

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS

Separable quotients for less-than-barrelled function spaces

Jerzy Kąkol Stephen A. Saxon

Preprint No. 102-2017
PRAHA 2017

Separable quotients for less-than-barrelled function spaces

Jerzy Kakol and Stephen A. Saxon

In memory of Professor Joe Diestel

ABSTRACT. We solve the separable quotient problem for spaces $C_p(X)$ and $C_c(X)$ that are dual locally complete (dlc), greatly extending the positive solution for barrelled $C_c(X)$. Indeed, ours is either the best or next-to-best weak barrelledness extension possible. Yet some interesting non-dlc spaces $C_p(X)$ and $C_c(X)$ also admit separable quotients.

1. What is best?

In the following, all locally convex topological vector spaces (lcs) and quotients thereof are assumed to be Hausdorff and infinite-dimensional. If X is an infinite Tychonoff (completely regular Hausdorff) space, C(X) denotes the linear space of continuous real-valued functions on X, and $C_p(X)$ and $C_c(X)$ are the lcs that C(X) becomes when endowed with the pointwise and compact-open topologies, respectively.

The separable quotient problem has many forms. There are solutions for dual Banach spaces, non-Banach Fréchet spaces, (LF)-spaces, duals of $C_c(X)$ and $C_p(X)$ les in general, topological vector spaces, even certain topological groups [1, 4, 5, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 16, 17, 19, 20, 21, 24]. Complete solutions are unknown for $C_c(X)$, $C_p(X)$, and Banach spaces. Rosenthal [18] showed $C_c(X)$ has a separable quotient if it is a Banach space. One extension [10, Theorem 3.1] says every barrelled $C_c(X)$ admits a separable quotient.

The *optimal problem* (of many forms/solutions [23]) seeks the best extension possible *vis-a-vis* modern weak barrelledness: Which of the sixteen barrelled-type hypotheses displayed in the weak barrelledness table [23, Fig. 1.3] is the best (weakest, most general, optimal) among those that suffice?

Here is the table's bottom row:

non- $S_{\sigma} \Rightarrow \text{inductive} \Rightarrow \varphi\text{-complemental} \Rightarrow \text{primitive}.$

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 46A08, 46A30, 54C35.

Key words and phrases separable quotients, C(X), weak barrelledness, dual locally complete.

Thanks for the referee's inspiring clarity.

Kąkol supported by Generalitat Valenciana, Conselleria d'Educació, Cultura i Esport, Spain, Grant PROMETEO/2013/058, and by GAR project I 2374-N35 and RVO: 67985840.

To simplify the search for optimality, note that all $C_c(X)$ spaces and $C_p(X)$ spaces are non- $S_{\sigma}[14]$, hence enjoy all four bottom-row properties [8, Theorem 4].

Primitive is the weakest of all sixteen properties, and Baire-like (BL) the strongest. Of the twelve entries above the bottom row, dual locally complete (dlc) [22] is the weakest. Always,

$$BL \Rightarrow barrelled \Rightarrow dlc \Rightarrow primitive.$$

We begin by observing

Theorem 1. Every barrelled $C_p(X)$ admits a separable quotient.

PROOF. Since X is infinite (by assumption) and $C_p(X)$ is barrelled, X is not pseudocompact [3, (1.8)]. Equivalently [9, Theorem 3.1], $C_c(X)$ contains a copy of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$, as does $C_p(X)$, by minimality. Hence $C_p(X)$ contains a complemented copy of the separable $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ [15, 2.6.5(iii)].

For $C_p(X)$ spaces, we know BL \Leftrightarrow barrelled \Leftrightarrow dlc [8, Theorem 10].

Corollary 1. Every dlc $C_p(X)$ admits a separable quotient.

Since every $C_p(X)$ enjoys the bottom-row properties and dlc is the weakest of the remaining twelve, the dlc hypothesis on $C_p(X)$ is not optimal only if every arbitrary $C_p(X)$ has a separable quotient; *i.e.*, the only possible way to extend Corollary 1 in the context of [23, Fig. 1.3] would be to solve positively the separable quotient problem for arbitrary $C_p(X)$.

What about $C_c(X)$? Within the class of arbitrary $C_c(X)$ spaces, the sixteen tabular notions coalesce into five equivalence classes represented by Baire-like, barrelled, \aleph_0 -barrelled, dlc, and primitive [8, Theorem 11]. As noted, every barrelled $C_c(X)$ admits a separable quotient [10, Theorem 3.1]. Again, primitive is clearly the optimal (tacit) hypothesis if and only if every arbitrary $C_c(X)$ admits a separable quotient. We shortly prove dlc suffices. Thus dlc is optimal, yet again, if primitive is not.

Theorem 2. Every dlc $C_c(X)$ admits a separable quotient.

PROOF. (i) If X admits an infinite compact subset Y, then the Banach space $C_c(Y)$ is a quotient of $C_c(X)$ [7, Lemma 15] which, itself, has a separable quotient [18], and quotient-taking is transitive.

(ii) When case (i) fails,
$$C_c(X) = C_p(X)$$
 and Corollary 1 applies.

2. Examples

Consequently, whether dlc is the optimal hypothesis for $C_p(X)$ and/or $C_c(X)$ cannot be determined short of a complete solution to the corresponding general separable quotient problem. On the other hand, we can determine that, in both cases, the sufficient dlc is not always necessary.

Let X_1 and X_2 be disjoint Tychonoff spaces such that both $C_p(X_1)$ and $C_c(X_1)$ are not dlc [8, Example 1] and both $C_p(X_2)$ and $C_c(X_2)$ admit separable quotients (e.g., X_2 could be any P-space or any non-pseudocompact space [7, Corollary 19, Theorem 14]). Give $X = X_1 \bigcup X_2$ the topology that induces the original topology on X_i , with each X_i open (and thus also closed) in X (i = 1, 2). If $X_i^{\perp} = \{f \in C(X) : f(X_i) = \{0\}\}$, one easily checks to see that for i = 1, 2, the quotients $C_p(X)/X_i^{\perp}$ and $C_c(X)/X_i^{\perp}$ are isomorphic to $C_p(X_{3-i})$ and $C_c(X_{3-i})$, respectively.

EXAMPLE 1. (Notation as above.) Since their quotients $C_p(X_2)$ and $C_c(X_2)$ admit separable quotients, so do $C_p(X)$ and $C_c(X)$. Yet $C_p(X)$ and $C_c(X)$ are not dlc, since their quotients $C_p(X_1)$ and $C_c(X_1)$ are not dlc [23, Theorem 4.3].

One could also let X_2 be the Stone-Čech compactification $\beta\mathbb{N}$ of the natural numbers \mathbb{N} , by [18] and [12]. In fact, the latter affords a direct choice of X, without the intermediate X_i , as follows.

Haydon's third example [6] is a topological subspace of $\beta\mathbb{N}$ we denote by \mathbb{H} , consisting of \mathbb{N} and one arbitrarily chosen cluster point of S in $\beta\mathbb{N}$ for each infinite subset S of \mathbb{N} . We noted that $C_p(\mathbb{H}) = C_c(\mathbb{H})$ is not dlc [8, Example 1].

Now \mathbb{H} contains \mathbb{N} and is pseudocompact [6]. Hence each $g \in C(\mathbb{H})$ is bounded on \mathbb{N} and has a unique extension $\overline{g} \in C(\beta\mathbb{N})$, so the restriction map $f \mapsto f|_{\mathbb{H}}$ $(f \in C(\beta\mathbb{N}))$ is a continuous [2, 0.4.1] linear bijection from $C_p(\beta\mathbb{N})$ onto $C_p(\mathbb{H})$. Consequently,

(a) If F is a dense linear subspace of $C_p(\beta \mathbb{N})$, then $F|_{\mathbb{H}} = \{f|_{\mathbb{H}} : f \in F\}$ is dense in $C_p(\mathbb{H})$.

If ϕ is in the dual $C_p(\beta \mathbb{N})'$, define $\phi^* \in C_p(\mathbb{H})'$ by writing $\langle g, \phi^* \rangle = \langle \overline{g}, \phi \rangle$ for each $g \in C(\mathbb{H})$.

(b) The map $\phi \mapsto \phi^*$ is linear (routinely), and injective from $C_p(\beta \mathbb{N})'$ into $C_p(\mathbb{H})'$.

Indeed, if $\langle g, \phi^* \rangle = \langle \overline{g}, \phi \rangle = 0$ for all $g \in C(\mathbb{H})$, then $\langle f, \phi \rangle = 0$ for all $f \in C(\beta \mathbb{N})$; *i.e.*, ϕ^* is the zero vector in $C_p(\mathbb{H})'$ only if ϕ is the zero vector in $C_p(\beta \mathbb{N})'$.

Kakol and Śliwa [12, Theorem 4] proved $C_p(\beta\mathbb{N})$ has a separable quotient. Equivalently, there is a linearly independent sequence $\{\phi_n\}_n \subset C_p(\beta\mathbb{N})'$ such that the eventually zero linear subspace

ez
$$\{\phi_n\}_n = \{f \in C(\beta\mathbb{N}) : \langle f, \phi_n \rangle = 0 \text{ for all but finitely many } n\}$$

is dense in $C_p(\beta \mathbb{N})$. By (a) and (b), $(\operatorname{ez} \{\phi_n\}_n)|_{\mathbb{H}} = \operatorname{ez} \{\phi_n^*\}_n$ is dense in $C_p(\mathbb{H})$ and $\{\phi_n^*\}_n$ is linearly independent in $C_p(\mathbb{H})'$. Therefore

Example 2. Haydon's space $C_p(\mathbb{H}) = C_c(\mathbb{H})$ admits a separable quotient, yet is not dlc.

References

- S. A. Argyros, P. Dodos and V. Kanellopoulos, Unconditional families in Banach spaces, Math. Ann. 341(2008), 15-38.
- [2] A. V. Arkhangel'skii, Topological function spaces, Math. and its Appl., Kluwer, 1992.
- [3] H. Buchwalter and J. Schmets, Sur quelques propriétés de l'espace $C_s(T)$, J. Math. Pures Appl. **52**(1973), 337-352.
- [4] M. Eidelheit, Zur Theorie der Systeme Linearer Gleichungen, Studia Math. 6(1936), 130-148.
- [5] J. C. Ferrando, Jerzy Kąkol and Stephen A. Saxon, The dual of the locally convex space $C_p(X)$, Functiones et Approximatio **50**(2), 1-11 (2014). doi: 10.7169/facm/2014.50.2.
- [6] R. Haydon, Three examples in the theory of spaces of continuous functions, C. R. Acad. Sci. Sér. A 276(1973), 685-687.
- [7] Jerzy Kakol and Stephen A. Saxon, Separable quotients in C_c(X), C_p(X), and their duals, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 145(2017), 3829-3841.
- [8] Jerzy Kakol, Stephen A. Saxon and Aaron R. Todd, Weak barrelledness for C(X) spaces, J. Math. Anal Appl. 297(2004), 495-505.
- [9] Jerzy Kąkol, Stephen A. Saxon and Aaron R. Todd, Pseudocompact spaces X and df-spaces C_c(X), Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 132(2004), 1703-1712.

- [10] Jerzy Kakol, Stephen A. Saxon and Aaron R. Todd, Barrelled spaces with(out) separable quotients, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 90(2014), 295-303. doi: 10.1017/S0004972714000422.
- [11] J. Kakol and W. Śliwa, Remarks concerning the separable quotient problem, Note Mat. 13(1993), 277-282.
- [12] J. Kąkol and W. Śliwa, Efimov spaces and the separable quotient problem for spaces $C_p(K)$, J. Math. Anal. App., to appear.
- [13] Arkady G. Leiderman, Sidney A. Morris, Mikhail G. Tkachenko, The separable quotient problem for topological groups, preprint.
- [14] W. Lehner, Über die Bedeutung gewisser Varianten des Baire'schen Kategorienbegriffs für die Funktionenräume $C_c(T)$, Dissertation, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, München, 1979.
- [15] P. Pérez Carreras and J. Bonet, Barrelled Locally Convex Spaces, Math. Studies 131, North Holland, 1987.
- [16] M. M. Popov, Codimension of subspaces of $L_p(\mu)$ for p < 1 (Russian), Funktsional Anal. i Prilozhen **18**(1984), no. 2, 94-95. MR880207
- [17] W. J. Robertson, On properly separable quotients of strict (LF) spaces, J. Austral. Math. Soc. 47(1989), 307-312.
- [18] H. P. Rosenthal, Quasicomplemented subspaces of Banach spaces, with an appendix on compactness of operators from ℓ_p (μ) to ℓ_r (ν), J. Funct. Anal. 4(1969), 176-214.
- [19] S. A. Saxon, Every countable-codimensional subspace of an infinite-dimensional [non-normable] Fréchet space has an infinite-dimensional Fréchet quotient [isomorphic to ω], Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. 39(1991),161-166.
- [20] S. A. Saxon, (LF)-spaces with more-than-separable quotients, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 434(2017), 12-19. doi: 10.1016/j.jmaa.2015.09.005
- [21] S. A. Saxon and P. P. Narayanaswami, Metrizable (LF)-spaces, (db)-spaces and the separable quotient problem, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 23(1981), 65-80.
- [22] S. A. Saxon and L. M. Sánchez Ruiz, Dual local completeness, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 125(1997), 1063-1070.
- [23] S. A. Saxon and L. M. Sánchez Ruiz, Reinventing weak barrelledness, Journal of Convex Analysis 24(2017), no. 3.
- [24] S. A. Saxon and A. Wilansky, The equivalence of some Banach space problems, Colloquium Math. XXXVII (1977), 217-226.

Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, A. Mickiewicz University, 60-769 Poznań, Matejki 48-49, Poland; Instutute of Mathematics, Czech Academy of Sciences, Prague, Czech Republic, Zitna 25

E-mail address: kakol@math.amu.edu.pl

Department of Mathematics, University of Florida, PO Box 118105, Gainesville, FL 32611-8105, U.S.A.

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: stephen_saxon@yahoo.com}$