

ON THE RANGE-KERNEL ORTHOGONALITY  
OF ELEMENTARY OPERATORS

SAID BOUALI, Kénitra, YOUSSEF BOUHAFSI, Rabat

(Received January 16, 2013)

*Abstract.* Let  $L(H)$  denote the algebra of operators on a complex infinite dimensional Hilbert space  $H$ . For  $A, B \in L(H)$ , the generalized derivation  $\delta_{A,B}$  and the elementary operator  $\Delta_{A,B}$  are defined by  $\delta_{A,B}(X) = AX - XB$  and  $\Delta_{A,B}(X) = AXB - X$  for all  $X \in L(H)$ . In this paper, we exhibit pairs  $(A, B)$  of operators such that the range-kernel orthogonality of  $\delta_{A,B}$  holds for the usual operator norm. We generalize some recent results. We also establish some theorems on the orthogonality of the range and the kernel of  $\Delta_{A,B}$  with respect to the wider class of unitarily invariant norms on  $L(H)$ .

*Keywords:* derivation; elementary operator; orthogonality; unitarily invariant norm; cyclic subnormal operator; Fuglede-Putnam property

*MSC 2010:* 47A30, 47A63, 47B15, 47B20, 47B47, 47B10

1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $H$  be a complex infinite dimensional Hilbert space, and let  $L(H)$  denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators acting on  $H$  into itself. Given  $A, B \in L(H)$ , we define the generalized derivation  $\delta_{A,B}: L(H) \rightarrow L(H)$  by  $\delta_{A,B}(X) = AX - XB$ , and the elementary operator  $\Delta_{A,B}: L(H) \rightarrow L(H)$  by  $\Delta_{A,B}(X) = AXB - X$ . Let  $\delta_{A,A} = \delta_A$  and  $\Delta_{A,A} = \Delta_A$ .

In [1], Anderson shows that if  $A$  is normal and commutes with  $T$ , then for all  $X \in L(H)$

$$(1.1) \quad \|\delta_A(X) + T\| \geq \|T\|,$$

where  $\|\cdot\|$  is the usual operator norm. In view of [1], Definition 1.2, the inequality (1.1) says that the range  $R(\delta_A)$  of  $\delta_A$  is orthogonal to its kernel  $\ker(\delta_A)$ , which is just the commutant  $\{A\}'$  of  $A$ .

If  $A$  and  $B$  are normal operators such that  $AT = TB$  for some  $T \in L(H)$ , notice that if we consider the operators  $A \oplus B$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & X \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & T \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  on  $H \oplus H$ , then for all  $X \in L(H)$  we have

$$\|\delta_{A,B}(X) + T\| \geq \|T\|.$$

Inequality (1.1) has a  $\Delta_A$  analogue. Thus, Duggal [6] proved that if  $A$  is a normal operator such that  $\Delta_A(T) = 0$  for some  $T \in L(H)$ , then for all  $X \in L(H)$  we have

$$\|\Delta_A(X) + T\| \geq \|T\|.$$

The orthogonality of the range and the kernel of elementary operators with respect to the wider class of unitarily invariant norms on  $L(H)$  has been considered by many authors [3], [5], [6], [8], [10] and [11].

The purpose of this paper is to study the range-kernel orthogonality of the operators  $\delta_{A,B}$  and  $\Delta_{A,B}$ . We give pairs  $(A, B)$  of operators such that the range and the kernel of  $\delta_{A,B}$  are orthogonal. We exhibit pairs  $(A, B)$  of operators such that  $R(\delta_{A,B})$  is orthogonal to  $\ker(\delta_{A,B})$ .

We investigate the orthogonality of the range and the kernel of  $\Delta_{A,B}$  in norm ideals. Related results on orthogonality for certain elementary operators are also given.

Given  $X \in L(H)$ , we shall denote the kernel, the orthogonal complement of the kernel and the closure of the range of  $X$  by  $\ker(X)$ ,  $\ker^\perp(X)$ , and  $\overline{R(X)}$ , respectively. The spectrum of  $X$  will be denoted by  $\sigma(X)$ , and  $X|_M$  will denote the restriction of  $X$  to an invariant subspace  $M$ .

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $E$  be a normed linear space and  $\mathbb{C}$  the complex numbers.

- 1) We say that  $x \in E$  is orthogonal to  $y \in E$  if  $\|x - \lambda y\| \geq \|\lambda y\|$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ .
- 2) Let  $F$  and  $G$  be two subspaces in  $E$ . If  $\|x + y\| \geq \|y\|$  for all  $x \in F$  and for all  $y \in G$ , then  $F$  is said to be orthogonal to  $G$ .

**Remark 2.1.**

- ▷ Note that if  $x$  is orthogonal to  $y$ , then  $y$  need not be orthogonal to  $x$ .
- ▷ This definition generalizes the idea of orthogonality in Hilbert space.
- ▷ It is shown in [1] that if  $F$  is orthogonal to  $G$ , and  $F, G$  are closed subspaces of  $E$ , then the algebraic direct sum  $F \oplus G$  is a closed subspace in  $E$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $A, B \in L(H)$ . If  $B$  is invertible and  $\|A\| \cdot \|B^{-1}\| \leq 1$ , then*

$$\|\delta_{A,B}(X) + T\| \geq \|T\|$$

for all  $X \in L(H)$  and for all  $T \in \ker(\delta_{A,B})$ .

*Proof.* Let  $T \in L(H)$ , such that  $AT = TB$ . This implies that  $ATB^{-1} = T$ . Since  $\|A\| \cdot \|B^{-1}\| \leq 1$ , it follows from [11], Corollary 1.4, that

$$\|AYB^{-1} - Y + T\| \geq \|T\|$$

for all  $Y \in L(H)$ . If we set  $X = YB^{-1}$ , then we get

$$\|AX - XB + T\| \geq \|T\|.$$

Hence  $\|\delta_{A,B}(X) + T\| \geq \|T\|$  for all  $T \in \ker(\delta_{A,B})$  and for all  $X \in L(H)$ . □

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $A, B \in L(H)$ . If either*

- 1)  *$A$  is an isometry and the operator  $B$  is a contraction or*
- 2)  *$A$  is a contraction and  $B$  is co-isometric, then*

$$\|\delta_{A,B}(X) + T\| \geq \|T\|$$

for all  $X \in L(H)$  and for all  $T \in \ker(\delta_{A,B})$ .

*Proof.* 1) Given  $T \in \ker(\delta_{A,B})$ , we have

$$\delta_{A,B}(T) = 0 \Rightarrow T = A^*TB \Rightarrow A^*T = A^*(A^*T)B.$$

Moreover, we see that

$$\|\delta_{A,B}(X) + T\| \geq \|A^*(\delta_{A,B}(X) + T)\| = \|\Delta_{A^*,B}(X) - A^*T\|.$$

Since  $A$  is an isometry and  $B$  is a contraction, it follows from [11], Corollary 1.4, that

$$\|\delta_{A,B}(X) + T\| \geq \|\Delta_{A^*,B}(X) - A^*T\| \geq \|A^*T\| \geq \|A^*TB\| = \|T\|.$$

Then,  $\|\delta_{A,B}(X) + T\| \geq \|T\|$  for all  $X \in L(H)$ .

2) Let  $T \in \ker(\delta_{A,B})$  and  $X \in L(H)$ . By taking adjoints, observe that

$$\|\delta_{A,B}(X) + T\| = \|\delta_{B^*,A^*}(X^*) - T^*\|.$$

Since  $B^*$  is isometric and  $A^*$  is a contraction, the result follows from the first part of the proof. □

As an application of Theorem 2.2 we have a well known result.

**Corollary 2.1.** *Let  $U, V$  be isometries such that  $\delta_{U,V}(T) = 0$  for some  $T \in L(H)$ . Then*

$$\|\delta_{U,V}(X) + T\| \geq \|T\|$$

for all  $X \in L(H)$ .

**Remark 2.2.** Let  $A, B \in L(H)$ . If  $A$  is an isometry and  $B$  is a contraction, then

$$\overline{R(\delta_{A,B})} \cap \ker(\delta_{A,B}) = \{0\}.$$

**Definition 2.2** ([7]). A proper two-sided ideal  $\mathcal{J}$  in  $L(H)$  is said to be a norm ideal if there is a norm on  $\mathcal{J}$  possessing the following properties:

- i)  $(\mathcal{J}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{J}})$  is a Banach space.
- ii)  $\|AXB\|_{\mathcal{J}} \leq \|A\| \|X\|_{\mathcal{J}} \|B\|$  for all  $A, B \in L(H)$  and for all  $X \in \mathcal{J}$ .
- iii)  $\|X\|_{\mathcal{J}} = \|X\|$  for  $X$  a rank one operator.

**Remark 2.3.** If  $(\mathcal{J}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{J}})$  is a norm ideal, then the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{J}}$  is unitarily invariant, in the sense that  $\|UAV\|_{\mathcal{J}} = \|A\|_{\mathcal{J}}$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{J}$  and for all unitary operators  $U, V \in L(H)$ .

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $(\mathcal{J}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{J}})$  be a norm ideal and  $A, B \in L(H)$ . If  $A$  is an isometry and the operator  $B$  is a contraction, then*

$$\|\delta_{A,B}(X) + T\|_{\mathcal{J}} \geq \|T\|_{\mathcal{J}}$$

for all  $X \in \mathcal{J}$  and for all  $T \in \ker(\delta_{A,B}) \cap \mathcal{J}$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $(\mathcal{J}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{J}})$  be a norm ideal and  $A \in L(H)$ . Suppose that  $f(A)$  is a cyclic subnormal operator, where  $f$  is a nonconstant analytic function on an open set containing  $\sigma(A)$ . Then*

$$\|\delta_A(X) + T\|_{\mathcal{J}} \geq \|T\|_{\mathcal{J}}$$

for all  $X \in \mathcal{J}$  and for all  $T \in \{A\}' \cap \mathcal{J}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $T \in \mathcal{J}$  be such that  $AT = TA$ , then we have  $f(A)T = Tf(A)$  and  $Af(A) = f(A)A$ . Since  $f(A)$  is a cyclic subnormal operator, it follows from Yoshino's result [12] that  $T$  and  $A$  are subnormal. Therefore, every compact hyponormal operator is normal [2], hence  $T$  is normal.

Consequently,  $AT = TA$  implies that  $AT^* = T^*A$ . Hence we obtain that  $\overline{R(T)}$  and  $\ker^{\perp}(T)$  reduces  $A$ , and  $A_0 = A/\overline{R(T)}$  and  $B_0 = A/\ker^{\perp}(T)$  are normal operators.

Let  $A = A_0 \oplus A_1$  with respect to  $H_0 = H = \overline{R(T)} \oplus \overline{R(T)}^\perp$ , and let  $A = B_0 \oplus B_1$  with respect to  $H_1 = H = \ker^\perp(T) \oplus \ker(T)$ . Define the quasi-affinity  $T_0: \ker^\perp(T) \rightarrow \overline{R(T)}$  by setting  $T_0x = Tx$  for every  $x \in \ker^\perp(T)$ . Then it results that  $\delta_{A_0, B_0}(T_0) = \delta_{A_0^*, B_0^*}(T_0) = 0$ .

Also, we can write  $T$  and  $X$  on  $H_1$  into  $H_0$  as

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} T_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X = \begin{pmatrix} X_0 & X_1 \\ X_2 & X_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consequently, we have

$$\|\delta_A(X) + T\|_{\mathcal{J}} = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \delta_{A_0, B_0}(X_0) + T_0 & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{J}} \geq \|\delta_{A_0, B_0}(X) + T\|_{\mathcal{J}}.$$

Since  $A_0$  and  $B_0$  are normal operators, we obtain from [4], Theorem 4, that

$$\|\delta_A(X) + T\|_{\mathcal{J}} \geq \|\delta_{A_0, B_0}(X_0) + T_0\|_{\mathcal{J}} \geq \|T_0\|_{\mathcal{J}} = \|T\|_{\mathcal{J}}.$$

□

**Remark 2.4.** Let  $A \in L(H)$  and let  $f$  be an analytic function on an open set containing  $\sigma(A)$ . If  $f(A)$  is cyclic subnormal and  $T$  is a compact operator such that  $AT = TA$ , then for all  $X \in L(H)$ ,

$$\|\delta_A(X) + T\| \geq \|T\|.$$

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $A, B \in L(H)$  and let  $\mathcal{J}$  be a two-sided ideal of  $L(H)$ . We say that the pair  $(A, B)$  possesses the Fuglede-Putnam property  $\text{PF}(\Delta, \mathcal{J})$ , if  $\ker(\Delta_{A, B}|_{\mathcal{J}}) \subseteq \ker(\Delta_{A^*, B^*}|_{\mathcal{J}})$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $A, B \in L(H)$ . If the pair  $(A, B)$  possesses the  $\text{PF}(\Delta, \mathcal{J})$  property, then

$$\|\Delta_{A, B}(X) + T\|_{\mathcal{J}} \geq \|T\|_{\mathcal{J}}$$

for all  $X \in \mathcal{J}$ , and for all  $T \in \ker(\Delta_{A, B}) \cap \mathcal{J}$ .

**Proof.** Given  $T \in \mathcal{J}$  such that  $ATB = T$ . Since the pair  $(A, B)$  possesses the  $\text{PF}(\Delta, \mathcal{J})$  property,  $\overline{R(T)}$  reduces  $A$ , and  $\ker^\perp(T)$  reduces  $B$ , and  $A_0 = A|_{\overline{R(T)}}$ ,  $B_0 = B|_{\ker^\perp(T)}$  are normal operators.

Let  $T_0: \ker^\perp(T) \rightarrow \overline{R(T)}$  be the quasi-affinity defined by setting  $T_0x = Tx$  for each  $x \in \ker^\perp(T)$ . Then we have  $\Delta_{A_0, B_0}(T_0) = 0 = \Delta_{A_0^*, B_0^*}(T_0)$ . Let  $A = A_0 \oplus A_1$

with respect to  $H_0 = H = \overline{R(T)} \oplus \overline{R(T)}^\perp$ , and  $B = B_0 \oplus B_1$  with respect to  $H_1 = H = \ker^\perp(T) \oplus \ker(T)$ . Let  $X$  on  $H_1$  into  $H_0$  have the matrix representation

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} X_0 & X_1 \\ X_2 & X_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence

$$\|\Delta_{A,B}(X) + T\|_{\mathcal{J}} = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{A_0,B_0}(X_0) + T_0 & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{J}}.$$

It follows from [7] that the diagonal part of a block matrix always has smaller norm than that of the whole matrix. Consequently, we have

$$\|\Delta_{A,B}(X) + T\|_{\mathcal{J}} = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{A_0,B_0}(X_0) + T_0 & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{J}} \geq \|\Delta_{A_0,B_0}(X_0) + T_0\|_{\mathcal{J}}.$$

Since  $A_0$  and  $B_0$  are normal, it results from [6], Theorem 2, that

$$\|\Delta_{A,B}(X) + T\|_{\mathcal{J}} \geq \|\Delta_{A_0,B_0}(X_0) + T_0\|_{\mathcal{J}} \geq \|T_0\|_{\mathcal{J}} = \|T\|_{\mathcal{J}}.$$

□

The following corollaries are consequences of the above theorem.

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $A, B \in L(H)$ . Let some of the following conditions be fulfilled:*

- 1)  $A, B \in L(H)$  such that  $\|Ax\| \geq \|x\| \geq \|Bx\|$  for all  $x \in H$ .
- 2)  $A$  is invertible and  $B$  such that  $\|A^{-1}\| \|B\| \leq 1$ .
- 3)  $A$  is dominant and  $B^*$  is  $M$ -hyponormal.

Then we have

$$\|\Delta_{A,B}(X) + T\|_{\mathcal{J}} \geq \|T\|_{\mathcal{J}}$$

for all  $X \in \mathcal{J}$  and for all  $T \in \ker(\Delta_{A,B}) \cap \mathcal{J}$ .

**Proof.** It is sufficient to show that the pair  $(A, B)$  has the Fuglede-Putnam property  $\text{PF}(\Delta, \mathcal{J})$  in each of the preceding cases (in particular (3)).

1) It follows from [9], Lemma 1, that for all  $T \in \ker(\Delta_{A,B}) \cap \mathcal{J}$ , we have  $\overline{R(T)}$  reduces  $A$  and  $\ker^\perp(T)$  reduces  $B$ , and  $A|_{\overline{R(T)}}$ ,  $B|_{\ker^\perp(T)}$  are unitary operators. Hence, it results that the the pair  $(A, B)$  has the property  $\text{PF}(\Delta, \mathcal{J})$ .

2) In this case, let  $A_1 = \|B\|^{-1}A$  and  $B_1 = \|B\|^{-1}B$ , then  $\|A_1x\| \geq \|x\| \geq \|B_1x\|$  for all  $x \in H$ . Hence, the result holds due to (1.1). □

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $A, B \in L(H)$  be such that the pairs  $(A, A)$  and  $(B, B)$  have the  $\text{PF}(\Delta, \mathcal{J})$  property. If  $1 \notin \sigma(A)\sigma(B)$ , then*

$$\|\Delta_{A,B}(X) + T\|_{\mathcal{J}} \geq \|T\|_{\mathcal{J}}$$

for all  $X \in \mathcal{J}$ , and for all  $T \in \ker(\Delta_{A,B}) \cap \mathcal{J}$ .

**Proof.** It is well known that if  $1 \notin \sigma(A)\sigma(B)$ , then the operators  $\Delta_{A,B}$  and  $\Delta_{B,A}$  are invertible. Thus, a simple calculation shows that the pair  $(A \oplus B, A \oplus B)$  possesses the  $\text{PF}(\Delta, \mathcal{J})$  property.  $\square$

**Remark 2.5.** If  $Se_n = \omega_n e_{n+1}$  is a unilateral (bilateral) weighted shift, then, it follows from [3] that the pair  $(S, S)$  has the property  $\text{PF}(\delta, \mathcal{J})$  if and only if

$$\sum_k \omega_k \omega_{k+1} \dots \omega_{k+n-1} = \infty.$$

**Remark 2.6.** 1) Let  $A, B \in L(H)$ , then  $\overline{R(\Delta_{A,B})} \cap \ker(\Delta_{A,B}) = \{0\}$  in each of the following cases:

- i)  $A$  and  $B$  are normal.
- ii)  $A$  and  $B$  are contraction.
- iii)  $A = B$  is cyclic subnormal.
- iv)  $A$  and  $B^*$  are hyponormal.

2) If  $A^*$  and  $B$  are hyponormal, then  $\overline{R(\Delta_{A,B})} \cap \ker(\Delta_{A^*,B^*}) = \{0\}$ .

**Corollary 2.5.** *Let  $A, B \in L(H)$ . Then every operator in  $\overline{R(\Delta_{A \oplus B})} \cap \{\ker(\Delta_{A \oplus B}) \cup \ker(\Delta_{A^* \oplus B^*})\}$  is nilpotent of order not greater than 2, in each of the following cases:*

- 1)  $A$  normal and  $B$  isometric.
- 2)  $A$  normal and  $B$  cyclic subnormal.
- 3)  $A$  cyclic subnormal and  $B$  co-isometric.

**Proof.** On  $H \oplus H$ , let  $T$  be the operator defined as  $T = \begin{pmatrix} P & Q \\ R & S \end{pmatrix}$ . A routine calculation shows that  $T \in \overline{R(\Delta_{A \oplus B})} \cap \ker(\Delta_{A \oplus B})$  implies

$$\begin{aligned} P &\in \overline{R(\Delta_A)} \cap \ker(\Delta_A); & S &\in \overline{R(\Delta_B)} \cap \ker(\Delta_B); \\ R &\in \overline{R(\Delta_{B,A})} \cap \ker(\Delta_{B,A}); & Q &\in \overline{R(\Delta_{A,B})} \cap \ker(\Delta_{A,B}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if  $A$  is normal and  $B$  is isometric, it follows from [6], Corollary 1, [11], Corollary 1.4, that  $P = 0$ ,  $S = 0$  and  $R = 0$ . Consequently, we obtain  $T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Q \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , which ensures that  $T$  is nilpotent of order not greater than 2.

By using a similar argument we get the desired result.  $\square$

**Remark 2.7.** 1) Note that Corollary 2.5 still holds if we consider the inner derivation  $\delta_A$  instead of  $\Delta_A$ .

2) Let  $\pi: L(H) \rightarrow L(H)/K(H)$  denote the Calkin map. Set

$$\mathcal{S} = \{T \in L(H): \|\pi(T)\| = \|T\|\}.$$

If  $A \in L(H)$  satisfies one of the following conditions:

- i)  $A^*A - AA^*$  is compact;
- ii)  $A^*A - I$  or  $AA^* - I$  is compact;

then  $R(d_A)$  is orthogonal to  $\ker(d_A) \cap \mathcal{S}$ , where  $d_A = \delta_A$  or  $d_A = \Delta_A$ .

### 3. A COMMENT AND SOME OPEN QUESTIONS

1) It is shown in [3] that if  $A$  is a cyclic subnormal operator, then  $R(\delta_A)$  is orthogonal to  $\{A\}'$ , and this orthogonality fails in the absence of the hypothesis that the subnormal  $A$  is cyclic.

It is easy to see that if  $A$  and  $B$  are cyclic subnormal operators such that  $A \oplus B$  is cyclic subnormal, then  $R(\delta_{A,B})$  is orthogonal to  $\ker(\delta_{A,B})$ .

Hence, it would be interesting to establish the range-kernel orthogonality of  $\delta_{A,B}$  in the general case.

2) Let  $\pi: L(H) \rightarrow L(H)/K(H) = \mathcal{C}(H)$  denote the Calkin map, and let

$$\mathcal{S} = \{A \in L(H): \|\pi(A)\| = \|A\|\}.$$

Note that the result of Duggal [5] guarantees that if  $A$  and  $B$  are cyclic subnormal operators, then  $R(\delta_{A,B})$  is orthogonal to  $\ker(\delta_{A,B}) \cap \mathcal{S}$ , and  $R(\Delta_{A,B})$  is orthogonal to  $\ker(\Delta_{A,B}) \cap \mathcal{S}$ .

From this, the following question naturally arises:

If  $A$  and  $B$  are cyclic subnormal operators, is  $R(\Delta_{A,B})$  orthogonal to  $\ker(\Delta_{A,B})$  for the usual operator norm?

3) Let  $A \in L(H)$ , and suppose that  $f$  is an analytic function on an open set containing  $\sigma(A)$  such that  $f'$  does not vanish on some neighborhood of  $\sigma(A)$ .

If  $f(A)$  is isometric or normal, what conditions on  $f$  ensure the range-kernel orthogonality of  $\delta_A$  with respect to the wider class of unitarily invariant norms on  $L(H)$ ?

**Acknowledgement.** It is our great pleasure to thank the referee for careful reading of the paper and useful suggestions.



## References

- [1] *J. Anderson*: On normal derivations. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. *38* (1973), 135–140. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [2] *C. A. Berger, B. I. Shaw*: Selfcommutators of multicyclic hyponormal operators are always trace class. Bull. Am. Math. Soc. *79* (1974), 1193–1199. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [3] *S. Bouali, Y. Bouhafsi*: On the range kernel orthogonality and  $P$ -symmetric operators. Math. Inequal. Appl. *9* (2006), 511–519. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [4] *M. B. Delai, S. Bouali, S. Cherki*: A remark on the orthogonality of the image to the kernel of a generalized derivation. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. *126* (1998), 167–171. (In French.) [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [5] *B. P. Duggal*: A perturbed elementary operator and range-kernel orthogonality. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. *134* (2006), 1727–1734. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [6] *B. P. Duggal*: A remark on normal derivations. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. *126* (1998), 2047–2052. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [7] *I. C. Gohberg, M. G. Kreĭn*: Introduction to the Theory of Linear Nonselfadjoint Operators. Translations of Mathematical Monographs 18, American Mathematical Society, Providence; translated from the Russian, Nauka, Moskva, 1965 . [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [8] *F. Kittaneh*: Normal derivations in norm ideals. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. *123* (1995), 1779–1785. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [9] *Y. Tong*: Kernels of generalized derivations. Acta Sci. Math. *54* (1990), 159–169. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [10] *A. Turnšek*: Orthogonality in  $\mathcal{C}_p$  classes. Monatsh. Math. *132* (2001), 349–354. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [11] *A. Turnšek*: Elementary operators and orthogonality. Linear Algebra Appl. *317* (2000), 207–216. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [12] *T. Yoshino*: Subnormal operator with a cyclic vector. Tôhoku Math. J. II. Ser. *21* (1969), 47–55. [zbl](#) [MR](#)

*Authors' addresses:* *Said Bouali*, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Ibn Tofail University, B.P. 133, 24000 Kénitra, Morocco, e-mail: [said.bouali@yahoo.fr](mailto:said.bouali@yahoo.fr); *Youssef Bouhafsi*, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Chouaib Doukkali University, Iben Maachou Street, P.O.Box 20, 24000 El Jadida, Morocco, e-mail: [ybouhafsi@yahoo.fr](mailto:ybouhafsi@yahoo.fr).