INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS

On the motion of a body with a cavity filled with compressible fluid

Giovanni Paolo Galdi

Václav Mácha

Šárka Nečasová

On the Motion of a Body with a Cavity Filled with Compressible Fluid

G. P. Galdi V. Mácha Š. Nečasová

Abstract

We study the motion of the system, $\mathcal{S}$, constituted by a rigid body, $\mathcal{B}$, containing in its interior a viscous compressible fluid, and moving in absence of external forces. Our main objective is to characterize the long time behavior of the coupled system body-fluid. Under suitable assumptions on the "mass distribution" of $\mathcal{S}$, and for sufficiently "small" Mach number and initial data, we show that every corresponding motion (in a suitable regularity class) must tend to a steady state where the fluid is at rest with respect to $\mathcal{B}$. Moreover, $\mathcal{S}$, as a whole, performs a uniform rotation around an axis parallel to the (constant) angular momentum of $\mathcal{S}$, and passing through its center of mass.

1. Introduction

The study of the motion of a rigid body with an interior cavity entirely filled with a liquid has a long-lasting history, tracing back to the pioneering contributions of Stokes [40], Zhukovsky [42], Poincaré [35], and, later, Sobolev [39].

The principal and interesting characteristic arising in the dynamics of these coupled systems is that the presence of the liquid can substantially change the motion of the body and may often produce a significant stabilizing effect at "sufficiently large" times.

It was only in the late fifties, however, that this research area has become the object of a coherent and methodical investigation –mostly by Russian mathematicians– probably also due to the central role that it plays in rocket and space engineering, among the many other areas of concrete applications. The list of main contributors is too long to be included here, and we refer the interested reader to the classical monographs [32], [23], [2], the latest book [3] and the vast bibliography therein cited.

It must be emphasized that all the above contributions are rarely of rigorous nature since they almost constantly rely on simplified equations –some times, even ordinary differential equations– and/or special shapes of the body and cavity.

A few years ago, a rigorous and systematic mathematical analysis has eventually begun, under the assumption that the liquid filling the cavity is incompressible and governed by the Navier-Stokes equations [38, 4, 13, 14, 16, 15, 18, 17, 31].[1] Besides the basic study of well-posedness of the relevant initial-boundary

---

[1] See also [11, 24, 25] for stability issues in the case of an inviscid liquid.

value problem, all these works mainly focus on the fundamental question of the "ultimate dynamics" of the coupled system, and the characterization of terminal states.

In particular, in [4] and [13] the authors address the case where the system moves freely in absence of external forces and prove, among other things, that terminal states must be uniform rotations where the system, as a whole rigid body, spins with constant angular velocity around one of the central axes of inertia. This result –that is quite at odds with the classical one in absence of liquid– holds in a very large class of motions (weak solutions) and whatever the shape of the body, of the cavity and the value of the physical parameters of the system, thus giving a rigorous proof of a famous conjecture formulated by N.Y. Zhoukovski in 1885 [42].

The main purpose of this paper is to investigate whether and to what extent the above significant property continues to be valid if the fluid filling the cavity is assumed to be compressible (and isothermal).

It must be remarked that, with the current knowledge of compressible Navier-Stokes fluids and unlike [4, 13], it does not appear feasible to perform this type of analysis in the general class of weak solutions, whose initial data are only requested to have finite total energy. In fact, we leave this aspect of the problem as an outstanding open question. The reason for such a difficulty is easily seen. By its own nature, the problem calls for a detailed investigation of the Ω-limit set associated to the generic weak solution. As recognized in [4, 13], one of the crucial points is to show that this set meets the basic property of invariance. The latter, however, requires that weak solutions become as smooth for large times as to ensure continuous dependence upon the data. In [4, 13] this is shown to be the case thanks to the "smoothing property" possessed by weak solutions to the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations as times approaches infinity. In the analogous compressible problem, existence of a global weak solution for arbitrary initial data possessing only finite energy can be shown by a suitable modification of the arguments given in [26] and [7] (see also [8], [34]). However, with the current understanding of the theory of weak solutions, it is not possible to show, even with very regular initial data, that such a solution becomes smooth for sufficiently large times. In this regards, we recall that the propagation of singularities in weak solutions for a barotropic fluid was studied in [21] in both two- and three-dimensional settings. There it is proved that, if the initial density is nonnegative and essentially bounded, initial energy is small, and initial velocities are in certain fractional Sobolev spaces, then discontinuities in the density may persist for all times; see [20] for the one-dimensional case.

In view of all the above, we carry out our analysis within the class of "strong" solutions. The model we adopt is that of a barotropic gas where pressure and density are related by the formula $p = a\,\rho^\gamma$, $\gamma > 1$, $a = \text{const.} > 0$. Since we are interested in global existence, we shall need a restriction on the magnitude of the initial data in appropriate spaces; see Theorem 2. This allows us to show the existence of an Ω-limit set possessing the desired properties of compactness, connectedness and invariance; see Lemma 7. As a consequence of the invariance, we then prove that this set is contained in the class of all possible steady-states solutions. As shown in Proposition 1, each of these states is characterized by the fluid being at rest relative to the body, and density distribution, ρ_s, and constant angular velocity, ω_s, satisfying a coupled and highly nonlinear system of equations; see (3.14). These equations translate the physical requirement that, since the fluid is at rest, the gradient of pressure must balance the centrifugal force imparted by the rotation which, in turn, occurs around an axis that depends on the density distribution itself. In fact, for a given admissible density distribution ρ_s of the fluid, let $G = G(\rho_s)$ be the center of mass of the coupled system body-fluid, $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(\rho_s)$, and let $\boldsymbol{I} = \boldsymbol{I}(\rho_s)$ be the inertia tensor of $\mathcal{S}$ relative to G (*central inertia tensor*). Then, the generic steady-state solution describes a motion where the coupled system $\mathcal{S}$ uniformly rotates, as a whole rigid body and with angular velocity $\omega_s = \omega_s(\rho_s)$, around an axis parallel to one of the eigenvectors of $\boldsymbol{I}$ and passing through G.

The final and critical property that remains to be investigated is under which conditions the Ω-limit set corresponding to a given solution reduces to a singleton. As is well known, this property is by no

means guaranteed, even for the "classical"[2] initial-boundary value problem for a barotropic fluid subject to a *given* potential-like force [1,10,9,5]. As a matter of fact, in our case the situation appears to be more complicated. In fact, as mentioned in the previous paragraph, in any possible terminal state the gradient of pressure has to balance the potential-like centrifugal force where the angular velocity is itself an unknown function of the density. The problem of uniqueness is addressed in Section 6 by means of the implicit function theorem, and the relevant findings are collected in Theorems 3 and 4. The results depend on the magnitude of the total angular momentum, M_0, of the coupled system $\mathcal{S}$. Since the motion occurs in absence of external forces, this quantity is preserved at all times; see (6.5). If $M_0 = 0$ (a rather non-generic situation) then the Ω-limit set reduces to the single state where $\mathcal{S}$ is at rest and the density of the gas is a constant (compatible with conservation of mass). If $M_0 \neq 0$, the situation appears to be much more complicated. Nevertheless, we are able to give an answer under suitable assumption on the "mass distribution" of the coupled system, and by requiring the material constant a to be "sufficiently large" ("small" Mach number). More precisely, let $\bar{\rho} \equiv m_{\mathcal{F}}/\mathcal{V}$, with $m_{\mathcal{F}}$ mass of the gas and $\mathcal{V}$ volume of the cavity. Then, $\boldsymbol{I}(\bar{\rho})$ is the central inertia tensor of the system body-fluid when the fluid has a constant density $\bar{\rho}$. Notice that $\boldsymbol{I}(\bar{\rho})$ is completely characterized by known physical parameters. Then, if all three eigenvalues of $\boldsymbol{I}(\bar{\rho})$ are distinct, and $a > a_0$ for a sufficiently large $a_0 > 0$, the Ω-limit corresponding to any strong solution reduces to a single point or, equivalently, the generic strong solution to the initial-boundary value problem tends to a uniquely determined steady-state.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we formulate the problem in both inertial and body-fixed frames and provide some preliminary considerations of general nature. The steady-state problem is addressed in Section 3. In particular, it is shown that, in the very general class of weak solutions, the only steady-states are those where the fluid is at rest relative to the body. Sections 4 and 5 are devoted to the existence of strong solutions. We follow the approach developed by Matsumura and Nishida ([29], [30]) and A. Valli [41]. The proof of the existence of local-in-time strong solutions is performed, basically, along the same lines introduced in [29,41], with only a few differences in details . Thus, in Section 4 we focus only on these differences. However, the situation with global-in-time solutions is rather dissimilar from that addressed in the cited work, due to the presence of non-homogeneous boundary conditions. In [41], where homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions are adopted, the author shows that in absence of external forces, as time $t \to \infty$ the velocity of the fluid tends to zero and density tends to a constant function. This cannot be true in our case, in general, since the magnitude of total angular momentum of the coupled system body-fluid must be conserved (see (3)). Consequently, the velocity of the whole system cannot be zero in the limit $t \to \infty$ and also, contrary to the incompressible case, we cannot expect a constant density as a stationary solution. In Section 5 we provide a proof of existence of global-in-time smooth solution whose velocity does not necessarily tend to zero.

The concluding section contains the main contribution of our paper, devoted to long-time-behavior of strong solutions. We provide a number of properties of Ω-limit set, and show, in particular, that it must be a subset of the class of steady-state solutions. Finally, as discussed earlier on in this section, we provide sufficient conditions ensuring that this set consists of one point only; see Theorems 3 and 4.

2. Formulation of the Problem and Governing Equations

Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a rigid body with an interior cavity, $\mathcal{C}$, entirely filled with a viscous, compressible fluid, $\mathcal{F}$, and let $\mathcal{S}$ indicate the coupled system body-fluid (i.e. $\mathcal{S} := \mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{F}$). We are interested in the case where $\mathcal{S}$ moves in absence of external forces (inertial motions). This implies, in particular, that its center of mass, G, performs a uniform, rectilinear motion with respect to an inertial frame $\mathcal{I}$. Denoting by $\mathcal{F}$ the

---

[2] Namely, the body is kept at rest at all times, and the fluid moves in a bounded domain.

frame with the origin at G and axes constantly parallel to those of $\mathfrak{I}$, we have that $\mathfrak{F}$ is inertial as well and that G is at rest in $\mathfrak{F}$. The governing equations of $\mathcal{S}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{F}$ then take the following form

$$\left.\begin{aligned} \partial_t(r\boldsymbol{w}) + \operatorname{div}(r\boldsymbol{w} \otimes \boldsymbol{w}) - \operatorname{div} T(\boldsymbol{w}, p(r)) &= 0 \\ \partial_t r + \operatorname{div}(r\boldsymbol{w}) &= 0 \end{aligned}\right\} \quad (y,t) \in \cup_{t>0} \mathcal{C}(t) \times \{t\}\,,$$

$$\boldsymbol{w} = \boldsymbol{\varpi}(t) \times (\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{y}_C) + \boldsymbol{\eta}(t)\,, \quad (y,t) \in \cup_{t>0} \partial\mathcal{C}(t) \times \{t\}\,,$$

$$\left.\begin{aligned} \tfrac{d}{dt}(\boldsymbol{J}_C \cdot \boldsymbol{\varpi}) &= -\int_{\partial\mathcal{C}(t)} (\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{y}_C) \times T(\boldsymbol{w}, p(r)) \cdot \boldsymbol{N} \\ m_{\mathcal{B}}\,\boldsymbol{\eta}(t) &= -\int_{\mathcal{C}(t)} r\,\boldsymbol{w} \end{aligned}\right\} \quad t \in (0,\infty)\,, \tag{2.1}$$

where r, p and $\boldsymbol{w}$ are fluid density, pressure and velocity fields, $\boldsymbol{\varpi}$ is the angular velocity of $\mathcal{B}$, and $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ the velocity of its center of mass C. Moreover, $\boldsymbol{y}_C$ denotes the vector position of C, while $m_{\mathcal{B}}$, $\boldsymbol{J}_C$ are mass and inertia tensor with respect to C of $\mathcal{B}$, respectively, and $\boldsymbol{N}$ unit outer normal on $\partial\mathcal{C}$. Also,

$$T(\boldsymbol{w}, p) = S(\nabla\boldsymbol{w}) - \mathbf{1}p \tag{2.2}$$

($\mathbf{1} =$ unit tensor) is the Cauchy stress tensor with S defined by

$$S(\nabla\boldsymbol{w}) = \mu\mathcal{D}(\boldsymbol{w}) + (\lambda - \tfrac{2}{3}\mu)\mathbf{1}\operatorname{div}\boldsymbol{w}\,, \,, \quad \mathcal{D}(\boldsymbol{w}) := \nabla\boldsymbol{w} + (\nabla\boldsymbol{w})^{\top}\,, \quad \mu > 0\,, \ \lambda \geq 0\,. \tag{2.3}$$

As for the dependence of p on r, we shall consider the isentropic case

$$p(r) = a\,r^{\gamma}, \tag{2.4}$$

where γ (specified later) and a are positive material constants.

Equations $(2.1)_{1,2,3}$ represent conservations of linear momentum and mass for $\mathcal{F}$, along with adherence of the fluid at the boundary of $\mathcal{C}$, whereas $(2.1)_4$ is the balance of angular momentum of $\mathcal{B}$. Finally, $(2.1)_5$ translates the fact that the center of mass G of $\mathcal{S}$ is at rest in $\mathcal{F}$.

As customary in this type of problems [12, 22, 33, 27, 28], it is convenient to rewrite the system (2.1) in a frame, $\mathcal{R}$, attached to $\mathcal{B}$, so that the domain occupied by the fluid becomes time-independent. To this end, let $\boldsymbol{Q} = \boldsymbol{Q}(t)$, $t \geq 0$, be the family of proper orthogonal transformations defined by the equations

$$\tfrac{d}{dt}\boldsymbol{Q}(t) = \mathbb{S}(\boldsymbol{\varpi}) \cdot \boldsymbol{Q}(t)\,, \quad \boldsymbol{Q}(0) = \mathbf{1}\,,$$

where

$$\mathbb{S}(\boldsymbol{a}) := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -a_3 & a_2 \\ a_3 & 0 & -a_1 \\ -a_2 & a_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\,. \tag{2.5}$$

By choosing C as the origin of $\mathcal{R}$ we perform the following change of coordinates

$$\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{Q}^{\top} \cdot (\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{y}_C)$$

and define accordingly the transformed quantities

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(t,x) &= r(t, \boldsymbol{Q}^{\top} \cdot \boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{y}_C)\,, \quad \boldsymbol{u}(t,x) = \boldsymbol{Q}^{\top} \cdot \boldsymbol{w}(t, \boldsymbol{Q}^{\top} \cdot \boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{y}_C) \\ \boldsymbol{\omega}(t) &= \boldsymbol{Q}^{\top} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varpi}(t)\,, \quad \boldsymbol{\xi}(t) = \boldsymbol{Q}^{\top} \cdot \boldsymbol{\eta}(t)\,, \quad \boldsymbol{I}_C = \boldsymbol{Q} \cdot \boldsymbol{J}_C(t) \cdot \boldsymbol{Q}^{\top}\,, \quad \boldsymbol{n} = \boldsymbol{Q}^{\top} \cdot \boldsymbol{N}(t)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

As a result, one shows that (2.1) becomes [12, 22]

$$\left.\begin{aligned}
\partial_t(\rho\boldsymbol{u}) + \operatorname{div}(\rho\,\boldsymbol{v}\otimes\boldsymbol{u}) + \rho\,\boldsymbol{\omega}\times\boldsymbol{u} + \nabla p(\rho) &= \operatorname{div} S(\nabla\boldsymbol{u}) \\
\partial_t\rho + \operatorname{div}(\rho\boldsymbol{v}) &= 0
\end{aligned}\right\} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{C}\times(0,\infty)\,,$$

$$\boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{\omega}(t)\times\boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{\xi}(t)\,, \quad \text{on } \partial\mathcal{C}\times(0,\infty)\,, \tag{2.7}$$

$$\left.\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{I}_C\cdot\tfrac{d}{dt}\boldsymbol{\omega} + \boldsymbol{\omega}\times(\boldsymbol{I}_C\cdot\boldsymbol{\omega}) &= -\int_{\partial\mathcal{C}}\boldsymbol{x}\times T(\boldsymbol{u},p(\rho))\cdot\boldsymbol{n} \\
m_{\mathcal{B}}\,\boldsymbol{\xi}(t) &= -\int_{\mathcal{C}}\rho\,\boldsymbol{u}
\end{aligned}\right\} \quad t\in(0,\infty)\,,$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{v} := \boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{\omega}\times\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{\xi}\,, \tag{2.8}$$

stands for the relative velocity field of the fluid with respect to the body. In this regard, we point out the obvious identity

$$S(\nabla\boldsymbol{u}) = S(\nabla\boldsymbol{v})\,,$$

which will be often used throughout this paper without explicit mention.

For future reference, we also observe that, integrating both sides of $(2.7)_1$ over $\mathcal{C}$ –also by parts where needed– and taking into account (2.2) and the fact that $\boldsymbol{v}|_{\partial\mathcal{C}} = \boldsymbol{0}$, we easily get

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathcal{C}}\rho\,\boldsymbol{u} + \boldsymbol{\omega}\times\int_{\mathcal{C}}\rho\,\boldsymbol{u} = \int_{\partial\mathcal{C}}T(\boldsymbol{u},p(\rho))\cdot\boldsymbol{n}\,.$$

The latter, in combination with $(2.7)_5$ then furnishes

$$m_{\mathcal{B}}\frac{d\boldsymbol{\xi}}{dt} + m_{\mathcal{B}}\boldsymbol{\omega}\times\boldsymbol{\xi} = -\int_{\partial\mathcal{C}}T(\boldsymbol{u},p(\rho))\cdot\boldsymbol{n}\,. \tag{2.9}$$

Proceeding as in [4, Appendix], one can further prove that (2.7) can be put in the following equivalent form that will turn out to be useful for our purposes

$$\left.\begin{aligned}
\partial_t(\rho\boldsymbol{u}) + \operatorname{div}(\rho\,\boldsymbol{v}\otimes\boldsymbol{u}) + \rho\,\boldsymbol{\omega}\times\boldsymbol{u} + \nabla p(\rho) &= \operatorname{div} S(\nabla\boldsymbol{u}) \\
\partial_t\rho + \operatorname{div}(\rho\boldsymbol{v}) &= 0
\end{aligned}\right\} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{C}\times(0,\infty)\,,$$

$$\boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{\omega}(t)\times\boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{\xi}(t)\,, \quad \text{on } \partial\mathcal{C}\times(0,\infty)\,, \tag{2.10}$$

$$\left.\begin{aligned}
\tfrac{d}{dt}\boldsymbol{M} + \boldsymbol{\omega}\times\boldsymbol{M} = 0\,, \quad \boldsymbol{M} &:= \boldsymbol{I}_C\cdot\boldsymbol{\omega} + \int_{\mathcal{C}}\rho\,\boldsymbol{x}\times\boldsymbol{u} \\
m_{\mathcal{B}}\,\boldsymbol{\xi}(t) &= -\int_{\mathcal{C}}\rho\,\boldsymbol{u}
\end{aligned}\right\} \quad t\in(0,\infty)\,.$$

Notice that $(2.10)_4$ states conservation of the angular momentum of the coupled system $\mathcal{S}$ with respect to center of mass of $\mathcal{B}$. Finally, we endow equations (2.7) (or, equivalently, (2.10)) with the following initial conditions

$$\rho(0) = \rho_0\,, \qquad \rho(0)\boldsymbol{u}(0) = \boldsymbol{q}\,. \tag{2.11}$$

Notice also that from $(2.7)_2$ and (2.11) we formally deduce the following global form of conservation of mass

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}}\rho(x,t)\,\mathrm{d}x = \int_{\mathcal{C}}\rho_0(x)\,\mathrm{d}x = m_{\mathcal{F}}\,, \quad t\geq 0\,, \tag{2.12}$$

where $m_{\mathcal{F}}$ is the total mass of the fluid.

The problem we shall address in the following sections regards the asymptotic behavior in time of solutions $(\rho,\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{\omega},\boldsymbol{\xi})$ to (2.7) in a suitable function class, $\mathcal{C}$ (say). More precisely, we shall show

that, provided the size of the initial data is suitably restricted and under certain assumptions on the distribution of masses, any such solution in $\mathcal{C}$ will converge to a steady-state where the fluid is at relative rest ($\boldsymbol{v} \equiv \boldsymbol{0}$) and $\mathcal{S}$ performs a uniform rotation as a single rigid body.

We end this section by recalling some basic notation we will use throughout. As customary, L^p (resp. $W^{k,p}$) denote the classical Lebesgue (resp. Sobolev) space, with corresponding norm $\| \cdot \|_p$ (resp. $\| \cdot \|_{k,p}$). Norms in Bochner space $L^p([0,T], L^q)$ (resp. $L^p([0,T], W^{k,q})$) are denoted by $\| \cdot \|_{L^p(L^q)}$ (resp. $\| \cdot \|_{L^p(W^{k,q})}$). Supporting sets in all the above spaces will be usually omitted. We also need the following class of functions defined on the whole of $\mathcal{S}$ and that reduce to "rigid motions" on $\mathcal{B}$:

$$W_R^{k,p}(\mathcal{S}) = \{\boldsymbol{\varphi} : \mathcal{S} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^3, \boldsymbol{\varphi} \in W^{k,p}(\mathcal{S}), \boldsymbol{\varphi}|_{\mathcal{B}} = \boldsymbol{\ell} \times x + \boldsymbol{k} \text{ for some } \boldsymbol{\ell}, \boldsymbol{k} \in \mathbb{R}^3\}.$$

We set $L_R^p(\mathcal{S}) := W_R^{0,p}(\mathcal{S})$. If $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in W_R^{k,p}(\mathcal{S})$ we shall typically write $\boldsymbol{\ell}_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}$ and $\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}$ to emphasize that the characteristic vectors $\boldsymbol{\ell}$ and $\boldsymbol{k}$ are associated to $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$. For future reference, we observe that, due to the properties of the tensor field S defined in (2.3), for any $\boldsymbol{\psi}, \boldsymbol{\varphi} \in W_R^{1,2}(\mathcal{S})$ we have

$$S(\nabla \boldsymbol{\psi}) : \nabla \boldsymbol{\varphi} = S(\nabla \boldsymbol{\psi}) : \nabla(\boldsymbol{\varphi} - \boldsymbol{\ell}_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}} \times \boldsymbol{x}),$$

and also

$$S(\nabla \boldsymbol{\varphi}) : \nabla \boldsymbol{\varphi} = S(\nabla \boldsymbol{\varphi} - \boldsymbol{\ell}_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}} \times \boldsymbol{x})) : \nabla(\boldsymbol{\varphi} - \boldsymbol{\ell}_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}} \times \boldsymbol{x}).$$

We finally remark that, in what follows, the cavity $\mathcal{C}$ is assumed to be a bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^3$ possessing (at least) Lipschitz regularity.

3. Steady-State Solutions

Objective of this section is to derive certain basic properties of steady-state solutions to (2.10). Sufficient conditions for their existence will be postponed till the last section.

We begin to observe that from (2.10) we have that steady-state solutions must satisfy the following set of equations

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}(\rho \boldsymbol{v} \otimes \boldsymbol{u}) + \rho \omega \times \boldsymbol{u} + \nabla p(\rho) &= \operatorname{div} S(\nabla \boldsymbol{u}) \\ \operatorname{div} \rho \boldsymbol{v} &= 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \text{ on } \mathcal{C}$$

$$\boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{\omega} \times \boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{\xi} \text{ on } \partial\mathcal{C} \tag{3.1}$$

$$m_{\mathcal{B}} \boldsymbol{\xi} = -\int_{\mathcal{C}} \rho \boldsymbol{u}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \boldsymbol{M} = 0, \quad \boldsymbol{M} := \boldsymbol{I}_C \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega} + \int_{\mathcal{C}} \rho \boldsymbol{x} \times \boldsymbol{u}.$$

If we formally dot-multiply $(3.1)_1$ by $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in C_0^\infty(\mathcal{C})$ and integrate by parts over $\mathcal{C}$, we get

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[-(\rho \boldsymbol{v} \otimes \boldsymbol{u}) : \nabla \boldsymbol{\varphi} + \rho \boldsymbol{\omega} \times \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} + p(\rho) \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\varphi} \right] = -\int_{\mathcal{C}} S(\nabla \boldsymbol{u}) : \nabla \boldsymbol{\varphi}, \text{ all } \boldsymbol{\varphi} \in C_0^\infty(\mathcal{C}). \tag{3.2}$$

Likewise, from $(3.1)_2$ we show

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}} \rho \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \nabla \psi = 0, \text{ all } \psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathcal{C}). \tag{3.3}$$

Moreover, if

$$b \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_+), \ b(0) = 0, b'(r) \geq C_b, \tag{3.4}$$

with C_b a constant that may depend on b, again from $(3.1)_2$ we deduce the "renormalized" continuity equation

$$\operatorname{div}(b(\rho)\boldsymbol{v}) + (\rho b'(\rho) - b(\rho)) \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{v} = 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{C}, \text{ all } b \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_+). \tag{3.5}$$

We then say that the quadruple $(\rho, \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{\omega}, \boldsymbol{\xi})$ is a *renormalized weak solution* to (3.1) if, for some $\gamma > 1$, (i) $(\rho, \boldsymbol{u}) \in L^{\gamma}(\mathcal{C}) \times W_R^{1,\gamma^*}(\mathcal{S})$, $\boldsymbol{\omega} = \boldsymbol{\omega_u}$, $\boldsymbol{\xi} = \boldsymbol{\xi_u}$,[3] (ii) $(\rho, \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{\omega}, \boldsymbol{\xi})$ satisfies $(3.1)_{4,5}$, (3.2), (3.3), and, in addition, (3.5) in the sense of distributions in the whole of $\mathbb{R}^3$, with ρ and $\boldsymbol{v}$ prolonged by 0 outside $\mathcal{C}$ with b satisfying (3.4) and the following assumptions

$$\begin{aligned} |b'(t)| &\le ct^{-\lambda_0}, t \in (0,1], \lambda_0 < 1, \\ |b'(t)| &\le ct^{\lambda_1}, t \ge 1, c > 0, -1 < \lambda_1 < \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

The next lemma shows that weak solutions may occur only if the fluid is at rest relative to $\mathcal{B}$, namely, the coupled system $\mathcal{S}$ moves, as a whole, by rigid motion.

Lemma 1 *Let* $(\rho, \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{\omega}, \boldsymbol{\xi})$ *be a renormalized weak solution to (3.1). Then* $\boldsymbol{v} \equiv 0$.

Proof. Clearly, $\boldsymbol{v} \in W_0^{1,\gamma^*}(\mathcal{C})$. Since $C_0^{\infty}(\mathcal{C})$ is dense in $W_0^{1,\gamma^*}(\mathcal{C})$ we can replace $\boldsymbol{v}$ for $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ in (3.2) to get

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[-(\rho \boldsymbol{v} \otimes \boldsymbol{u}) : \nabla \boldsymbol{v} + \rho \boldsymbol{\omega} \times \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{v} + p(\rho) \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{v} \right] = -\int_{\mathcal{C}} S(\nabla \boldsymbol{u}) : \nabla \boldsymbol{v}. \tag{3.7}$$

We now observe that

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}} \operatorname{div}(\rho \boldsymbol{v} \otimes \boldsymbol{u}) \cdot \boldsymbol{v} = \int_{\mathcal{C}} \operatorname{div}(\rho \boldsymbol{v} \otimes \boldsymbol{u}) \boldsymbol{u} - \int_{\mathcal{C}} \operatorname{div}(\rho \boldsymbol{v} \otimes \boldsymbol{u})(\omega \times x + \xi)$$
$$= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \rho \boldsymbol{v} \nabla |\boldsymbol{u}|^2 - \int_{\mathcal{C}} \rho \omega \times \boldsymbol{u} \boldsymbol{v} = -\int_{\mathcal{C}} \rho \omega \times \boldsymbol{u} \boldsymbol{v}. \tag{3.8}$$

Furthermore, choosing $b(\rho) = \rho \int_1^{\rho} \frac{p(s)}{s^2} ds$ we infer

$$\rho \, b'(\rho) - b(\rho) = p(\rho),$$

so that from (the distributional form of) (3.5) we show

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}} p(\rho) \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{v} = -\int_{\mathcal{C}} \operatorname{div}\left(b(\rho)\boldsymbol{v}\right) = 0. \tag{3.9}$$

Collecting (3.2)–(3.9) we thus obtain $\int_{\mathcal{C}} S(\nabla \boldsymbol{v}) : \nabla \boldsymbol{v} = 0$, which together with Korn's inequality yields the desired claim.

$\square$

Setting

$$\bar{\boldsymbol{I}} = \bar{\boldsymbol{I}}(\rho) := \int_{\mathcal{C}} \rho[\mathbf{1}\,|\boldsymbol{x}|^2 - \boldsymbol{x} \otimes \boldsymbol{x}],$$

from the previous lemma and (3.1) we easily show that any weak solution to (3.1) must be then of the form $(\rho_s, \boldsymbol{v} \equiv \mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\omega}_s, \boldsymbol{\xi}_s)$, with ρ_s, $\boldsymbol{\omega}_s$, and $\boldsymbol{\xi}_s$ satisfying the following system of equations:

$$\rho_s[\boldsymbol{\omega}_s \times (\boldsymbol{\omega}_s \times \boldsymbol{x}_s + \boldsymbol{\xi}_s)] = -\nabla p(\rho_s)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\xi}_s = -\frac{1}{m_{\mathcal{S}}} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \rho_s \, \boldsymbol{\omega}_s \times \boldsymbol{x} \tag{3.10}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \left[(\boldsymbol{I}_C + \tilde{\boldsymbol{I}}_C) \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega} + \int_{\mathcal{C}} \rho_s \, \boldsymbol{x} \times \boldsymbol{\xi}_s \right] = \mathbf{0},$$

---

[3] Here $\gamma^* = \frac{3\gamma}{3+\gamma}$ for $\gamma > 6$, $\gamma^* = \frac{9\gamma}{5\gamma-3}$ for $\frac{3}{2} < \gamma \le 6$, $\gamma^* = 3+$ for $\gamma = \frac{3}{2}$, and $\gamma^* = \frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1}$ for $\gamma < \frac{3}{2}$.

where $m_{\mathcal{S}}$ is the mass of $\mathcal{S}$ and $\tilde{\boldsymbol{I}}_C := \bar{\boldsymbol{I}}(\rho_s)$ is (for $\rho_s > 0$) the inertia tensor with respect to C of the fluid in the steady-state configuration.

We notice that, if $\rho_s(x) > 0$ in $\mathcal{C}$, then by a simple boot-strap argument from $(3.10)_1$ it follows that, in fact, $\rho_s \in C^\infty(\mathcal{C})$.

Set

$$\boldsymbol{g} = \boldsymbol{g}(\rho) := \int_{\mathcal{C}} \rho\,\boldsymbol{x}\,, \tag{3.11}$$

and define

$$\boldsymbol{I}_g := \frac{1}{m_{\mathcal{S}}}\left(\mathbf{1}|\boldsymbol{g}|^2 - \boldsymbol{g} \otimes \boldsymbol{g}\right)\,.$$

We have the following.

Lemma 2 *For any (sufficiently smooth) $\rho = \rho(x) > 0$, the tensor*

$$\boldsymbol{I} = \boldsymbol{I}(\rho) := \boldsymbol{I}_C + \bar{\boldsymbol{I}} - \boldsymbol{I}_g\,. \tag{3.12}$$

is symmetric and positive definite. Moreover, denoting by $G = G(\rho)$ the center of mass of $\mathcal{S}$, $\boldsymbol{I}(\rho)$ coincides with the inertia tensor of $\mathcal{S}$ with respect to G.

Proof. The symmetry property is obvious. Moreover, by using Lagrange vectorial identity, for any $\boldsymbol{a} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ we have

$$m_{\mathcal{S}}\,\boldsymbol{a}\cdot\boldsymbol{I}_g\cdot\boldsymbol{a} = |\boldsymbol{a}|^2|\boldsymbol{g}|^2 - (\boldsymbol{a}\cdot\boldsymbol{g})^2 = (\boldsymbol{a}\times\boldsymbol{g})^2 = \left(\int_{\mathcal{C}}\rho\,\boldsymbol{a}\times\boldsymbol{x}\right)^2$$

$$\leq \int_{\mathcal{C}}\rho\cdot\int_{\mathcal{C}}\rho(\boldsymbol{a}\times\boldsymbol{x})^2 = m_{\mathcal{F}}\boldsymbol{a}\cdot\bar{\boldsymbol{I}}(\rho)\cdot\boldsymbol{a}\,,$$

where $m_{\mathcal{F}} := \int_{\mathcal{C}}\rho$ is the mass of the fluid. Therefore,

$$\boldsymbol{a}\cdot\boldsymbol{I}\cdot\boldsymbol{a} \geq \boldsymbol{a}\cdot\boldsymbol{I}_C\cdot\boldsymbol{a} + \left(1 - \frac{m_{\mathcal{F}}}{m_{\mathcal{S}}}\right)\boldsymbol{a}\cdot\bar{\boldsymbol{I}}\cdot\boldsymbol{a}\,,$$

which proves the desired property since $m_{\mathcal{S}} > m_{\mathcal{F}}$. We next observe that, denoting by $\boldsymbol{x}_G$ the vector position of G in the frame $\mathcal{R}$, $\mathcal{B}$ the volume occupied by the body, and $\rho_{\mathcal{B}}$ its density, we have

$$\boldsymbol{x}_G = \frac{1}{m_{\mathcal{S}}}\left(\int_{\mathcal{B}}\rho_{\mathcal{B}}\,\boldsymbol{x} + \int_{\mathcal{C}}\rho\,\boldsymbol{x}\right) = \frac{1}{m_{\mathcal{S}}}\,\boldsymbol{g}\,,$$

since $\int_{\mathcal{B}}\rho_{\mathcal{B}}\,\boldsymbol{x} = 0$. The claimed property then follows from classical Steiner's theorem on the variation of the inertia tensor with the pole, e.g., [37, Section 3.5].

$\square$

Collecting all the above results we can now give the following characterization of the class of weak solutions to (3.1).

Proposition 1 *Let $(\rho_s, \boldsymbol{u}_s, \boldsymbol{\omega}_s, \boldsymbol{\xi}_s)$ be a weak solution to (3.1) with $\rho_s > 0$. Then*

$$\boldsymbol{u}_s = \boldsymbol{\omega}_s \times \boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{\xi}_s\,, \quad x \in \mathcal{S}\,, \tag{3.13}$$

while ρ_s, $\boldsymbol{\omega}_s$ and $\boldsymbol{\xi}_s$ satisfy the following equations

$$\rho_s^{\gamma-1}(x) = \tfrac{\gamma-1}{2a\gamma}\left(|\boldsymbol{\omega}_s\times\boldsymbol{x}|^2 - 2(\boldsymbol{\omega}_s\times\boldsymbol{\xi}_s)\cdot\boldsymbol{x}\right) + c\,,\ x \in \mathcal{C}\,,\ \text{some } c \in \mathbb{R}\,,$$

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}_s \times (\boldsymbol{I}(\rho_s)\cdot\boldsymbol{\omega}_s) = \boldsymbol{0}\,, \tag{3.14}$$

$$m_{\mathcal{S}}\boldsymbol{\xi}_s = -\boldsymbol{\omega}_s \times \boldsymbol{g}(\rho_s)\,.$$

Proof. The property in (3.13) follows from Lemma 1. Moreover $(3.14)_3$ is a consequence of $(3.10)_2$ and the definition (3.11). In addition, from $(3.10)_1$ we at once deduce that

$$\rho_s \nabla \left(\frac{1}{2} |\boldsymbol{\omega}_s \times \boldsymbol{x}|^2 - (\boldsymbol{\omega}_s \times \boldsymbol{\xi}_s) \cdot \boldsymbol{x} \right) = \nabla p(\rho_s) \text{ in } \mathcal{C}.$$

which furnishes $(3.14)_1$. Finally, using $(3.14)_3$ we get

$$\int_{Ce} \rho_s \boldsymbol{x} \times \boldsymbol{\xi}_s = \frac{1}{m_{\mathcal{S}}} \boldsymbol{g} \times (\boldsymbol{g} \times \boldsymbol{\omega}_s) = \frac{1}{m_{\mathcal{S}}} \left[(\boldsymbol{\omega}_s \cdot \boldsymbol{g}) \boldsymbol{g} - \boldsymbol{\omega}_s |\boldsymbol{g}|^2 \right] = -\boldsymbol{I}_g(\rho_s) \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}_s \,,$$

which, in conjunction with $(3.10)_3$, produces $(3.14)_2$. This concludes the proof.

$\square$

Remark 1 *As mentioned at the beginning of this section, the existence of solutions to (3.14) (or, equivalently, weak solutions to (3.1)) will be addressed in the last section. More specifically, we shall show that the $\Omega-$limit set associated to (strong) solutions to (2.10) is not empty and that its generic element is a steady-state solution; see Remark 3.*

4. Local existence of strong solutions

Our next objective is to show that (2.10)–(2.11) is solvable in a class of "strong" solutions, at least in some open interval of time. To this end, we begin to put (2.10) in a suitable weak form where the involved field variables are defined on the whole system $\mathcal{S}$. Let

$$\overline{\rho} = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{C}|} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \rho_0 \,, \quad \sigma := \rho - \overline{\rho} \,, \tag{4.1}$$

and consider the following set of equations

$$\partial_t \sigma + \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \nabla \sigma + \sigma \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{v} + \overline{\rho} \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{v} = 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{C} \times (0, \infty),$$

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}} \sigma(x, t) \, \mathrm{d}x = 0 \text{ in } (0, \infty)$$

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}} \rho \partial_t \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} + \int_{\mathcal{S}} \rho \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} + \int_{\mathcal{S}} \rho \boldsymbol{\omega_u} \times \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} - \int_{\mathcal{S}} p(\rho) \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\varphi} + \int_{\mathcal{S}} S(\nabla \boldsymbol{u}) : \nabla \boldsymbol{\varphi} = 0,$$

$$\text{for all } \boldsymbol{\varphi} \in W_R^{1,2}(\mathcal{S}),$$

$$m_{\mathcal{B}} \boldsymbol{\xi_u} = -\int_{\mathcal{C}} \rho \boldsymbol{u} \,, \quad t \in (0, \infty) \,. \tag{4.2}$$

It is easy to see that every sufficiently smooth solution to (4.2) is, in fact, a solution to (2.7), which, as shown earlier on, is equivalent to (2.10). Actually, choosing at first $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in C_0^\infty(\mathcal{C})$, integrating by parts $(4.2)_2$ as necessary and taking into account $(4.2)_{1,3}$ we at once obtain that $\rho, \boldsymbol{u}$ solve $(2.7)_{1,2,5}$. If we now take $\boldsymbol{\varphi} = \boldsymbol{\ell} \times \boldsymbol{x}$ and recall that $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{C}$ and that $\boldsymbol{v} \equiv \boldsymbol{0}$ in $\mathcal{C}$, by a straightforward calculation we show

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}} \rho \left(\partial_t \boldsymbol{u} + \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{u} + \boldsymbol{\omega_u} \times \boldsymbol{u} \right) \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} + \left[\frac{d}{dt} (\boldsymbol{I}_C \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega_u}) + \boldsymbol{\omega_u} \times (\boldsymbol{I}_C \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega_u}) \right] \cdot \boldsymbol{\ell} = 0 \,.$$

We next use $(2.7)_{1,2}$ in the first integral to get

$$\int_{Ce} \operatorname{div} T(\boldsymbol{u}, p) \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} = -\left[\frac{d}{dt} (\boldsymbol{I}_C \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega_u}) + \boldsymbol{\omega_u} \times (\boldsymbol{I}_C \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega_u}) \right] \cdot \boldsymbol{\ell} \,.$$

The latter, in turn, after integration by parts delivers

$$\Big[\frac{d}{dt}(\boldsymbol{I}_C \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega_u}) + \boldsymbol{\omega_u} \times (\boldsymbol{I}_C \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega_u})\Big] \cdot \boldsymbol{\ell} = -\boldsymbol{\ell} \cdot \int_{\partial C} \boldsymbol{x} \times T(\boldsymbol{u}, p) \cdot \boldsymbol{n}\,,$$

which, by the arbitrariness of $\boldsymbol{\ell}$ proves $(2.7)_4$.

The major result in this section reads as follows.

Theorem 1 *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be of class C^3, $\boldsymbol{u}_0 \in W_R^{1,2}(\mathcal{S})$, $\boldsymbol{u}_0|_{\mathcal{C}} \in W^{2,2}(\mathcal{C})$, $\rho_0|_{\mathcal{C}} \in W^{2,2}(\mathcal{C})$, $0 < m \le \rho_0 \le M$ and $\gamma > 1$. Then there exists $T^* > 0$, $\boldsymbol{u} \in C([0, T^*], W_R^{1,2}(\mathcal{S}))$, $\boldsymbol{u}|_{\mathcal{C}} \in L^2(0, T^*, W^{3,2}) \cap C([0, T^*], W^{2,2})$ with $\partial_t \boldsymbol{u} \in L^2(0, T^*, W_R^{1,2}(\mathcal{S})) \cap C([0, T^*], L_R^2(\mathcal{S}))$ and $\rho \in C([0, T^*], W^{2,2}(\mathcal{C}))$ with $\partial_t \rho \in C([0, T*], W^{1,2}(\mathcal{C}))$, $\rho > 0$ in $\mathcal{C} \times [0, T^*]$ such that $(\boldsymbol{u}, \rho)$ is a solution to (4.1), (4.2). Moreover, this solution is unique in its own class.*

The proof of this theorem will be achieved by the method employed in [41]. The main ingredients are the Schauder fix point argument combined with regularity results for the continuity equation and a suitable elliptic problem. We split the proof into three steps, each one described in the next three subsections.

4.1. Continuity equation

Consider the problem

$$\partial_t \sigma + \tilde{\boldsymbol{v}} \cdot \nabla \sigma + \sigma \operatorname{div} \tilde{\boldsymbol{v}} + \overline{\rho} \operatorname{div} \tilde{\boldsymbol{v}} = 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{C} \times (0, T)$$
$$\sigma(0) = \sigma_0, \tag{4.3}$$

where $\tilde{\boldsymbol{v}}$ and σ_0 are given functions. The following result holds

Lemma 3 *Let $\partial\Omega \in C^1$, $\tilde{\boldsymbol{v}} \in L^1(0, T; W^{3,2}(\mathcal{C}))$, $\tilde{\boldsymbol{v}} \cdot n = 0$ and $\sigma_0 \in W^{2,2}(\mathcal{C})$ with $\int_{\mathcal{C}} \sigma_0 = 0$. Then there exists a unique solution σ to (4.3) such that $\sigma \in C([0, T], W^{2,2}(\mathcal{C}))$, $\int_{\mathcal{C}} \sigma(t) = 0$ for each $t \in [0, T]$ and*

$$\|\sigma\|_{L^\infty(W^{2,2})} \le c(\|\sigma_0\|_{W^{2,2}} + 1) \exp(\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{v}}\|_{L^1(W^{3,2})}).$$

Moreover, if $\tilde{\boldsymbol{v}} \in C([0, T], W^{2,2}(\mathcal{C}))$, then $\partial_t \sigma \in C([0, T], W^{1,2}(\mathcal{C}))$ and

$$\|\partial_t \sigma\|_{L^\infty(W^{1,2})} \le c\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{v}}\|_{L^\infty(W^{2,2})}(\|\sigma_0\|_{W^{2,2}} + 1) \exp(\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{v}}\|_{L^1(W^{3,2})}).$$

Proof. See Lemma 2.3 in [41].

$\square$

4.2. Balance of linear momentum

In this subsection we are concerned with the problem

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}} \tilde{\rho}\, \partial_t \boldsymbol{w} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} + \int_{\mathcal{S}} S(\nabla \boldsymbol{w}) : \nabla \boldsymbol{\varphi} = [\boldsymbol{F}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}]$$
$$\boldsymbol{w}(0) = \boldsymbol{w}_0 \tag{4.4}$$
$$\boldsymbol{\xi_u} = -\frac{1}{m_{\mathcal{S}}} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \tilde{\rho} \boldsymbol{w}.$$

where $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in W_R^{1,2}(\mathcal{S})$, $\boldsymbol{\varphi}|_{\mathcal{B}} = \boldsymbol{\omega_\varphi} \times \boldsymbol{x}$, $\tilde{\rho}$ and $\boldsymbol{F} \in (W_R^{1,2}(\mathcal{S}))^*$ are given and $\boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{w} + \boldsymbol{\xi_u} = \boldsymbol{v} + \boldsymbol{\omega_u} \times \boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{\xi_u}$ for some (unknown) $\boldsymbol{\omega_u}$ and $\boldsymbol{\xi_u}$. Here $[.,.]$ denotes a duality pairing between $W_R^{1,2}(\mathcal{S})$ and $(W_R^{1,2}(\mathcal{S}))^*$

Lemma 4 *Let $\partial\mathcal{C} \in C^2$, $\tilde{\rho} \in L^\infty((\mathcal{S} \times (0,T))$, $0 \leq \frac{m}{2} \leq \tilde{\rho} \leq 2M$ a.e. in $\mathcal{C} \times [0,T]$, $\boldsymbol{F} \in L^2(0,T,L^2_R(\mathcal{S}))$, and $\boldsymbol{u}_0 \in W_R^{1,2}(\mathcal{S})$. Then the following properties hold.*

(i) *There exists a unique solution $\boldsymbol{u}$ to (4.4) such that*

$$\boldsymbol{u} \in C(0,T,W_R^{1,2}(\mathcal{S})), \boldsymbol{u}|_{\mathcal{C}} \in L^2(0,T,W^{2,2}(\mathcal{C})), \; \partial_t\boldsymbol{u} \in L^2(0,T,L^2_R(\mathcal{S})).$$

(ii) *Suppose, in addition, $\partial\mathcal{C} \in C^3$, $0 < m \leq \tilde{\rho}(0,x) \leq M$ a.e. in $\mathcal{C}$, $\nabla(\tilde{\rho}|_{\mathcal{C}}) \in L^4(0,T,L^6(\mathcal{C}))$, $\partial_t\tilde{\rho} \in L^2(0,T,L^3(\mathcal{C}))$, and that $\boldsymbol{F}$, $\partial_t\boldsymbol{F}$ satisfy the following further assumptions*

$$[\boldsymbol{F}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}] = \int_{\mathcal{S}} \boldsymbol{F}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} + \int_{\mathcal{S}} \boldsymbol{F}_2 : \nabla\boldsymbol{\varphi},$$

where $\boldsymbol{F}_1 \in L^2(0,T,W_R^{1,2}(\mathcal{S})) \cap L^\infty(0,T,L^2)$ and $\boldsymbol{F}_2 \in L^2(0,T,W^{2,2}(\mathcal{S})) \cap L^\infty(0,T,W^{1,2}(\mathcal{S}))$, and

$$[\partial_t\boldsymbol{F}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}] = \int_{\mathcal{S}} \partial_t\boldsymbol{F}_3 \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} + \int_{\mathcal{S}} \partial_t\boldsymbol{F}_4 : \nabla\boldsymbol{\varphi},$$

where $\partial_t\boldsymbol{F}_3 \in L^2(0,T,L_R^{\frac{6}{5}}(\mathcal{S}))$ and $\partial_t\boldsymbol{F}_4 \in L^2(0,T,L^2_R(\mathcal{S}))$. Finally, assume $\boldsymbol{u}_0 \in W_R^{1,2}(\mathcal{S})$ with $\boldsymbol{u}_0|_{\mathcal{C}} \in W^{2,2}(\mathcal{C})$. Then

$$\boldsymbol{u}|_{\mathcal{C}} \in L^2(0,T,W^{3,2}(\mathcal{C})) \cap C(0,T,W^{2,2}(\mathcal{C})), \quad \partial_t\boldsymbol{u} \in L^2(0,T,W_R^{1,2}(\mathcal{S})) \cap L^\infty(0,T,L^2_R(\mathcal{S}))$$

and

$$\|\boldsymbol{u}\|^2_{L^\infty(L^2)} + \|\boldsymbol{v}\|^2_{L^\infty(W^{2,2})} + \|\boldsymbol{u}|_{\mathcal{C}}\|^2_{L^2(W^{3,2})} + \|\partial_t\boldsymbol{u}\|^2_{L^\infty(L^2)} + \|\partial_t\boldsymbol{u}\|^2_{L^2(W^{1,2})}$$
$$\leq c(\|F_1\|^2_{L^2(W^{1,2})} + \|\boldsymbol{F}_1\|^2_{L^\infty(L^2)} + \|\boldsymbol{F}_2\|^2_{L^\infty(W^{1,2})} + \|\boldsymbol{F}_2\|^2_{L^2(W^{2,2})})$$
$$+ c\left(\|\partial_t\boldsymbol{F}_3\|^2_{L^2(L^{\frac{6}{5}})} + \|\partial_t\boldsymbol{F}_4\|_{L^2(L^2)} + \|\boldsymbol{u}_0\|^2_{W^{1,2}} + \|\boldsymbol{F}(0)\|^2_{L^2}\right)$$
$$\cdot (1 + \|\nabla\tilde{\rho}\|^4_{L^4(L^6)} + \|\partial_t\rho\|^2_{L^2(L^3)})\exp(c\|\partial_t\tilde{\rho}\|^2_{L^2(L^3)})$$

Proof. *Property* (i). We begin to derive some a priori estimates. We choose $\boldsymbol{\varphi} = \partial_t\boldsymbol{w}$ in (4.4), and after some calculations we deduce

$$\frac{m}{2}\int_{\mathcal{S}}|\partial_t\boldsymbol{w}|^2 + c\partial_t\int_{\mathcal{C}}\left(|\mathcal{D}(\boldsymbol{v})|^2 + |\operatorname{div}\boldsymbol{v}|^2\right) \leq \|F\|^2_2.$$

By integrating this identity over $(0,t)$, we derive $\partial_t\boldsymbol{w} \in L^2(0,T,L^2(\mathcal{S}))$, $\nabla\boldsymbol{v} \in L^\infty(0,T,L^2)$. Consequently, we get $\boldsymbol{\omega_u} \in L^\infty(0,T) \cap W^{1,2}(0,T)$, $\boldsymbol{\xi_u} \in L^\infty(0,T)$ and $\nabla\boldsymbol{u} \in L^\infty(0,T,L^2)$.
Also, from (4.4) we get

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}}S(\nabla\boldsymbol{v}) : \nabla\boldsymbol{\varphi} = \int_{\mathcal{S}}\tilde{\rho}(-\partial_t\boldsymbol{w})\cdot\boldsymbol{\varphi} + [\boldsymbol{F}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}]$$

for every $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in W_0^{1,2}(\mathcal{C})$. Recalling that $\boldsymbol{v}|_{\partial\mathcal{C}} = 0$, thanks to classical regularity results for elliptic problems we get for a.a. t

$$\|\nabla^2\boldsymbol{v}\|_2 \leq c\left(\|\tilde{\rho}\,\partial_t\boldsymbol{w}\|_2 + \|\boldsymbol{F}_1\|_2 + \|\boldsymbol{F}_2\|_{W^{1,2}}\right),$$

and, consequently,

$$\|\nabla^2\boldsymbol{v}\|_{L^2(L^2)} \leq c\left(\|\tilde{\rho}\partial_t\boldsymbol{w}\|_{L^2(L^2)} + \|\boldsymbol{F}_1\|_{L^2(L^2)} + \|\boldsymbol{F}_2\|_{L^2(W^{1,2})}\right).$$

With the above estimates in hand, the proof of existence of unique solution to (4.4) is quite standard. In fact, for $\tilde{\rho}$ constant it can be achieved by means of the classical Galerkin approximation (see e.g. [6,

Chapter 7]). In the general case of $\tilde{\rho}$ not constant, we may employ exactly the same approach as in [41, Lemma 2.1]. Note, that due to the assumed compatibility condition, the problem is not over-determined. Thus the proof of Property (i) can be considered complete.

Propery (ii). We choose in (4.4) $\boldsymbol{\varphi} = -\partial_t\partial_t\boldsymbol{w}$, and integrate over $(0,t)$. In what follows we use the notation $\boldsymbol{W} := \partial_t\boldsymbol{w}$ and $\boldsymbol{V} := \partial_t\boldsymbol{v}$. After some straightforward calculations we get

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathcal{S}}\tilde{\rho}|\boldsymbol{W}|^2(t) + \int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{S}}S(\nabla\boldsymbol{W}):\nabla\boldsymbol{W} =$$
$$\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathcal{S}}\rho|\boldsymbol{W}|^2(0) + \int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{S}}\partial_t\boldsymbol{F}_3\cdot\boldsymbol{W} + \int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{S}}\partial_t\boldsymbol{F}_4:\nabla\boldsymbol{W} + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{S}}\partial_t\tilde{\rho}|\boldsymbol{W}|^2 \quad (4.5)$$

Since all norms in finite-dimensional space are equivalent, we have

$$\|\tfrac{d}{dt}\boldsymbol{\omega_u}\times\boldsymbol{x}\|^2_{\frac{8}{3}} \sim |\tfrac{d}{dt}\boldsymbol{\omega_u}|^2 \sim \int_{\mathcal{B}}\tilde{\rho}|\tfrac{d}{dt}\boldsymbol{\omega_u}\times\boldsymbol{x}|^2 \le c\int_{\mathcal{S}}\tilde{\rho}|\boldsymbol{W}|^2. \quad (4.6)$$

Consequently,

$$\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{S}}|\partial_t\tilde{\rho}||\boldsymbol{W}|^2 \le c\left(\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{S}}|\partial_t\tilde{\rho}||\boldsymbol{V}|^2 + \int_0^t\int|\partial_t\tilde{\rho}||\tfrac{d}{dt}\boldsymbol{\omega_u}\times\boldsymbol{x}|^2\right)$$
$$\le c\left(\int_0^t\|\partial_t\tilde{\rho}\|_3\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_2\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_6 + \int_0^t\|\partial_t\tilde{\rho}\|_3\|\partial_t\boldsymbol{\omega_u}\times x\|^2_{\frac{8}{3}}\right)$$
$$\le c\left(\int_0^t\|\partial_t\tilde{\rho}\|_3\|\boldsymbol{V}\|_2\|\nabla\boldsymbol{V}\|_2 + c|\tfrac{d}{dt}\boldsymbol{\omega_u}|^2\int_0^t\|\partial_t\tilde{\rho}\|_3\right)$$
$$\le c(\varepsilon)\int_0^t\|\partial_t\tilde{\rho}\|^2_3\|\boldsymbol{V}\|^2_2 + \varepsilon\|\nabla\boldsymbol{V}\|^2_{L^2(L^2)} + c\int_0^t\|\partial_t\tilde{\rho}\|_3\int_{\mathcal{B}}|\tfrac{d}{dt}\boldsymbol{\omega_u}\times\boldsymbol{x}|^2\tilde{\rho}$$
$$\le c(\varepsilon)\int_0^t\left(\|\partial_t\tilde{\rho}\|^2_3 + \|\partial_t\tilde{\rho}\|_3\right)\int_{\mathcal{S}}\tilde{\rho}|\boldsymbol{W}|^2 + \varepsilon\|\nabla\boldsymbol{V}\|^2_{L^2(L^2)}, \quad (4.7)$$

By a similar argument

$$\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{S}}\partial_t\boldsymbol{F}_3\cdot\boldsymbol{W} \le \int_0^t\|\partial_t\boldsymbol{F}_3\|_{\frac{6}{5}}\|\boldsymbol{V}\|_6 + c\int_0^t|\tfrac{d}{dt}\boldsymbol{\omega_u}|\|\partial_t\boldsymbol{F}_3\|_{\frac{6}{5}}$$
$$\le c\int_0^t\|\partial_t\boldsymbol{F}_3\|^2_{\frac{6}{5}} + \varepsilon\|\nabla\boldsymbol{V}\|^2_2 + \int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{S}}\tilde{\rho}|\boldsymbol{W}|^2 \quad (4.8)$$

and

$$\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{S}}\partial_t\boldsymbol{F}_4:\nabla\boldsymbol{W} \le c(\varepsilon)\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{S}}|\partial_t\boldsymbol{F}_4|^2 + \varepsilon\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{S}}|\nabla\boldsymbol{V}|^2 + c\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{S}}\tilde{\rho}|\boldsymbol{W}|^2. \quad (4.9)$$

From Korn's inequality we also get

$$\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{S}}S(\nabla\boldsymbol{W}):\nabla\boldsymbol{W} \ge c\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{S}}|\nabla\boldsymbol{V}|^2.$$

Thus, from (4.5), (4.7), (4.8) and (4.9) we deduce

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathcal{S}}\tilde{\rho}|\boldsymbol{W}|^2(t) + c\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{S}}|\nabla\boldsymbol{V}|^2$$
$$\le \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathcal{S}}\tilde{\rho}|\boldsymbol{W}|^2(0) + \int_0^T\|\partial_t\boldsymbol{F}_3\|^2_{\frac{6}{5}} + \int_0^T\|\partial_t\boldsymbol{F}_4\|^2_2 + c(1+\|\partial_t\tilde{\rho}\|^2_{L^2(L^3)})\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{S}}\tilde{\rho}|\boldsymbol{W}|^2 \quad (4.10)$$

From (4.4) we deduce

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}} \tilde{\rho} |\boldsymbol{W}|^2(0) = -\int_{\mathcal{S}} S(\nabla \boldsymbol{u}(0))\nabla : \boldsymbol{W}(0) + \int_{\mathcal{S}} \boldsymbol{F}(0)\boldsymbol{W}(0) = \int_{\mathcal{S}} \tilde{\rho}\boldsymbol{W}(0)\left(\operatorname{div} S(\nabla \boldsymbol{u}(0))\frac{1}{\tilde{\rho}} + \boldsymbol{F}(0)\frac{1}{\tilde{\rho}}\right)$$

$$= \int_{\mathcal{S}} \operatorname{div} S(\nabla \boldsymbol{u}(0))\left(\operatorname{div} S(\nabla \boldsymbol{u}(0))\frac{1}{\tilde{\rho}} + \boldsymbol{F}(0)\frac{1}{\tilde{\rho}}\right) + \int_{\mathcal{S}} \boldsymbol{F}(0)\left(\operatorname{div} S(\nabla \boldsymbol{u}(0))\frac{1}{\tilde{\rho}} + \boldsymbol{F}(0)\frac{1}{\tilde{\rho}}\right)$$

$$\leq c\left(\|\nabla^2 \boldsymbol{w}(0)\|_2^2 + \|\boldsymbol{F}(0)\|_2^2\right).$$

By the Gronwall inequality we get

$$\|\boldsymbol{W}\|_{L^\infty(L^2)}^2 \leq c\left(\|\partial_t \boldsymbol{F}_3\|_{L^2(L^{\frac{6}{5}})}^2 + \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{F}_4\|_{L^2(L^2)}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{F}(0)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{w}_0\|_{W^{2,2}}^2\right)$$

$$\cdot \exp(c(1 + \|\partial_t \tilde{\rho}\|_{L^2(L^3)}^2))$$

and (4.10) also yields

$$\|\boldsymbol{V}\|_{L^2(W^{1,2})}^2 \leq c\left(\|\partial_t \boldsymbol{F}_3\|_{L^2(L^2)}^2 + \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{F}_4\|_{L^2(L^2)}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{F}(0)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{w}_0\|_{W^{2,2}}^2\right)$$

$$\cdot \left(1 + \|\partial_t \tilde{\rho}\|_{L^2(L^3)}^2\right)\exp(c(1 + \|\partial_t \tilde{\rho}\|_{L^2(L^3)}^2)).$$

In order to prove the remaining estimates, we again use classical regularity results for elliptic problems. Precisely, choosing $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in W_0^{1,2}(\mathcal{C})$ in (4.4) we get for a.a. t

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}} S(\nabla \boldsymbol{v}) : \nabla \boldsymbol{\varphi} = [\boldsymbol{F}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}] - \int_{\mathcal{C}} \tilde{\rho}\, \boldsymbol{W} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi}.$$

which furnishes

$$\|\nabla^2 \boldsymbol{v}\|_{L^\infty(L^2)}^2 \leq c\left(\|\boldsymbol{F}_1\|_{L^\infty(L^2)}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{F}_2\|_{L^\infty(W^{1,2})}^2 + \|\tilde{\rho}\boldsymbol{W}\|_{L^\infty(L^2)}^2\right)$$

and

$$\|\nabla^3 \boldsymbol{v}\|_{L^2(L^2)}^2 \leq c\left(\|\boldsymbol{F}_1\|_{L^2(W^{1,2})}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{F}_2\|_{L^2(W^{2,2})}^2 + \|\tilde{\rho}\boldsymbol{W}\|_{L^2(W^{1,2})}^2\right).$$

Furthermore,

$$\|\nabla \tilde{\rho}\boldsymbol{W}\|_2^2 = \int_{\mathcal{S}} |\nabla \tilde{\rho}|^2|\boldsymbol{W}|^2 \leq \left(\int_{\mathcal{S}} |\nabla \tilde{\rho}|^6\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}\|\boldsymbol{W}\|_2\|\boldsymbol{W}\|_6$$

$$\leq \|\nabla \tilde{\rho}\|_6^2\left(\|\boldsymbol{W}\|_2\|\nabla \boldsymbol{V}\|_2 + \|\boldsymbol{W}\|_2\|\tfrac{d}{dt}\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\boldsymbol{u}} \times \boldsymbol{x}\|_6\right)$$

$$\leq \|\nabla \tilde{\rho}\|_6^4\|\boldsymbol{W}\|_2^2 + \|\nabla \boldsymbol{V}\|_2^2 + \|\nabla \tilde{\rho}\|_6^2\|\boldsymbol{W}\|_2^2$$

which, in turn, combined with $(4.4)_3$ imply the last desired estimate.

□

4.3. Proof of Theorem 1

For $T > 0$ we define a set

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_T = \{ (\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}, \tilde{\sigma}), \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} &\in C([0,T], W_R^{1,2}(\mathcal{S})) \\
\tilde{\boldsymbol{v}} &\in C([0,T], W^{2,2}(\mathcal{C})) \cap L^2(0,T, W^{3,2}(\mathcal{C})) \\
\partial_t \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} &\in L^\infty(0,T, L_R^2(\mathcal{S})) \cap L^2(0,T, W_R^{1,2}(\mathcal{S})) \\
\tilde{\sigma} &\in L^\infty(0,T, W^{2,2}(\mathcal{C})), \ \partial_t \tilde{\sigma} \in L^\infty(0,T, W^{1,2}(\mathcal{C})) \\
\| \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \|^2_{L^\infty(W^{1,2})} &+ \| \tilde{\boldsymbol{v}} \|^2_{L^\infty(W^{2,2})} + \| \tilde{\boldsymbol{v}} \|^2_{L^2(W^{3,2})} + \| \partial_t \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \|^2_{L^\infty(L^2)} + \| \partial_t \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \|^2_{L^2(W^{1,2})} \leq B_1 \\
\| \tilde{\sigma} \|^2_{L^\infty(W^{2,2})} &+ \| \partial_t \tilde{\sigma} \|^2_{L^\infty(W^{1,2})} \leq B_2 \\
\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}(0) &= \boldsymbol{u}_0, \ \tilde{\rho}(0) = \rho_0 - \overline{\rho}, \ 0 < \frac{m}{2} \leq \tilde{\sigma}(t,x) + \overline{\rho} \leq 2M \},
\end{aligned}
$$

where B_1 and B_2 are sufficiently large constants which will be chosen later.
We consider a mapping Φ defined on L_T in the following way:

$$
\Phi(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}, \tilde{\sigma}) \mapsto (\boldsymbol{u}, \sigma),
$$

where $(\boldsymbol{u}, \sigma)$ is a solution to (4.4), (4.3) with

$$
[\boldsymbol{F}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}] = \int_{\mathcal{S}} \left[(\tilde{\rho}\,\tilde{\boldsymbol{v}} \cdot \nabla \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} + \tilde{\rho}\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}} \times \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} + p(\tilde{\rho})\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\varphi} \right], \ \ \tilde{\rho} = \tilde{\sigma} + \overline{\rho} \ \ \sigma_0 = \rho_0 - \overline{\rho}.
$$

We also use the notation

$$
[\boldsymbol{F}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}] = \int_{\mathcal{S}} \underbrace{(\tilde{\rho}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{v}} \cdot \nabla)\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} + \tilde{\rho}\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}} \times \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}})}_{=\boldsymbol{F}_1} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} + \int_{\mathcal{S}} \underbrace{p(\tilde{\rho})}_{=\boldsymbol{F}_2} \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\varphi}.
$$

and, by integration by parts,

$$
[\partial_t \boldsymbol{F}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}] = \int_{\mathcal{S}} \underbrace{(\partial_t(\tilde{\rho}\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}} \times \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}) + \partial_t(\tilde{\rho}\tilde{\boldsymbol{v}})\nabla \boldsymbol{u} - \operatorname{div}(\tilde{\rho}\tilde{\boldsymbol{v}})\,\partial_t \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}})}_{=\partial_t \boldsymbol{F}_3} \boldsymbol{\varphi} + \int_{\mathcal{S}} \underbrace{(\partial_t p(\tilde{\rho})\mathbf{1} + \tilde{\rho}\tilde{\boldsymbol{v}} \otimes \partial_t \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}})}_{=\partial_t \boldsymbol{F}_4} : \nabla \boldsymbol{\varphi}.
$$

One may easily derive that

$$
\| \boldsymbol{F}_1 \|^2_{L^2(W^{1,2})} \leq T c(B_1, B_2), \ \ \| \boldsymbol{F}_2 \|^2_{L^2(W^{2,2})} \leq T c(B_1, B_2)
$$

$$
\| \partial_t \boldsymbol{F}_3 \|^2_{L^2(L^{\frac{6}{5}})} \leq T c(B_1, B_2), \ \ \| \partial_t \boldsymbol{F}_4 \|^2_{L^2(L^2)} \leq T c(B_1, B_2)
$$

$$
\| \nabla \tilde{\rho} \|^4_{L^4(L^6)} + \| \partial_t \tilde{\rho} \|^2_{L^2(L^3)} \leq T c(B_1, B_2)
$$

Further, since for every sufficiently smooth $f : (0,T) \mapsto \mathbb{R}_+$, it holds $\| f \|_\infty \leq |f(0)| + T^{\frac{1}{2}} \| f \|_2$, we get

$$
\| \boldsymbol{F}_1 \|^2_{L^\infty(L^2)} \leq T c(B_1, B_2) + \| \boldsymbol{F}_1(0) \|^2_{L^2}
$$

$$
\| \boldsymbol{F}_2 \|^2_{L^\infty(W^{1,2})} \leq T c(B_1, B_2) + \| \boldsymbol{F}_2(0) \|^2_{W^{1,2}} ,
$$

while from Lemma 3 and Lemma 4 we infer

$$
\| \boldsymbol{u} \|^2_{L^\infty(W^{1,2})} + \| \boldsymbol{v} \|^2_{L^\infty(W^{2,2})} + \| \boldsymbol{v} \|^2_{L^2(W^{3,2})} + \| \partial_t \boldsymbol{u} \|^2_{L^\infty(L^2)} \leq T c(B_1, B_2) + c \left(\| \boldsymbol{F}_1(0) \|^2_{L^2} + \| \boldsymbol{F}_1(0) \|^2_{W^{1,2}} \right)
$$

and

$$\|\sigma\|_{L^\infty(W^{2,2})} + \|\partial_t\sigma\|_{L^\infty(W^{1,2})} \leq (1+cB_1)(1+\|\sigma_0\|_{W^{2,2}})e^{T^{\frac{1}{2}}B_1}.$$

We now choose B_1 sufficiently large, and B_2 such that

$$B_2 > (1+cB_1)(1+\|\sigma_0\|_{W^{2,2}}).$$

Furthermore,

$$\|\sigma-\sigma_0\|_{L^\infty(W^{1,2})} \leq T\|\partial_t\sigma\|_{L^\infty(W^{1,2})} \leq Tc(B_1)$$

and so, using well known interpolation results, we deduce

$$\|\sigma-\sigma_0\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\mathcal{C})} \leq c\|\sigma-\sigma_0\|_{L^\infty(W^{1,2})}^{\frac{1}{3}}\|\sigma-\sigma_0\|_{L^\infty(W^{2,2})}^{\frac{2}{3}} \leq c(B)T^{\frac{1}{3}}(1+\|\sigma_0\|_2)^{\frac{2}{3}}.$$

Consequently, there exists T small enough such that

$$\frac{m}{2} \leq \sigma+\overline{\rho} \leq 2M \text{ a.e. in } \mathcal{C}\times[0,T].$$

As a consequence, we may find some $T^* > 0$ such that

$$\Phi(L_{T^*}) \subset L_{T^*}.$$

We next define the space $X := C([0,T^*], W_R^{1,2}(\mathcal{S}))\times C([0,T^*], W^{1,2}(\mathcal{C}))$. It clearly follows that $L_{T^*} \subset X$ is closed and, from Arzèla-Ascoli theorem, also compactly embedded. Let us show that the function Φ is continuous on L_{T^*}. Indeed, suppose $\{(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_n, \tilde{\rho}_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset L_{T^*}$ is such that $(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_n, \tilde{\sigma}_n) \to (\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}, \tilde{\sigma})$ in X. We subtract the equations for $(\boldsymbol{u}_n, \sigma_n)$ and $(\boldsymbol{u}, \sigma)$, multiply them by $(\boldsymbol{u}_n - \boldsymbol{u}, \sigma_n - \sigma)$ and, by the Gronwall lemma, we get $(\boldsymbol{u}_n, \sigma_n) \to (\boldsymbol{u}, \sigma)$ in $C([0,T], L^2(\mathcal{S}))$. Furthermore, using Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality (see i.e. [36, Theorem 1.24]) we get

$$\|\nabla(\boldsymbol{u}_n - \boldsymbol{u})\|_2 \leq \|\boldsymbol{u}_n - \boldsymbol{u}\|_{W^{2,\frac{30}{23}}}^{\frac{5}{6}}\|\boldsymbol{u}_n - \boldsymbol{u}\|_2^{\frac{1}{6}}.$$

Since $\boldsymbol{u}_n$ and $\boldsymbol{u}$ are from L_{T^*} we get the uniform boundedness of $\|\boldsymbol{u}_n - \boldsymbol{u}\|_{W^{2,\frac{30}{23}}}^{\frac{5}{6}}$. The same argument applies to the quantity $\sigma_n - \sigma$, which then allows us to deduce $(\boldsymbol{u}_n, \sigma_n) \to (\boldsymbol{u}, \sigma)$ in X. As a result, all assumptions of Schauder theorem are fulfilled and we may thus conclude with the existence of a fix point for the map Φ, namely, a solution to (4.2). As for the uniqueness of such a solution, its proof does not differ from the one presented in [41, Section 3] and therefore will be omitted. Theorem 1 is completely proved.

5. Global existence of strong solutions for small data

Objective of this section is to show that the local in time solutions constructed in Theorem 1 can be extended to all times, provided the magnitude of the initial data is restricted in an appropriate sense. Before stating the main result, we introduce some *further notation*. Specifically, we denote by $[\,\cdot\,]_k$ the sum of L^2-norms involving only interior (in $\mathcal{C}$) and tangential derivatives (at $\partial\mathcal{C}$) of order k, and by $]|\cdot|[_k, [|\cdot|]_k$ suitable norms equivalent to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{k,2}$. Furthermore, we set

$$\psi(t) :=]|\boldsymbol{v}(t)|[_1^2 +]|\sigma(t)|[_2^2 + [\boldsymbol{v}(t)]_2^2 + \int_\mathcal{S}\rho(t)|\partial_t\boldsymbol{u}(t)|^2 + \int_\mathcal{S}\rho(t)|\boldsymbol{u}(t)|^2 + \frac{p'(\bar{\rho})}{\bar{\rho}}\|\partial_t\sigma(t)\|_2^2, \qquad (5.1)$$

where, we recall, σ is defined in (4.1). We also put

$$\mathcal{E}(t) := \int_{\mathcal{S}} \rho\,|\boldsymbol{u}(t)|^2 + \frac{2a}{\gamma-1}\int_{\mathcal{C}} \rho^\gamma(t)\,,$$

representing (twice) the total energy of the coupled system.

The following theorem holds.

Theorem 2 *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be of class C^4, $\boldsymbol{u}_0 \in W_R^{1,2}(\mathcal{S})$, $\boldsymbol{u}_0|_{\mathcal{C}} \in W^{2,2}(\mathcal{C})$, $\rho_0 \in W^{2,2}(\mathcal{C}) \cap L^\gamma(\mathcal{C})$, $\gamma > 1$. Then, there exists $\kappa_0 > 0$ such that if $\psi(0) + \mathcal{E}(0) \le \kappa_0$, there are uniquely determined*

$$\boldsymbol{u} \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, W_R^{1,2}(\mathcal{S})), \;\; with \; \boldsymbol{v} \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, W^{2,2}(\mathcal{C})) \cap L_{\mathrm{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^+, W^{3,2}(\mathcal{C}))$$

and

$$\rho \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, W^{2,2}(\mathcal{C}))$$

solving (2.10), (2.11). Moreover,

$$\partial_t\boldsymbol{u} \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, L_R^2(\mathcal{S})) \cap L_{\mathrm{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^+, W_R^{1,2}(\mathcal{S})) \;\; and \;\; \partial_t\rho \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, W^{1,2}(\mathcal{C})).$$

Remark 2 *It is worth emphasizing that the "smallness" condition required on the quantity $\psi(0) + \mathcal{E}(0)$ can be reformulated by imposing a restriction only on the size of the initial data $\boldsymbol{u}_0$ and ρ_0 in the norms of the function classes to which they belong. This statement is obvious for all terms appearing in the definition of ψ and $\mathcal{E}$, with the exception of those involving the time derivative of $\boldsymbol{u}$ and σ. However, it is readily seen that the solution $(\boldsymbol{u}, \rho)$ of Theorem 2 possesses as much regularity as to ensure the validity of $(2.10)_{1,3,4}$ (for a.a. $x \in \mathcal{C}$) and that of the derivative of $(2.10)_5$ up to time $t = 0$. Then, by employing standard Sobolev embedding theorems one can show the desired property.*

The proof of Theorem 2 relies upon a number of global (in time) estimates that will be proved in the next sections.

5.1. Estimates of time derivatives

We shall consider the following system

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}} \rho\,\partial_t\boldsymbol{u}\cdot\boldsymbol{\varphi} + \int_{\mathcal{C}} S(\nabla\boldsymbol{u}):\nabla\boldsymbol{\varphi} - \int_{\mathcal{C}} p_1\rho\,\mathrm{div}\,\boldsymbol{\varphi} = [\boldsymbol{h},\boldsymbol{\varphi}]\,, \;\; \text{for all } \boldsymbol{\varphi} \in W_R^{1,2}(\mathcal{S}) \tag{5.2}$$

$$\partial_t\sigma + \boldsymbol{v}\nabla\sigma + \overline{\rho}\,\mathrm{div}\,\boldsymbol{v} = f_0,$$

where $p_1 = \gamma\frac{p(\overline{\rho})}{\overline{\rho}}(= p'(\overline{\rho}))$,

$$f_0 = -\sigma\,\mathrm{div}\,\boldsymbol{v}, \tag{5.3}$$

and

$$[\boldsymbol{h},\varphi] = \int_{\mathcal{S}} \boldsymbol{h}_1\cdot\boldsymbol{\varphi} + \int_{\mathcal{C}} \boldsymbol{h}_2\cdot\boldsymbol{\varphi},$$

with

$$\boldsymbol{h}_1 = -\rho\,\omega_{\boldsymbol{u}}\times\boldsymbol{u}, \tag{5.4}$$
$$\boldsymbol{h}_2 = -\rho\,\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\nabla\boldsymbol{u} + (p'(\overline{\rho}) - p'(\rho))\,\nabla\sigma$$

Furthermore, we assume that

$$\frac{\overline{\rho}}{4} \le \underbrace{\sigma(t,x) + \overline{\rho}}_{=\,\rho} \le 3\overline{\rho} \tag{5.5}$$

Since $\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\varphi} = \operatorname{div}(\boldsymbol{\varphi} - \boldsymbol{\omega_\varphi} \times \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{\xi_\varphi})$ and $\boldsymbol{\varphi} - \boldsymbol{\omega_\varphi} \times \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{\xi_\varphi} \in W_0^{1,2}(\mathcal{C})$, we have

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}} p_1 \rho \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\varphi} = \int_{\mathcal{C}} p_1 \sigma \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\varphi}.$$

We choose $\boldsymbol{\varphi} = \boldsymbol{u}$ in $(5.2)_1$, multiply $(5.2)_2$ by $\frac{p_1 \sigma}{\rho}$ and add the resulting equations side by side. In view of (5.5), we get

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathcal{S}} \rho|\boldsymbol{u}|^2 + \frac{p_1}{\rho}\frac{d}{dt}\|\sigma\|_2^2 + c\|\nabla \boldsymbol{v}\|_2^2 \le$$
$$\varepsilon(\|v\|_{3,2}^2 + \|\sigma\|_2^2 + \|\boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2) + c(\varepsilon)(\|\boldsymbol{h}_1\|_2^2 + \|\boldsymbol{h}_2\|_2^2 + \|\sigma\|_2^4 + \|f_0\|_2^2 + \|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{2,2}^2\|\boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2), \quad (5.6)$$

where we used

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}} \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{v}|\sigma|^2 \le c\|\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{v}\|_\infty\|\sigma\|_2^2 \le c\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{3,2}\|\sigma\|_2^2 \le \varepsilon\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{3,2}^2 + c(\varepsilon)\|\sigma\|_2^4$$

and

$$\left|\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathcal{C}} \partial_t\rho|\boldsymbol{u}|^2\right| = \left|\int_{\mathcal{C}} \rho \boldsymbol{v}\cdot\nabla\boldsymbol{u}\cdot\boldsymbol{u}\right| \le \int_{\mathcal{C}} \rho|\boldsymbol{v}||\nabla\boldsymbol{v}||\boldsymbol{u}| + c\int_{\mathcal{C}} \rho|\boldsymbol{v}|(|\boldsymbol{\omega_u}| + |\boldsymbol{\xi_u}|)|\boldsymbol{u}| \le$$
$$c\left(\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_\infty\|\nabla\boldsymbol{v}\|_2\|\boldsymbol{u}\|_2 + \|\boldsymbol{v}\|_\infty(\|\boldsymbol{\omega_u}\|_2 + \|\boldsymbol{\xi_u}\|_2)\|\boldsymbol{u}\|_2\right) \le c(\varepsilon)\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{2,2}^2\|\boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2 + \varepsilon(\|\boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2 + \|\nabla\boldsymbol{v}\|_2^2).$$

Further, we differentiate (5.2) with respect to t, (dot-)multiply the first equation by $\boldsymbol{\varphi} = \partial_t\boldsymbol{u}$ and the second equation by $\frac{p_1}{\rho}\partial_t\sigma$. We get the following two equations

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathcal{S}} \rho\partial_t|\partial_t\boldsymbol{u}|^2 + \int_{\mathcal{C}} S(\nabla\partial_t\boldsymbol{v}):\nabla\partial_t\boldsymbol{v} - \int_{\mathcal{C}} p_1\partial_t\rho\operatorname{div}\partial_t\boldsymbol{v} + \int_{\mathcal{C}} \partial_t\sigma|\partial_t\boldsymbol{u}|^2 = [\partial_t\boldsymbol{h}, \partial_t\boldsymbol{u}]$$
$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{p_1}{\rho}\int_{\mathcal{C}} \partial_t|\partial_t\sigma|^2 + \frac{p_1}{\rho}\left(\int_{\mathcal{C}} \partial_t\sigma\,\partial_t\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\nabla\sigma + \int_{\mathcal{C}} \partial_t\sigma\,\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\nabla\partial_t\sigma\right) + \int_{\mathcal{C}} p_1\partial_t\sigma\operatorname{div}\partial_t\boldsymbol{v} = \int_{\mathcal{C}} \partial_t f_0\frac{p_1}{\rho}\partial_t\sigma. \quad (5.7)$$

Recalling that $\partial_t\sigma = -\operatorname{div}(\rho\boldsymbol{v})$, we have

$$\left|\int_{\mathcal{C}} \partial_t\sigma|\partial_t\boldsymbol{u}|^2\right| = \left|\int_{\mathcal{C}} \operatorname{div}(\rho\boldsymbol{v})|\partial_t\boldsymbol{u}|^2\right| = 2\left|\int_{\mathcal{C}} \rho\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\nabla\partial_t\boldsymbol{u}\cdot\partial_t\boldsymbol{u}\right|$$
$$\le c\int_{\mathcal{C}} |\boldsymbol{v}||\nabla\partial_t\boldsymbol{u}||\partial_t\boldsymbol{u}| \le c\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_\infty\|\nabla\partial_t\boldsymbol{u}\|_2\|\partial_t\boldsymbol{u}\|_2 \le c\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{2,2}^2\|\partial_t\boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2 + \varepsilon\|\nabla\partial_t\boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2,$$

and

$$\|\nabla\partial_t\boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2 = \|\nabla\partial_t\boldsymbol{v} + \nabla(\partial_t\omega \times x)\|_2^2 \le c\left(\|\nabla\partial_t\boldsymbol{v}\|_2^2 + |\partial_t\omega|_2^2\right) \le c\left(\|\nabla\partial_t\boldsymbol{v}\|_2^2 + \|\partial_t\boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2\right).$$

Consequently,

$$\left|\int_{\mathcal{C}} \partial_t\sigma|\partial_t\boldsymbol{u}|^2\right| \le c(\varepsilon)\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{2,2}^2\|\partial_t\boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2 + \varepsilon(\|\nabla\partial_t\boldsymbol{v}\|_2^2 + \|\partial_t\boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2).$$

We add together both relations in (5.7) and use the same procedure as in [41, proof of Lemma 4.2] to get

$$\frac{1}{2}\partial_t\int_{\mathcal{S}} \rho\partial_t|\boldsymbol{u}|^2 + \partial_t\frac{p_1}{\rho}\|\partial_t\sigma\|_2^2 + c\|\nabla\partial_t\boldsymbol{v}\|_2^2 \le$$
$$c(\varepsilon)\left(\|\partial_t\boldsymbol{h}_1\|_2^2 + \|\partial_t\boldsymbol{h}_2\|_{W_R^{-1,2}(\mathcal{S})}^2 + \|\partial_t f_0\|_2^2 + \|\sigma\|_2^4 + \|\partial_t\sigma\|_2^4 + \|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{2,2}^2\|\partial_t\boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2\right)$$
$$+ \varepsilon\left(\|\partial_t\boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2 + \|\partial_t\sigma\|_2^2 + \|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{3,2}^2\right). \quad (5.8)$$

G. P. Galdi V. Mácha Š. Nečasová

It remains to derive estimates for $\|\partial_t \boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2$. To this end, we take $\boldsymbol{\varphi} = \partial_t \boldsymbol{u}$ in $(5.2)_1$. We find

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}} \rho |\partial_t \boldsymbol{u}|^2 + \int_{\mathcal{C}} S(\nabla \boldsymbol{v}) : \nabla \partial_t \boldsymbol{v} - \int_{\mathcal{C}} p_1 \sigma \operatorname{div} \partial_t \boldsymbol{v} = \int_{\mathcal{C}} \boldsymbol{h}_1 \cdot \partial_t \boldsymbol{u} + \int_{\mathbb{C}} \boldsymbol{h}_2 \cdot \partial_t \boldsymbol{u}$$

which yields

$$c\|\partial_t \boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2 \leq c\|\nabla \boldsymbol{v}\|_2 \|\nabla \partial_t \boldsymbol{v}\|_2 + c\|\sigma\|_2 \|\partial_t \nabla \boldsymbol{v}\|_2 + (\|\boldsymbol{h}_1\|_2 + \|\boldsymbol{h}_2\|_2)\|\partial_t \boldsymbol{u}\|_2.$$

The latter in conjunction with (5.5), (5.6) and (5.8) furnishes

$$\|\partial_t \boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2 \leq c \Big(\|\boldsymbol{h}_1\|_2^2 + \|\boldsymbol{h}_2\|_2^2 + \|\sigma\|_2^4 + \|f_0\|_2^2 + \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{h}_1\|_2^2 + \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{h}_2\|_{W_R^{-1,2}(S)}^2 + \|\partial_t f_0\|_2^2 + \|\partial_t \sigma\|_2^4$$
$$+ \|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{2,2}^2 \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2 + \|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{2,2}^2 \|\boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2 \Big) + \varepsilon(\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{3,2}^2 + \|\sigma\|_2^2 + \|\boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2 + \|\partial_t \sigma\|_2^2). \quad (5.9)$$

5.2. Further estimates of $\boldsymbol{v}$

In this subsection we concern the following system (system for $\boldsymbol{v}$ divided by ρ)

$$\partial_t \boldsymbol{v} + \frac{1}{\overline{\rho}} \operatorname{div} S(\nabla \boldsymbol{v}) + \sigma \nabla p_2 = f$$

$$\boldsymbol{v}|_{\partial \mathcal{C}} = 0$$

$$\boldsymbol{v}(0) = \boldsymbol{v}_0$$

complemented with

$$\partial_t \sigma + \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \nabla \sigma + \overline{\rho} \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{v} = f_0,$$

where $p_2 = \frac{p'(\overline{\rho})}{\overline{\rho}}$, f_0 is defined in (5.3), and

$$f = \frac{\sigma}{\overline{\rho}\rho} \operatorname{div} S(\nabla \boldsymbol{v}) + \left(\frac{p'(\overline{\rho})}{\overline{\rho}} - \frac{p'(\rho)}{\rho} \right) \nabla \sigma + \partial_t (\boldsymbol{\omega_u} \times \boldsymbol{x}) + \boldsymbol{\omega_u} \times \boldsymbol{u} + \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{u}.$$

Arguing exactly as in [41, Section 4] we get the following estimates

$$\frac{2\mu}{\overline{\rho}} \frac{d}{dt} \|\mathcal{D}(\boldsymbol{v})\|_2^2 + \frac{\lambda - \frac{2}{3}\mu}{\overline{\rho}} \frac{d}{dt} \|\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{v}\|_2^2 + c\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{2,2}^2 \leq c \left(\|\sigma\|_{1,2}^2 + \|f\|_2^2 \right)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \sigma\|_{1,2}^2 \leq c(\varepsilon) \left(\|\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{v}\|_{2,2}^2 + \|\sigma\|_{2,2}^2 + \|\sigma\|_{2,2}^4 \right) + \varepsilon \|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{3,2}^2$$

$$\|\partial_t \sigma\|_{1,2}^2 \leq c \left(\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{2,2}^2 + \|f_0\|_{1,2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{2,2}^2 \|\sigma\|_{2,2}^2 \right) \qquad (5.10)$$

$$\|\sigma\|_{1,2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{2,2}^2 \leq c \left(\|\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{v}\|_{1,2}^2 + \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{v}\|_2^2 + \|f\|_2^2 \right)$$

$$\|\sigma\|_{2,2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{3,2}^2 \leq c \left(\|\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{v}\|_{2,2}^2 + \|\nabla \partial_t \boldsymbol{v}\|_2^2 + \|f\|_{1,2}^2 \right),$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} [\boldsymbol{v}]_1^2 + \partial_t |[\sigma]|_1^2 + \|\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{v}\|_{1,2}^2$$
$$\leq c(\delta) \left(\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{1,2}^2 + \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{v}\|_2^2 + \|f_0\|_2^2 + \|f_0\|_{1,2}^2 + \|\sigma\|_{1,2}^4 \right) + \delta \|\sigma\|_{1,2}^2 + \delta \|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{3,2}^2, \quad (5.11)$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt} [\boldsymbol{v}]_2^2 + \frac{d}{dt} |[\sigma]|_2^2 + \|\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{v}\|_{2,2}^2 \leq$$
$$c(\varepsilon) \left(\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{2,2}^2 + \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{v}\|_{1,2}^2 + \|\sigma\|_{1,2}^2 + \|f\|_{1,2}^2 + \|f_0\|_{2,2}^2 + \|\sigma\|_{2,2}^4 \right) + \varepsilon \left(\|\sigma\|_{2,2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{3,2}^2 \right) \quad (5.12)$$

where $|[\,\cdot\,]|_k$ is a norm equivalent to the $W^{k,2}(\mathcal{C})$-norm.

5.3. Estimates of ψ

We recall that the function ψ is defined in (5.1). From (5.6), (5.8), (5.9) and (5.10) we deduce

$$\frac{2\mu}{\bar{\rho}}\frac{d}{dt}\|\mathcal{D}(\boldsymbol{v})\|_2^2 + \frac{\lambda-\frac{2}{3}\mu}{\bar{\rho}}\partial_t\|\operatorname{div}\boldsymbol{v}\|_2^2 + \partial_t\|\nabla\sigma\|_{1,2}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathcal{B}}\rho|\partial_t\boldsymbol{u}|^2 + \frac{p_1}{\bar{\rho}}\frac{d}{dt}\|\partial_t\sigma\|_2^2 + \frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathcal{B}}\rho|\boldsymbol{u}|^2 +$$

$$+\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{3,2}^2 + \|\sigma\|_{2,2}^2 + \|\partial_t\boldsymbol{v}\|_{1,2}^2 + \|\partial_t\boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2 + \|\partial_t\sigma\|_{1,2}^2$$

$$\leq c(\varepsilon)\left(\|\sigma\|_{2,2}^2 + \|f\|_{1,2}^2 + \|\operatorname{div}\boldsymbol{v}\|_{2,2}^2 + \|\sigma\|_{2,2}^4 + \|\partial_t\boldsymbol{h}_1\|_2^2 + \|\partial_t\boldsymbol{h}_2\|_{W_R^{-1,2}(\mathcal{S})}^2 + \|\partial_t f_0\|_2^2 \right. \tag{5.13}$$

$$+\|\partial_t\sigma\|_2^4 + \|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{2,2}^2\|\partial_t\boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2 + \|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{2,2}^2 + \|f_0\|_{1,2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{2,2}^2\|\sigma\|_{2,2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{3,2}^2\|\boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2 + \|\boldsymbol{h}_1\|_2^2 + \|\boldsymbol{h}_2\|_2^2\big)$$

$$+\varepsilon(\|\sigma\|_2^2 + \|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{3,2}^2 + \|\partial_t\boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2 + \|\partial_t\sigma\|_2^2 + \|\boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2).$$

We add to both sides of this inequality terms $\frac{d}{dt}[\boldsymbol{v}]_2^2$, $\frac{d}{dt}|[\sigma]|_2^2$, $\frac{d}{dt}[\boldsymbol{v}]_1^2$ and $\frac{d}{dt}|[\sigma]|_1^2$ multiplied by proper constants in order to use (5.11) and (5.12). Thus, (5.13) yields

$$\frac{d}{dt}\psi(t) + \|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{3,2}^2 + \|\sigma\|_{2,2}^2 + \|\partial_t\boldsymbol{v}\|_{1,2}^2 + \|\partial_t\boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2 + \|\partial_t\sigma\|_{1,2}^2$$

$$\leq c(\varepsilon)\left(\|f\|_{1,2}^2 + \|\sigma\|_{2,2}^4 + \|\partial_t\boldsymbol{h}_1\|_2^2 + \|\partial_t\boldsymbol{h}_2\|_{W_R^{-1,2}(\mathcal{S})}^2 + \|\partial_t f_0\|_2^2 + \|\partial_t\boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2 \right.$$

$$+\|\partial_t\sigma\|_2^4 + \|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{2,2}^2\|\partial_t\boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2 + \|f_0\|_{2,2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{2,2}^2\|\sigma\|_{2,2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{3,2}^2\|\boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2 + \|\boldsymbol{h}_1\|_2^2 + \|\boldsymbol{h}_2\|_2^2\big)$$

$$+ \varepsilon(\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{3,2}^2 + \|\partial_t\boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2 + \|\partial_t\sigma\|_2^2 + \|\boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2 + \|\sigma\|_{2,2}^2). \tag{5.14}$$

Furthermore, taking also into account (5.4), we show

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f_0\|_{2,2}^2 &\leq c\|\sigma\|_{2,2}^2\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{3,2}^2 \\
\|\partial_t f_0\|_2^2 &\leq c\left(\|\partial_t\sigma\|_2^2\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{3,2}^2 + \|\sigma\|_{2,2}^2\|\partial_t\boldsymbol{v}\|_{1,2}^2\right) \\
\|f\|_{1,2}^2 &\leq c\left(\|\sigma\|_{2,2}^2\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{3,2}^2 + \|\sigma\|_{2,2}^4 + \|\boldsymbol{u}\|_2^4 + \|\boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{3,2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{3,2}^2\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{1,2}^2 + \|\partial_t\boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2\right) \\
\|\boldsymbol{h}_1\|_2^2 &\leq c\|\boldsymbol{u}\|_2^4 \\
\|\partial_t\boldsymbol{h}_1\|_2^2 &\leq c\left(\|\boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2\|\partial_t\boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2 + \|\partial_t\sigma\|_2^2\|\boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{3,2}^2\right) \\
\|\boldsymbol{h}_2\|_2^2 &\leq c\left(\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{3,2}^2\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{1,2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{3,2}^2\|\boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2 + \|\sigma\|_{2,2}^4\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

Observing that, by Sobolev inequality, $\|\cdot\|_{W_R^{-1,2}(\mathcal{S})} \leq c\|\cdot\|_{\frac{6}{5}} \leq c\|\cdot\|_2$, we obtain

$$\|\partial_t\boldsymbol{h}_2\|_{W_R^{-1,2}(\mathcal{S})}^2 \leq c\left(\|\partial_t\boldsymbol{v}\|_2^2\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{3,2}^2 + \|\partial_t\boldsymbol{v}\|_2^2\|\boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2 + \|\partial_t\sigma\|_2^2\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{1,2}^2\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{3,2}^2 + \|\sigma\|_{2,2}^2\|\partial_t\sigma\|_{1,2}^2\right.$$

$$\left. +\|\partial_t\sigma\|_2^2\|\boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{3,2}^2\right). \tag{5.16}$$

Combining (5.9), (5.15)$_3$ and (5.16) we derive

$$\|f\|_{1,2}^2 \leq c\left(\|\sigma\|_{2,2}^2\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{3,2}^2 + \|\sigma\|_{2,2}^4 + \|\boldsymbol{u}\|_2^4 + \|\boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{3,2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{3,2}^2\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{1,2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2\|\partial_t\boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2 \right.$$

$$+ \|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{3,2}^2\|\partial_t\sigma\|_2^2 + \|\sigma\|_{2,2}^2\|\partial_t\boldsymbol{v}\|_{1,2}^2 + \|\sigma\|_{2,2}^2\|\partial_t\sigma\|_{1,2}^2$$

$$+\|\partial_t\sigma\|_2^2\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{1,2}^2\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{3,2}^2 + \|\partial_t\sigma\|_2^2\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{3,2}^2\|\boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2 + \|\partial_t\sigma\|_2^4 + \|\partial_t\boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{3,2}^2\big)$$

$$+ \varepsilon(\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{3,2}^2 + \|\sigma\|_2^2 + \|\boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2 + \|\partial_t\sigma\|_2^2), \tag{5.17}$$

where we used the inequality (see (4.6))

$$\|\partial_t \boldsymbol{v}\|_2^2 \le c\left(\|\partial_t \boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2 + |\frac{d}{dt}\boldsymbol{\omega_u}|^2\right) \le c\|\partial_t \boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2.$$

From (5.9), (5.14), (5.15), (5.16) and (5.17), after absorbing some terms to left hand side –by taking ε sufficiently small– and adding $|\boldsymbol{\omega_u}|^2 + |\boldsymbol{\xi_u}|^2$ to both sides, we deduce

$$\frac{d}{dt}\psi + \|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{3,2}^2 + \|\sigma\|_{2,2}^2 + \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{v}\|_{1,2}^2 + \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2 + \|\partial_t \sigma\|_{1,2}^2 + |\boldsymbol{\omega_u}|^2 + |\boldsymbol{\xi_u}|^2$$

$$\le c\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{3,2}^2\left(\|\partial_t \boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2 + \|\sigma\|_{2,2}^2 + \|\partial_t \sigma\|_2^2 + \|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{1,2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2 + \|\partial_t \sigma\|_2^2\|\boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2 + \|\partial_t \sigma\|_2^2\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{1,2}^2\right) \quad (5.18)$$

$$+ c\|\sigma\|_{2,2}^2\|\sigma\|_{2,2}^2 + c\|\partial_t \boldsymbol{v}\|_{1,2}^2\|\sigma\|_{2,2}^2 + c\|\partial_t \sigma\|_{1,2}^2\left(\|\partial_t \sigma\|_2^2 + \|\sigma\|_{2,2}^2\right) + c\|\partial_t \boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2\|\boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2 +$$

$$+ c\|\boldsymbol{u}\|_2^4 + |\boldsymbol{\omega_u}|^2 + |\boldsymbol{\xi_u}|^2.$$

Since $\|\boldsymbol{u}\|_2 \le c(\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{3,2} + |\boldsymbol{\omega_u}| + |\boldsymbol{\xi_u}|)$, from (5.18) we infer that there exist constants $c_1 \ge 1$ and $c_2 > 0$ such that

$$\frac{d\psi}{dt} \le -(\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{3,2}^2 + \|\sigma\|_{2,2}^2 + \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{v}\|_{1,2}^2 + \|\partial_t \sigma\|_{1,2}^2 + \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2 + |\boldsymbol{\omega_u}|^2)(1 - c_1(\psi + \psi^2)) + |\boldsymbol{\omega_u}|^2 + |\boldsymbol{\xi_u}|^2 \quad (5.19)$$

and

$$\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{3,2}^2 + \|\sigma\|_{2,2}^2 + \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{v}\|_{1,2}^2 + \|\partial_t \sigma\|_{1,2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2 + \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2 + |\boldsymbol{\omega_u}|^2 + |\boldsymbol{\xi_u}|^2 \ge c_2\psi. \quad (5.20)$$

We next observe that, due to Sobolev's embedding theorem, if $\psi(t) \le c_3$ it follows that

$$\frac{\overline{\rho}}{2} \le \sigma(t,x) + \overline{\rho} \le \frac{3}{2}\overline{\rho}. \quad (5.21)$$

We then can show that there exists a constant c such that

$$\|\boldsymbol{u}(t)\|_2^2 + \|\boldsymbol{v}(t)\|_{2,2}^2 + \|\sigma(t)\|_{2,2}^2 \le c(\psi(t) + \psi^5(t)). \quad (5.22)$$

Indeed, directly from (5.1) we have

$$\|\boldsymbol{u}(t)\|_2^2 + \|\sigma(t)\|_{2,2}^2 \le c\psi(t).$$

Moreover, from $(5.2)_1$ and regularity results for elliptic equation we have

$$\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{2,2}^2 \le \|\rho\partial_t \boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2 + \|\nabla p(\rho)\|_2^2 + \|\rho(\boldsymbol{v}\nabla)\boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\omega_u} \times \boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2.$$

Also,

$$\|\boldsymbol{\omega_u} \times \boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2 \le c|\boldsymbol{\omega}|^2\|\boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2 \le c\|\boldsymbol{u}\|_2^4 \le c\psi^2 \le c(1 + \psi^3)$$

and, due to Sobolev embedding theorem and Gagliardo-Nirenberg interpolation theorem,

$$\|\boldsymbol{v}\cdot\nabla\boldsymbol{v}\|_2^2 \le \|\boldsymbol{v}\|_\infty^2\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{1,2}^2 \le \|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{1,4}^2\|v\|_{1,2}^2 \le c\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{2,2}^{\frac{5}{2}}\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_6^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{1,2}^2 \le c\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{2,2}^{\frac{3}{2}}\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{1,2}^{\frac{3}{2}}$$

$$\le \varepsilon\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{2,2}^2 + c(\varepsilon)\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{1,2}^6 \le \varepsilon\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{2,2}^2 + c(\varepsilon)\psi^5.$$

Therefore, after a suitable choice of ε, (5.22) follows immediately.

5.4. Proof of Theorem 2

We premise the following result.

Lemma 5 *Let $\psi = \psi(t)$ satisfy (5.19), (5.20) for all $t \in [0, T)$. Then, there is $\kappa > 0$ such that if*

$$\psi(0) + \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left(|\boldsymbol{\omega_u}(t)| + |\boldsymbol{\xi_u}(t)| \right) \leq \kappa \,, \qquad (5.23)$$

necessarily

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \psi(t) \leq \kappa \,.$$

Proof. The proof is obtained by a slight modification of that given in [41, Lemma 4.10] and will be, therefore, omitted.

□

In order to satisfy the hypothesis of this lemma, we only need to show an appropriate uniform bound on $|\boldsymbol{\omega_u}(t)| + |\boldsymbol{\xi_u}(t)|$. This will be obtained via the equation of energy balance for strong solutions that we may derive from (2.7). In fact, if we dot-multiply both sides of $(2.7)_1$ by $\boldsymbol{u}$, integrate by parts over $\mathcal{C} \times (0, t)$, and take into account $(2.7)_{2,3}$ and (2.4) (with $r \equiv \rho$), we deduce

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\rho(t)|\boldsymbol{u}(t)|^2 + \frac{2p(\rho(t))}{\gamma - 1} \right] + \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{C}} S(\nabla \boldsymbol{v}(s)) : \nabla \boldsymbol{v}(s) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left[\rho_0|\boldsymbol{u}_0|^2 + \frac{2p(\rho_0)}{\gamma - 1} \right]$$
$$+ \int_0^t \left[\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \int_{\partial \mathcal{C}} \boldsymbol{x} \times T(\boldsymbol{u}(s), p(\rho(s))) \cdot \boldsymbol{n} + \boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \int_{\partial \mathcal{C}} T(\boldsymbol{u}(s), p(\rho(s))) \cdot \boldsymbol{n} \right].$$

If we now employ $(2.7)_4$ and (2.9) in the last two integrals of these equality, we conclude, for all $t \geq 0$,

$$\mathcal{E}(t) + 2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{C}} S(\nabla \boldsymbol{v}(s)) : \nabla \boldsymbol{v}(s) = \mathcal{E}(0) \,,$$
$$\mathcal{E} := \|\sqrt{\rho}\boldsymbol{u}\|_2^2 + \frac{2}{\gamma - 1} \|\sqrt{p(\rho)}\|_2^2 + \boldsymbol{\omega_u} \cdot \boldsymbol{I}_C \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega_u} + m_{\mathcal{B}}|\boldsymbol{\xi_u}|^2 \equiv \int_{\mathcal{S}} \rho \, |\boldsymbol{u}|^2 + \frac{2a}{\gamma - 1} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \rho^\gamma \,. \qquad (5.24)$$

This equation furnishes, in particular, the following uniform bound

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \left(|\boldsymbol{\omega_u}(t)| + |\boldsymbol{\xi_u}(t)| \right) \leq c_4 \, \mathcal{E}(0) \,, \qquad (5.25)$$

from which the validity of the hypothesis of the lemma follows by taking $\mathcal{E}(0)$ less than a suitable constant.

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 2. According to Theorem 1 there exist a time T^* and functions $\boldsymbol{u}, \rho$ solving (4.2) in $(0, T^*)$ in the function class there specified. We assume that $\psi(0) + \mathcal{E}(0)$ is sufficiently small, so that, by (5.25), condition (5.23) is satisfied. As a consequence, we may take T^* depending only on the physical parameters and on κ (see [41, Section 4] for details). Then, by Lemma 5 and (5.24), we get

$$\psi(t) + \left(|\boldsymbol{\omega_u}(t)| + |\boldsymbol{\xi_u}(t)| \right) \leq \kappa \,, \qquad (5.26)$$

for all $t \in [0, T^*)$. Furthermore, by (5.21) and (5.22) we have

$$\|\boldsymbol{u}(T^*)\|_2^2 + \|\boldsymbol{v}(T^*)\|_{2,2}^2 + \|\sigma(t)\|_{2,2}^2 \leq c_0$$

for some constant $c_0 > 0$, and thus we may again use Theorem 1 to establish existence of a (unique) solution on a time interval $(0, 2T^*)$. We repeat this argument on each interval $(0, nT^*)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ in order to get the existence of solution on the whole positive real line. Theorem 1, (5.1) and (5.22) yield that ρ and $\boldsymbol{u}$ belong to the desired spaces.

6. Long time behavior of strong solutions

Throughout this section, we shall work with strong solutions constructed by Theorem 2. We would like to point out that while, in general, weak solutions may admit multiple zero-velocity-limit solutions [10], the same issue does not happen in our case since the density of strong solutions is always bounded away from zero.

We begin with the following simple observation that we state in the form of a lemma.

Lemma 6 *Let $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^+)$, $f \geq 0$ be such that*

$$\int_0^\infty f(t)\mathrm{d}t = c < \infty, \quad |f'(t)| \leq d < \infty \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

Then $\lim_{t\to\infty} f(t) = 0$.

Proof. The claim follows at once from the following identity

$$|f^2(t) - f^2(s)| = 2\left|\int_s^t f(\tau)\,f'(\tau)\right|, \quad \text{all } t, s > 0,$$

and the assumptions. $\hfill\square$

We next give a definition of Ω-limit set, appropriate to our problem.

Definition 1 *Let $(\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{\omega_u}, \boldsymbol{\xi_u}, \rho)$ be a solution constructed in Theorem 2. The corresponding Ω-limit set, $\Omega(\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{\omega_u}, \boldsymbol{\xi_u}, \rho) \subset L^2(\mathcal{C}) \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times L^2(\mathcal{C})$ is the set of all $(\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}, \hat{\rho})$, for which there exists an increasing, unbounded sequence $\{t_n\} \subset (0, \infty)$ such that*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}(\|\boldsymbol{v}(t_n) - \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}\|_2 + |\boldsymbol{\omega_u}(t_n) - \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}| + |\boldsymbol{\xi_u}(t_n) - \hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}| + \|\rho(t_n) - \hat{\rho}\|_2) = 0.$$

Lemma 7 *The above defined $\Omega-$limit set possesses the following properties:*

(i) *It is not empty, compact and connected.*
(ii) *Every element of the set is of the form $(\boldsymbol{0}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}, \hat{\rho})$ with $\hat{\rho} \in (\overline{\rho}/2, 3/2\overline{\rho})$.*
(iii) *It is invariant under solutions constructed in Theorem 2.*

Proof. The first property follows from the uniform estimates proved in Theorem 2 and basic properties of $\Omega-$limit sets (see e.g. [19, Lemma 3]) The second one is a consequence of Lemma 6. Indeed, from the energy equality (5.24) along with the Poincaré inequality we infer $\int_0^\infty \|\boldsymbol{v}\|_2^2 \leq c < \infty$. Furthermore, $(2.7)_1$ together with regularity of the constructed solution yields $|\partial_t\|\boldsymbol{v}(t)\|_2^2| \leq c$, uniformly in $t \geq 0$, so that assumptions of Lemma 6 are verified and we conclude with the desired property. We point out that the smoothness of strong solution together with the convergence of $\boldsymbol{v}$ also yield

$$\|\boldsymbol{v}(t)\|_{1,2} \to 0 \text{ as } t \to \infty. \tag{6.1}$$

The property $\overline{\rho}/2 < \hat{\rho} < 3/2\overline{\rho}$ is true due to (5.21) and (5.26), the latter valid for all $t \geq 0$. In order to show the last property (iii), let $\mathsf{s}(t) := (\boldsymbol{v}_\infty, \boldsymbol{\omega}_\infty, \boldsymbol{\xi}_\infty, \rho_\infty)$ denote the solution constructed in Theorem 2 emanating from $(0, \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}, \hat{\rho}) \in \Omega(\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{\omega_u}, \boldsymbol{\xi_u}, \rho)$. [4] We have to prove that, for every $t > 0$, $\mathsf{s}(t) \in$

---

[4] It's worth pointing out that, by definition of Ω and Theorem 2, every point in $\Omega(\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{\omega_u}, \boldsymbol{\xi_u}, \rho)$ satisfies the assumptions on the data of that theorem, and thus can be used as initial condition.

$\Omega(\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{\omega_u}, \boldsymbol{\xi_u}, \rho)$. Denote by t_n the sequence satisfying $(\rho(t_n), \boldsymbol{\omega_u}(t_n), \boldsymbol{\xi_u}(t_n)) \to (\hat{\rho}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}})$ in $L^2 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$. Since the strong solution is unique, it is enough to show that

$$\boldsymbol{v}_n(t) \to \boldsymbol{v}_\infty(t) \text{ in } L^2, \quad \rho_n(t) \to \rho_\infty(t) \text{ in } L^2, \quad \boldsymbol{\omega}_n(t) \to \boldsymbol{\omega}_\infty(t) \text{ and } \boldsymbol{\xi}_n(t) \to \boldsymbol{\xi}_\infty(t) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3$$

where $(\boldsymbol{v}_n(t), \rho_n(t), \boldsymbol{\omega}_n(t), \boldsymbol{\xi}_n(t))$ is a solution with initial conditions $(\boldsymbol{v}(t_n), \rho(t_n), \boldsymbol{\omega}(t_n), \boldsymbol{\xi}(t_n))$. Clearly, both $(\boldsymbol{u}_\infty, \rho_\infty)$ and $(\boldsymbol{u}_n, \rho_n)$ satisfy (2.7). If we now subtract, side by side, We subtract $(2.7)_1$ written for $(\boldsymbol{u}_\infty, \rho_\infty)$ from the one for $(\boldsymbol{u}_n, \rho_n)$. We next multiply the resulting equation by $\boldsymbol{u}_n - \boldsymbol{u}_\infty$. Furthermore, we perform the same procedure for $(2.7)_2$ and multiply the resulting equation by $\rho_n - \rho_\infty$. After a cumbersome but straightforward calculation that employs also the bounds proved in Theorem 2 we get for each $t > 0$

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \partial_s \left(\int_{\mathcal{S}} \rho_n |\boldsymbol{u}_n - \boldsymbol{u}_\infty|^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \partial_s \|\rho_n - \rho_\infty\|_2^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla(\boldsymbol{v}_n - \boldsymbol{v}_\infty)\|_2^2 \leq$$

$$c \int_0^t \|\rho_n - \rho_\infty\|_2^2 + c \int_0^t \|\boldsymbol{u}_n - \boldsymbol{u}_\infty\|_2^2 + \varepsilon_0^t \int_0^t \|\nabla(\boldsymbol{v}_n - \boldsymbol{v}_\infty)\|_2^2$$

$$+ c \int_0^t \|\nabla\boldsymbol{v}_n\|_\infty^2 \|\rho_n - \rho_\infty\|_2^2 + c \int_0^t \|\partial_s\boldsymbol{u}_\infty\|_6^2 \|\rho_n - \rho_\infty\|_2^2 + c \int_0^t \|\nabla\boldsymbol{v}_n\|_2^2 + c \int_0^t \|\nabla\boldsymbol{v}_n\|_2.$$

By Gronwall inequality

$$\|(\boldsymbol{u}_n - \boldsymbol{u}_\infty)(t)\|_2^2 + \|(\rho_n - \rho_\infty)(t)\|_2^2 \leq$$

$$c \big[\|(\boldsymbol{u}_n - \hat{\boldsymbol{u}})(0)\|_2^2 + \|(\rho_n - \hat{\rho})(0)\|_2^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla\boldsymbol{v}_n\|_2^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla\boldsymbol{v}_n\|_2 \big] e^{\int_0^t \left(1 + \|\nabla\boldsymbol{v}_n\|_\infty^2 + \|\partial_s\boldsymbol{u}_\infty\|_6^2 \right)}.$$

The desired property follows by letting $n \to \infty$ in this inequality and using (6.1), estimates of Theorem 2 and the fact that $(\boldsymbol{u}_n(0), \rho_n(0)) \to (\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}, \hat{\rho})$ strongly in L^2.

$\square$

The next result shows that $\Omega(\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{\omega_u}, \boldsymbol{\xi_u}, \rho)$ is a subset of the set of steady-state solutions.

Lemma 8 *For any solution of Theorem 2, the generic element of the corresponding set $\Omega(\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{\omega_u}, \boldsymbol{\xi_u}, \rho)$ is of the type $(\boldsymbol{v} \equiv \boldsymbol{0}, \rho_s, \boldsymbol{\omega}_s, \boldsymbol{\xi}_s)$ with $(\rho_s, \boldsymbol{\omega}_s, \boldsymbol{\xi}_s)$ satisfying (3.14). Moreover,*

$$|\boldsymbol{I}(\rho_s) \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}_s| = M_0 \,, \quad \int_{\mathcal{C}} \rho_s = m_{\mathcal{F}} \,, \tag{6.2}$$

where $\boldsymbol{I}(\rho_s)$ is defined in (3.12), M_0 is the magnitude of the initial angular momentum $\boldsymbol{M}$ defined in $(2.10)_4$, and, we recall, $m_{\mathcal{F}}$ is the mass of the fluid.

Proof. Due to the invariance of the Ω-limit set, we derive from (2.7) that every solution $(\boldsymbol{0}, \boldsymbol{\omega}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \rho)$ emanating from a point in Ω satisfies ($\dot{} \equiv \frac{d}{dt}$)

$$\partial_t \rho = 0,$$

$$\rho(\dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \times \boldsymbol{x} + \dot{\boldsymbol{\xi}}) + \rho\boldsymbol{\omega} \times (\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{\xi}) + \nabla p(\rho) = \boldsymbol{0}. \tag{6.3}$$

$$m_{\mathcal{B}} \boldsymbol{\xi} = -\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \int_{\mathcal{C}} \rho\boldsymbol{x} \,,$$

and, moreover, $\rho \geq \nu > 0$. The first of the above equations gives that ρ is at most a function of x. We next apply the operator $\nabla \times$ on both sides of $(6.3)_2$ and obtain

$$-2\rho\dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}} + \nabla\rho \times (\dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \times \boldsymbol{x} + \dot{\boldsymbol{\xi}} + \boldsymbol{\omega} \times (\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{\xi})) = \boldsymbol{0} \text{ in } \mathcal{C}.$$

Employing $(6.3)_2$ in the latter and recalling (2.4) (with $r \equiv \rho$), we deduce

$$2\dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}} = -\frac{1}{\rho}\nabla\rho \times (\frac{1}{\rho}\nabla p(\rho)) = -a\frac{\gamma}{\rho}\nabla\rho \times (\rho^{\gamma-2}\nabla\rho) = \mathbf{0}. \tag{6.4}$$

Thus (6.4) yields $\dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}} = \mathbf{0}$, which, once combined with $(6.3)_3$ furnishes also $\dot{\boldsymbol{\xi}} = \mathbf{0}$. We thus get that ρ, $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ and $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ must satisfy (3.10) and, therefore, (3.14). It remains to show (6.2). Condition $(6.2)_2$ follows by letting $t \to \infty$ (along a sequence) in (2.12). As for the first condition, we observe that, from $(2.10)_4$ we get

$$|\boldsymbol{I}_C \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}(t) + \int_{\mathcal{C}} \rho(t)\boldsymbol{x} \times \big(\boldsymbol{v}(t) + \boldsymbol{\omega}(t) \times \boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{\xi}(t)\big)| = M_0\,, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0. \tag{6.5}$$

The claimed property is then shown by letting $t \to \infty$ (along a sequence) in this relation, and employing (6.1) and definition (3.12). $\qquad\square$

Remark 3 *Since the Ω-limit set is not empty, by the previous lemma we deduce that the set of solutions to (3.14) (or, equivalently, weak solutions to (3.1)) is not empty as well.*

In view of the lemma just proved, the natural question is whether and when the generic solution of Theorem 2 will tend to a uniquely determined steady-state, namely, the corresponding Ω-limit set reduces to a singleton. It is easy to show that this indeed happens in the (trivial and non-generic) case $M_0 = 0$. In fact, we have the following result.

Theorem 3 *Let $M_0 = 0$ and let $(\boldsymbol{u}, \rho)$ be a generic solution constructed in Theorem 2. Then*

$$\Omega(\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{\omega_u}, \boldsymbol{\xi_u}, \rho) = \{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}, m_{\mathcal{F}}/|\mathcal{C}|\}\,.$$

Proof. We observe that, in view of Lemma 2, from $(6.2)_1$ it follows at once $\boldsymbol{\omega}_s = \mathbf{0}$, which, once replaced in (3.10), furnishes $\boldsymbol{\xi}_s = \mathbf{0}$. Finally, from (3.14) and $(6.2)_2$ we conclude $\rho_s = \text{const.} = m_{\mathcal{F}}/|\mathcal{C}|$. $\qquad\square$

Remark 4 *Theorem 3 is (for small initial data) the compressible counterpart of the same result shown in [38] in the incompressible case.*

If $M_0 \neq 0$, the situation appears to be more complicated, and we are able to give an answer only under suitable assumption on the "mass distribution" of the coupled system, and requiring the material constant a to be "sufficiently large". More precisely, in the following Lemma 9 we show that if the tensor $\boldsymbol{I}(\bar{\rho})$, with $\bar{\rho} := m_{\mathcal{F}}/|\mathcal{C}|$ and $\boldsymbol{I}(\rho)$ defined in (3.12), has distinct eigenvalues, then all possible solutions to the system (3.13), (3.14), (6.2) must be isolated for a large enough. (Notice that, by Lemma 2, $\boldsymbol{I}(\bar{\rho})$ possesses three positive eigenvalues, for any $\bar{\rho} > 0$.) As a consequence, since Ω is connected, it must coincide with one of these solutions; see Theorem 4.

Lemma 9 *Suppose the eigenvalues of $\boldsymbol{I}(\bar{\rho})$ are distinct. Then, there exists $a_0 > 0$ such that if $a > a_0$, the $\Omega-$limit set associated to a generic solution of Theorem 2 reduces to a singleton.*

Proof. We recall that, by Lemma 8, the generic element of the $\Omega-$limit set $(\rho_s, \boldsymbol{\omega}_s, \boldsymbol{\xi}_s)$ satisfies the following set of equations

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_s &= \left(\frac{\gamma-1}{2a\gamma}(|\boldsymbol{\omega}_s \times \boldsymbol{x}|^2 - (\boldsymbol{\omega}_s \times \boldsymbol{\xi}_s) \cdot \boldsymbol{x}) + c_s\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}} =: \mathsf{f}(\omega_s, \xi_s, c_s),\\
\boldsymbol{\omega}_s &\times (\boldsymbol{I}(\rho_s) \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}_s) = 0,\\
|\boldsymbol{I}(\rho_s) &\cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}_s| = M_0,\\
m_{\mathcal{S}}\boldsymbol{\xi}_s &= \boldsymbol{g}(\rho_s) \times \boldsymbol{\omega}_s\\
\int_{\Omega} \mathsf{f}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_s&, \boldsymbol{\xi}_s, c_s) = m_{\mathcal{F}}\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

where, in view of Theorem 3, we may assume $M_0 \neq 0$. From the second equation above, we deduce that $\boldsymbol{\omega}_s$ is an eigenvector of $\boldsymbol{I}(\rho_s)$ corresponding to some (positive) eigenvalue λ_s. We claim that if λ_s is simple, then the solution $(\rho_s, \boldsymbol{\omega}_s, \boldsymbol{\xi}_s)$ is isolated. To this end, we notice that (6.6) can be written in the following form

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\lambda_s \mathbf{1} - \boldsymbol{I}(\mathsf{f})) \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}_s &= 0, \\
\lambda_s^2 |\boldsymbol{\omega}_s|^2 - M_0^2 &= 0, \\
m_{\mathcal{S}} \boldsymbol{\xi}_s - \boldsymbol{g}(\mathsf{f}) \times \boldsymbol{\omega}_s &= 0, \\
\int_{\mathcal{C}} \mathsf{f}(\omega_s, \xi_s, c_s) &= m_{\mathcal{F}},
\end{aligned}
\tag{6.7}
$$

in the unknowns $\lambda_s \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $\boldsymbol{\omega}_s, \boldsymbol{\xi}_s \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $c_s \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Consider the function $F : \mathbb{R}^8 \mapsto \mathbb{R}^8$ defined as follows

$$
F(\lambda, \boldsymbol{\omega}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, c) = \left((\lambda \mathbf{1} - \boldsymbol{I}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}, \lambda^2 |\boldsymbol{\omega}|^2 - M_0^2, m_{\mathcal{S}} \boldsymbol{\xi} - \boldsymbol{g} \times \boldsymbol{\omega}, \int_{\mathcal{C}} \mathsf{f}(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, c) - m_{\mathcal{F}} \right),
$$

where both $\boldsymbol{I}$ and $\boldsymbol{g}$ are evaluated at $\mathsf{f}(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, c)$. Our claim will then become a consequence of the implicit function theorem, provided we show that $\nabla F(\lambda_s, \boldsymbol{\omega}_s, \boldsymbol{\xi}_s, c_s)$ is a regular matrix. Let

$$
\mathsf{p}(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, c) := \frac{\gamma - 1}{2a\gamma} \left(|\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \boldsymbol{x}|^2 - 2(\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \boldsymbol{\xi}) \cdot \boldsymbol{x} \right) + c.
\tag{6.8}
$$

From (5.21) it follows that there is $C = C(\overline{\rho}, \gamma) > 0$ such that

$$
C > \mathsf{p}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_s, \boldsymbol{\xi}_s, c_s) > C^{-1}.
\tag{6.9}
$$

Next, by a straightforward calculation we show

$$
\nabla F = \begin{pmatrix}
\boldsymbol{\omega} & \lambda \mathbf{1} - \boldsymbol{I} & 0 & 0 \\
2\lambda |\boldsymbol{\omega}|^2 & 2\lambda^2 \boldsymbol{\omega} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \mathbb{S}(\boldsymbol{g}) & m_{\mathcal{S}} \mathbf{1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\gamma - 1} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \mathsf{p}^{\frac{2-\gamma}{\gamma-1}}
\end{pmatrix} + \boldsymbol{N}(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, c)
$$

where $\mathbb{S}$ is defined in (2.5) and

$$
\boldsymbol{N}(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, c) = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & -\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{I}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\omega}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega} & -\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{I}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\xi}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{g}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\omega}} \times \boldsymbol{\omega} & -\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{g}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\xi}} \times \boldsymbol{\omega} & 0 \\
0 & \int_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{\partial \mathsf{f}(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, c)}{\partial \boldsymbol{\omega}} & \int_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{\partial \mathsf{f}(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, c)}{\partial \boldsymbol{\xi}} & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

Let us prove that there exists C_0 depending at most on $\boldsymbol{\xi}_s$, $\boldsymbol{\omega}_s$, c_s, C and γ such that

$$
|\boldsymbol{N}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_s, \boldsymbol{\xi}_s, c_s)| \leq a^{-1/(\gamma-1)} C_0.
\tag{6.10}
$$

We show the validity of this bound only for one entry of $\boldsymbol{N}$, as the proof for all other entries is exactly the same (or simpler). So, for example, we have

$$
\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{I}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\omega}} = \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{I}}{\partial \mathsf{f}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathsf{f}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\omega}} = a^{-1/(\gamma-1)} \, \mathsf{p}^{\frac{\gamma-2}{\gamma-1}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, c) \, \boldsymbol{K}(\gamma, C) \cdot \left[(2(\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \boldsymbol{x}) \cdot \mathbb{S}(\boldsymbol{x}) - 2\mathbb{S}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \cdot \boldsymbol{x}) \right],
$$

with $\boldsymbol{K}(\gamma, C)$ a tensor depending only on γ and C. Therefore, (6.10) follows, once we take into account (6.9). We next prove that the matrix $\nabla F - \boldsymbol{N}$ at the point $(\lambda_s, \omega_s, \xi_s)$, denoted by $\boldsymbol{M}_s$, is regular for

all values of $a > 0$ and, moreover, also in the limit $a \to \infty$. Indeed, the only term depending on a is the entry involving p which is (of course) defined for all $a > 0$ and, in view of $(6.6)_{1,4}$ and (6.8) tends to a non-zero constant in the limit $a \to \infty$. Thus, to show that $\boldsymbol{M}_s$ is non-singular it is sufficient to prove that the reduced matrix

$$\boldsymbol{P} := \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\omega}_s & \lambda_s \boldsymbol{1} - \boldsymbol{I}(\rho_s) \\ 2\lambda_s |\boldsymbol{\omega}_s|^2 & 2\lambda_s^2 \boldsymbol{\omega}_s \end{pmatrix}$$

spans (uniquely) the whole of $\mathbb{R}^4$. But this is true since, denoting by λ_s, λ_2, λ_3 the eigenvalues of $\boldsymbol{I}(\rho_s)$ and $\boldsymbol{r}_1 = \boldsymbol{\omega}_s$, $\boldsymbol{r}_2$, $\boldsymbol{r}_3$ corresponding eigenvectors, we get

$$\boldsymbol{P} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \boldsymbol{r}_2 \end{pmatrix} = (\lambda_s - \lambda_2) \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{r}_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\boldsymbol{P} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \boldsymbol{r}_3 \end{pmatrix} = (\lambda_s - \lambda_3) \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{r}_3 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\boldsymbol{P} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \boldsymbol{r}_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2\lambda_s^2 |\boldsymbol{r}_1|^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\boldsymbol{P} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{r}_1 \\ 2\lambda_s^2 |\boldsymbol{r}_1|^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

which proves the claim since $\lambda_s \neq \lambda_2, \lambda_3$. As a result, there exists $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ such that if $|\boldsymbol{N}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_s, \boldsymbol{\xi}_s, c_s)| < \varepsilon_1$, the entire matrix ∇F is regular at $(\lambda_s, \omega_s, \xi_s)$. However, from what we noticed regarding the dependence of $\boldsymbol{M}_s$ on a and (6.10), this property can be achieved by taking a sufficiently large, say, $a > a_1$. Now, since the solutions to (6.7) are characterized by the eigenvalues of the tensor $\boldsymbol{I}(\rho_s)$, by what we have just proved it follows that if the eigenvalues are all distinct and a is large enough, the system (6.6) admits only three solution each of which may belong to the Ω−limit set. However, these solutions are isolated and Ω is connected, and we conclude that Ω must coincide with one and only one solution. We shall next prove that a sufficient condition for the three eigenvalues of $\boldsymbol{I}(\rho_s)$ to be simple (and, therefore distinct) is that the three eigenvalues of $\boldsymbol{I}(\bar{\rho})$ be simple as well, provided a is sufficiently large. To show this, we observe that, by elementary properties, it is enough to show that there is a sufficiently small ε_2 such that $|\boldsymbol{I}(\bar{\rho}) - \boldsymbol{I}(\rho_s)| < \varepsilon_2$. We now see that, by definition and $(6.6)_1$, $\boldsymbol{I}(\bar{\rho}) = \boldsymbol{I}(\rho_s)|_{\boldsymbol{\omega}_s = \boldsymbol{0}, c_s = (m_{\mathcal{F}}/|\mathcal{C}|)^{\gamma-1}}$. As a result, we have

$$|\boldsymbol{I}(\bar{\rho}) - \boldsymbol{I}(\rho_s)| \leq \left| \int_0^1 \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{I}}{\partial \sigma} (\sigma \boldsymbol{\omega}_s, c_s) \, \mathrm{d}\sigma \right| + \left| \int_{(m_{\mathcal{F}}/|\mathcal{C}|)^{\gamma-1}}^{c_s} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{I}}{\partial c} (\boldsymbol{0}, c) \mathrm{d}c \right| \equiv \mathcal{I}_1 + \mathcal{I}_2$$

Arguing as in the proof of (6.10), we show

$$\mathcal{I}_1 \leq c_1 \, a^{-1/(\gamma-1)} . \tag{6.11}$$

As far as $\mathcal{I}_2$, since $\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{I}}{\partial c} (\boldsymbol{0}, c)$ is bounded, we have

$$\mathcal{I}_2 \leq c_2 \left| c_s - (m_{\mathcal{F}}/|\mathcal{C}|)^{\gamma-1} \right| .$$

Equations $(6.6)_{1,5}$ in combination with the implicit function theorem yields a smooth $c_s = c_s(\eta, \boldsymbol{\omega}_s, \boldsymbol{x}_s, m_F)$ with $\eta := a^{-1/(\gamma-1)}$ and such that $c_s(0, \boldsymbol{\omega}_s, \boldsymbol{\xi}_s, m\mathcal{F}) = (m_{\mathcal{F}}/|\mathcal{C}|)^{\gamma-1}$. We thus conclude, for η small enough

$$\left| c_s - (m_{\mathcal{F}}/|\mathcal{C}|)^{\gamma-1} \right| \leq c_3 \eta$$

which concludes the proof of the lemma.

$\square$

Before stating our main result, we would like to make some comments about the physical meaning of the tensor $\boldsymbol{I}(\bar{\rho})$. To this end, suppose we replace the compressible fluid, $\mathcal{F}$, in the cavity with a fluid, $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$, of constant density $\bar{\rho} \equiv m_{\mathcal{F}}/|\mathcal{C}|$. Also, denote by $\bar{G}$ the center of mass of the coupled system $\bar{\mathcal{S}} := \mathcal{B} \cup \bar{\mathcal{F}}$. Then (Lemma 2) $\boldsymbol{I}(\bar{\rho})$ is the inertia tensor of $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$ with respect to $\bar{G}$.

The findings of this section, which constitute the main achievement of this paper, are now collected in the following.

Theorem 4 *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be of class C^4 and let $(\boldsymbol{u}, \rho)$ be a generic solution given in Theorem 2. Suppose that the three eigenvalues of the tensor $\boldsymbol{I}(\bar{\rho})$ are all distinct. Then, there exists $a_0 > 0$ such that if $a > a_0$, $(\rho, \boldsymbol{u})$ tends, as $t \to \infty$, in appropriate norms to a uniquely determined solution $(\rho_s, \boldsymbol{\omega}_s, \boldsymbol{\xi}_s)$ to (6.6). Therefore, the terminal motion of the coupled system $\mathcal{S}$ reduces to a uniform rotation around an axis parallel to the (constant) angular momentum, $\boldsymbol{M}_0$, of $\mathcal{S}$ and passing through its center of mass G.*

Proof. In view of what we have already proved, we should only comment about the statement regarding the terminal motion. However, it is clear that, since in such a state $\boldsymbol{v} \equiv \boldsymbol{0}$ and $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ is time-independent, the system $\mathcal{S}$ rotates as a unique rigid body with constant angular velocity. Moreover, the axis of rotation, a, must be parallel to $\boldsymbol{M}_0$ by the conservation of angular momentum. Finally, a passes through G, because G is at rest in any possible motion of $\mathcal{S}$ and so, in particular, in the terminal one. $\square$

References

1. BEIRÃO DA VEIGA, H.: *An L^p-theory for the n-dimensional, stationary, compressible Navier–Stokes equations, and the incompressible limit for compressible fluids. The equilibrium solutions,* Comm. Math. Phys. **109** (1987), no. 2, 229–248

2. CHERNOUSKO, F.L.: *Motion of a rigid body with cavities containing a viscous fluid* (1968). NASA Technical Translations, Moscow, 1972

3. CHERNOUSKO, F.L., AKULENKO, L.D., AND LESHCHENKO, D.D.: *Evolution of motions of a rigid body about its center of mass,* Springer-Verlag (2017)

4. DISSER, K., GALDI, G. P., MAZZONE, G., ZUNINO, P.: *Inertial Motions of a Rigid Body with a cavity filled with a viscous liquid,* Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **221** (2016), no. 1, 487–526

5. ERBAN, R. *On the static-limit solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations of compressible flow.* J. Math. Fluid Mech. 3 (2001), no. 4, 393—408.

6. EVANS, L., C.: *Partial Differential Equations* AMS 1997

7. FEIREISL, E.: *Dynamics of viscous compressible fluids.* Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2004.

8. FEIREISL, E., NOVOTNÝ, A.: *Singular limits in thermodynamics of viscous fluids.* Birkhäuser-Verlag, Basel, 2009.

9. FEIREISL, E., PETZELTOVÁ, H.: *Large-time Behaviour of Solutions to the Navier-Stokes Equations of Compressible low* Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 150 (1999) 77–96

10. FEIREISL, E., PETZELTOVÁ, H.: *On the zero-velocity-limit solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations of compressible flow.* Manuscripta Math. 97 (1998), no. 1, 109–116.

11. FRIENDLANDER, S., LYASHENKO, A.: *Nonlinear instability of a precessing body with a cavity filled by an ideal fluid.* SIAM J. Math. Analysis 29, 600–618, (1998)

12. GALDI, G.: *On the motion of a rigid body in a viscous liquid: A mathematical analysis with applications,* Handbook of Mathematical Fluid Dynamics, Vol. 1, 653–791. Ed. by S. Friedlander, D. Serre, Elsevier 2002

13. Galdi, G.P.: *Stability of permanent rotations and long-time behavior of inertial motions of a rigid body with an interior liquid-filled cavity.* Particles in flows, 217–253, Adv. Math. Fluid Mech., Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2017

14. Galdi, G.P., Mazzone, G., and Zunino P.: *Inertial motions of a rigid body with a cavity filled with a viscous liquid*, Comptes Rendus Mécanique **341** (2013), 760–765

15. Galdi, G.P., Mazzone, G., and Mohebbi, M.: *On the motion of a liquid-filled rigid body subject to a time-periodic torque*, Recent developments of mathematical fluid mechanics, Adv. Math. Fluid Mech., Birkhäuser/Springer, Basel, 2016, pp. 233–255

16. Galdi, G.P., and Mazzone, G.: *On the motion of a pendulum with a cavity entirely filled with a viscous liquid*, Recent progress in the theory of the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 430, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2016, pp. 37–56

17. Galdi, G.P., and Mazzone, G.: *Stability and long–time behavior of a pendulum with an interior cavity filled with a viscous liquid*, Proceeding of the Workshop "Mathematical Analysis of Viscous Incompressible Fluid", November 14-16, 2016, Kyoto, RIMS Kokyuroku, in print.

18. Galdi, G.P., Mazzone, G., and Mohebbi, M.: *On the motion of a liquid-filled heavy body around a fixed point* Quart. Appl. Math. **76** (2018), no. 1, 113–145

19. Hale, J. K.: *Dynamical Systems and Stability* Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 26, (1969), 39–59

20. Hoff, D.: *Global existence for 1D, compressible, isentropic Navier-Stokes equations with large nitial data*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 303 (1987), no. 1, 169–181

21. Hoff, D., Santos, M., M.: *Lagrangean Structure and Propagation of Singularities in Multidimensional Compressible Flow* Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 188 (2008) 509–543

22. Kračmar, S., Nečasová, Š., Novotný, A.: *The motion of a compressible viscous fluid around rotating body* Ann. Univ. Ferrara Sez. VII Sci. Mat. 60 (2014), no. 1, 189–208

23. Kopachevsky, N. D., Krein, S. G., Ngo, Z. C. *Operator methods in hydrodynamics: evolution and spectral problems*, Moscow: Nauka, in Russian, (1989)

24. Lyashenko, A. A.: *Instability criterion for a rotating body with a cavity with an ideal fluid inside* Stability Appl. Analysis Cont. Media 2, 501–528, (1992)

25. Lyashenko, A. A.: *On the instability criterion for a rotating body with a cavity filled with viscous liquid.* Japan J. Ind. Appl. Math. 10, 451–469 (1993)

26. Lions, P.-L.: *Mathematical topics in fluid dynamics, Vol.2, Compressible models.*

27. Mácha, V., Nečasová, Š. *Self-propelled motion in a viscous compressible fluid –unbounded domains* Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci. **26** (2016), no. 4, 627–643

28. Mácha, V., Nečasová, Š. *Self-propelled motion in a viscous compressible fluid.* Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **146** (2016), no. 2, 415–433

29. Matsumura, A., Nishida, T.: *The Initial Value Problem for the Equations of Motion of Viscous and Heat-conductive Gases* J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 20 (1980), 67–104

30. Matsumura, A., Nishida, T.: *The Initial Boundary Value Problem for the Equations of Motion of Compressible Viscous and Heat-conductive Fluid* Comm. Math. Phys. 89 (1983) no. 4, 445–464

31. Mazzone, G., Prüss, J., and Simonett, G.: *A maximal regularity approach to the study of motion of a rigid body with a fluid-filled cavity*, arXiv:1804.05403 (2018)

32. Moiseyev, N.N., and Rumyantsev, V.V.: *Dynamic stability of bodies containing fluid*, Springer, New York, (1968)

33. Nečasová, Š., Takahashi, T., Tucsnak, M.: *Weak solutions for the motion of a self-propelled deformable structure in a viscous incompressible fluid.* Acta Appl Math 116 (2011), no 3, 329–352 Oxford Science Publication, Oxford, 1998.

34. Novotný, A., Straškraba, I.: *Introduction to the Mathematical Theory of Compressible Flow* Oxford University Press, 2004

35. Poincare, H.: *Sur l'équilibre d'une masse fluide animée d'un mouvement de rotation.* Acta Math., **7** (1885), 259–380.

36. Roubíček, T.: *Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations with Applications* Birkhäuser, 2005

37. Scheck, F.: *Mechanics.* From Newton's Laws to Deterministic Chaos., Springer-Verlag (2010)

38. Silvestre, A., L., Takahashi, T.: *On the Motion of Rigid Body with a Cavity Filled with a Viscous Liquid* Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh, 142 A,391-423, 2013

39. Sobolev, V.V.: *On a new problem of mathematical physics* (Russian), Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR. Ser. Mat. **18** (1954), 3–50

40. Stokes, G.G. : *Mathematical and physical papers.* Volume 1, Cambridge Library Collection, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009. Reprint of the 1880 original

41. VALLI, A.: *Periodic and Stationary Solutions for Compressible Navier-Stokes Equations Via a Stability Method*, Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di Scienze 4e série, tome 10, n 4 (1983), 607 – 647

42. ZHOUKOVSKI, N.Y.: *On the motion of a rigid body having cavities filled with a homogeneous liquid drop*, Russian Journal of Physical and Chemical Society 17 (1885), 31–152

galdi@pitt.edu
Department of Mechanical Engineering
and Materials Science
University of Pittsburgh

and

macha@math.cas.cz, matus@math.cas.cz
Institute of Mathematics
Czech Academy of Sciences