g) \\ &= D''(f) \cdot \varphi(h * g) + \psi(f) \cdot D''(h) \cdot \varphi(g) - D''(f) \cdot \varphi(h * g) \\ &= \psi(f) \cdot D''(h) \cdot \varphi(g). \end{split}$$

On the other hand,

$$\psi(f) \cdot D''(h) \cdot \varphi(g) = \psi(f * h) \cdot \Psi \cdot \varphi(g) - \psi(f) \cdot \Psi \cdot \varphi(h * g)$$
$$= \psi(f) \cdot (\psi(h) \cdot \Psi - \Psi \cdot \varphi(h)) \cdot \varphi(g).$$

Note that in the above equalities we have used the relation (3.7). Let $P: X^{***} \to X^*$ be the natural projection such that P is an $L^1(G)$ -bimodule morphism. We have $D = P \circ D''$. Put $\Psi_0 = P(\Psi)$. Then

$$\psi(f) \cdot D(h) \cdot \varphi(g) = \psi(f) \cdot (\psi(h) \cdot \Psi_0 - \Psi_0 \cdot \varphi(h)) \cdot \varphi(g) \quad \forall f, g, h \in L^1(G)$$

and thus

$$\langle D(h), \varphi(g) \cdot x \cdot \psi(f) \rangle = \langle \psi(h) \cdot \Psi_0 - \Psi_0 \cdot \varphi(h), \varphi(g) \cdot x \cdot \psi(f) \rangle$$

for all $f, g, h \in L^1(G)$ and $x \in X$. Now, Proposition 2.4 shows that D is a (φ, ψ) -inner derivation and so $L^1(G)$ is (φ, ψ) -(2k + 1)-weakly amenable.

Let $D: L^1(G) \to L^1(G)^{(2k)}$ be a continuous (φ, ψ) -derivation. By similar techniques as those of Theorem 3.1, we can extend D to a derivation $D: M(G) \to L^1(G)^{(2k)}$, where the measure algebra M(G) acts on $L^1(G)^{(2k)}$ through dualizations of the actions on $L^1(G)$ defined in Theorem 3.1. Hence $L^1(G)^{(2k)}$ is isomorphic, as an M(G)-bimodule, to M(X) for some compact space X. The action of point masses on M(X) is as follows:

$$\delta_g \cdot \Omega = \varphi(\delta_g) \cdot \Omega, \quad \Omega \cdot \delta_g = \Omega \cdot \psi(\delta_g) \qquad \forall g \in G, \ \Omega \in M(X).$$

These actions are equivalent to actions of G on M(X) and $g \mapsto \widehat{D}(\delta_g)$ is a bounded (φ, ψ) -derivation from G into M(X). By Theorem 3.2, this derivation is (φ, ψ) inner and this suffices to conclude that $D: M(G) \to L^1(G)^{(2k)}$ is inner, by weak^{*}
continuity of D. Therefore $L^1(G)$ is (φ, ψ) -(2k)-weakly amenable.

Example 3.2. Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra with a bounded approximate identity. It is proved in [1, Example 4.2] that \mathcal{A} is $(0, \psi)$ -weakly amenable and $(\varphi, 0)$ weakly amenable. The same process can be applied to show that \mathcal{A} is $(0, \psi)$ -*n*-weakly amenable and $(\varphi, 0)$ -*n*-weakly amenable for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore every group algebra and C^* -algebra is $(\varphi, 0)$ and $(0, \psi)$ -*n*-weakly amenable for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Example 3.3. Suppose that X is an infinite set and x_0 is a fixed element in X. Define an algebra product in $l^1(X)$ via $ab := a(x_0)b$ for all $a, b \in l^1(X)$. This Banach algebra has been introduced by Yong Zang in [13]. For every $\varphi, \psi \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{A})$, we wish to show that \mathcal{A} is (φ, ψ) -(2n - 1)-weakly amenable for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This Banach algebra has a left identity e_0 defined by

$$e_0(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = x_0, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \neq x_0. \end{cases}$$

The $l^1(X)$ -bimodule actions on the dual module $l^1(X)^* = l^{\infty}(X)$ are in fact formulated as follows:

$$f \cdot a = a(x_0)f$$
 $a \cdot f = f(a)e_0^*$ $\forall a \in l^1(X), f \in l^\infty(X).$

where e_0^* is the element of $l^{\infty}(X)$ satisfying $e_0^*(x_0) = 1$ and $e_0^*(x) = 0$ for $x \neq x_0$. Let $\varphi \colon l^{\infty}(X) \to l^{\infty}(X)$ be a non-zero homomorphism. Then

$$a(x_0)\varphi(b) = \varphi(a(x_0)b) = \varphi(ab) = \varphi(a)\varphi(b) = \varphi(a)(x_0)\varphi(b).$$

Hence, $\varphi(b)(\varphi(a)(x_0) - a(x_0))$ for all $a, b \in l^1(X)$. Since φ is non-zero,

(3.8)
$$\varphi(a)(x_0) = a(x_0) \qquad \forall a \in l^1(X).$$

Now, suppose that $\varphi, \psi \in \text{Hom}(l^1(X))$ and $D: l^1(X) \to (l^1(X)_{(\varphi,\psi)})^{(2n-1)}$ is a bounded (φ, ψ) -derivation. For each $a, b \in l^1(X)$, we have

$$a(x_0)D(b) = D(a(x_0)b) = D(ab)$$
$$= D(a) \cdot \varphi(b) + \psi(a) \cdot D(b)$$
$$= \varphi(b)(x_0)D(a) + \psi(a) \cdot D(b)$$

Letting b = a in the above equalities and using (3.8), we get $\psi(a) \cdot D(a) = 0$ for all $a \in l^1(X)$. The last equality implies that $\psi(a) \cdot D(b) = -\psi(b) \cdot D(a)$ for all $a, b \in l^1(X)$. Thus

$$D(a) = D(e_0 a) = D(e_0) \cdot \varphi(a) + \psi(e_0) \cdot D(a)$$
$$= D(e_0) \cdot \varphi(a) - \psi(a) \cdot D(e_0)$$

for all $a \in l^1(X)$. Therefore \mathcal{A} is (φ, ψ) -(2n-1)-weakly amenable for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since \mathcal{A} does not have a bounded right approximate identity [13], \mathcal{A} can not be amenable.

A c k n o w l e d g m e n t. The authors sincerely thank the anonymous reviewer for his/her careful reading, constructive comments and fruitful suggestions to improve the quality of the first draft.

References

- [1] A. Bodaghi, M. Eshaghi Gordji, A. R. Medghalchi: A generalization of the weak amenability of Banach algebras. Banach J. Math. Anal. (electronic only) 3 (2009), 131–142. zbl MR
- [2] F. F. Bonsall, J. Duncan: Complete Normed Algebra. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 80, Springer, New York, 1973.
- [3] Y. Choi, F. Ghahramani, Y. Zhang: Approximate and pseudo-amenability of various classes of Banach algebras. J. Funct. Anal. 256 (2009), 3158–3191.
- [4] H. G. Dales, F. Ghahramani, N. Grønbæk: Derivations into iterated duals of Banach algebras. Stud. Math. 128 (1998), 19–54.
- [5] M. Eshaghi Gordji, A. Jabbari: Generalization of weak amenability of group algebras. arXiv:1303.4769v1.
- [6] A. Jabbari, M. S. Moslehian, H. R. E. Vishki: Constructions preserving n-weak amenability of Banach algebras. Math. Bohem. 134 (2009), 349–357.
- [7] B. E. Johnson: Cohomology in Banach Algebras. Mem. Am. Math. Soc. vol. 127, AMS, Providence, R.I., 1972.
- [8] B. E. Johnson: Weak amenability of group algebras. Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 23 (1991), 281–284.
- [9] A. T.-M. Lau, R. J. Loy: Weak amenability of Banach algebras on locally compact groups. J. Funct. Anal. 145 (1997), 175–204.
- [10] V. Losert: The derivation problem for group algebras. Ann. Math. (2) 168 (2008), 221–246.
- [11] O. T. Mewomo, G. Akinbo: A generalization of the n-weak amenability of Banach algebras. An. Ştiinţ. Univ. "Ovidius" Constanţa, Ser. Mat. 19 (2011), 211–222.
- [12] *M. S. Moslehian, A. N. Motlagh*: Some notes on (σ, τ) -amenability of Banach algebras. Stud. Univ. Babes-Bolyai Math. 53 (2008), 57–68. Zbl MR
- [13] Y. Zhang: Weak amenability of a class of Banach algebras. Can. Math. Bull. 44 (2001), 504–508.

Authors' addresses: Abasalt Bodaghi, Department of Mathematics, Garmsar Branch, Islamic Azad University, Garmsar, Iran, e-mail: abasalt.bodaghi@gmail.com; Behrouz Shojaee, Department of Mathematics, Karaj Branch, Islamic Azad university, Karaj, Iran, e-mail: shoujaei@kiau.ac.ir.