MEAN-VALUE THEOREM FOR VECTOR-VALUED FUNCTIONS

JANUSZ MATKOWSKI, Zielona Góra

(Received February 15, 2011)

Abstract. For a differentiable function $\mathbf{f} \colon I \to \mathbb{R}^k$, where I is a real interval and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, a counterpart of the Lagrange mean-value theorem is presented. Necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a mean $M \colon I^2 \to I$ such that

$$\mathbf{f}(x) - \mathbf{f}(y) = (x - y)\mathbf{f}'(M(x, y)), \quad x, y \in I,$$

are given.

Similar considerations for a theorem accompanying the Lagrange mean-value theorem are presented.

 $\mathit{Keywords}:$ Lagrange mean-value theorem, mean, Darboux property of derivative, vector-valued function

MSC 2010: 26A24, 26E60

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval. Recall that a function $M: I^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be a *mean* in I if, for all $x, y \in I$,

$$\min(x, y) \leqslant M(x, y) \leqslant \max(x, y).$$

A mean M in I is called *strict* if these inequalities are sharp whenever $x \neq y$, and symmetric if M(x, y) = M(y, x) for all $x, y \in I$.

If M is a mean in I then, obviously, $M(J^2) = J$ for any subinterval $J \subset I$; in particular M is reflexive, i.e.

$$M(x,x) = x, \quad x \in I.$$

Note also that if a function $M: I^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ is reflexive and (strictly) increasing with respect to each variable, then it is a (strict) mean I. In the sequel such a mean is called (*strictly*) increasing.

According to the Lagrange mean value theorem, if $f: I \to \mathbb{R}$ is a differentiable function, then there exists a strict mean $M: I^2 \to I$ such that

$$f(x) - f(y) = f'(M(x, y))(x - y), \quad x, y \in I.$$

Moreover, if f' is one-to-one, then $M = M_f$ is unique, continuous, symmetric, strictly increasing, and

$$M_f(x,y) = (f')^{-1} \left(\frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y} \right), \quad x, y \in I, \ x \neq y.$$

This result can be extended to functions $f: I \to \mathbb{R}^k$ as follows.

Theorem 1. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\mathbf{f} \colon I \to \mathbb{R}^k$, $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, \ldots, f_k)$ is differentiable in an interval I, then there exists a vector of strict means $\mathbf{M} = (M_1, \ldots, M_k) \colon I \times I \to I^k$ such that

(1.1)
$$\mathbf{f}(x) - \mathbf{f}(y) = (x - y)(f_1'(M_1(x, y)), \dots, f_k'(M_k(x, y))), \quad x, y \in I.$$

Moreover, if f'_1, \ldots, f'_k are one-to-one, then $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{f}}$ is unique, continuous, symmetric, the mean $M_i = M_{f_i}$ is strictly increasing and

$$M_{f_i}(x,y) = (f'_i)^{-1} \left(\frac{f_i(x) - f_i(y)}{x - y} \right), \quad x, y \in I, \ x \neq y; \ i = 1, \dots, k.$$

Proof. It is enough to apply the Lagrange mean-value theorem to each of the coordinate functions. $\hfill \Box$

This leads to a natural question when there is a unique mean M such that $M_1 = \ldots = M_k = M$; in particular, when formula 1.1 can be written in the form

$$\mathbf{f}(x) - \mathbf{f}(y) = (x - y)\mathbf{f}'(M(x, y)), \quad x, y \in I?$$

The answer is given by the following

Theorem 2. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \ge 2$, be fixed. Suppose that $\mathbf{f} \colon I \to \mathbb{R}^k$, $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, \ldots, f_k)$ is differentiable in an interval I and such that f'_1, \ldots, f'_k are one-to-one. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) there is a unique mean M in I such that

$$\mathbf{f}(x) - \mathbf{f}(y) = (x - y)\mathbf{f}'(M(x, y)), \quad x, y \in I;$$

(ii) there are $a_i, b_i, c_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $a_i \neq 0$, for i = 1, ..., k, and a function $f: I \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$f_i(x) = a_i f(x) + b_i x + c_i, \quad x \in I.$$

Proof. Since f'_1, \ldots, f'_k are one-to-one, each of these derivatives is strictly monotonic and continuous. To show this assume, to the contrary, that f'_i is not strictly monotonic. Then there are $x, y, z \in I$, x < y < z such that either

$$f'_i(x) < f'_i(y) \text{ and } f'_i(y) > f'_i(z)$$

or

$$f'_i(x) > f'_i(y)$$
 and $f'_i(y) < f'_i(z)$.

By the Darboux property of the derivative, in each of these two cases we would find $u \in [x, y]$ and $v \in [y, z]$ such that $f'_i(u) = f'_i(v)$. This is a contradiction, as f'_i is one-to-one. Now, the monotonicity of f'_i and the Darboux property imply the continuity of f'_i .

In particular, we have shown that each of the functions f_i is either strictly convex or strictly concave in the interval I.

Assume that condition (i) is satisfied. Then, by Theorem 1, $M = M_{f_i}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k$, whence

$$(f'_i)^{-1}\left(\frac{f_i(x) - f_i(y)}{x - y}\right) = (f'_1)^{-1}\left(\frac{f_1(x) - f_1(y)}{x - y}\right), \quad x, y \in I, \ x \neq y,$$

for all $i = 2, \ldots, k$. Setting

$$f := f_1, \quad \varphi_i := f'_i \circ (f'_1)^{-1},$$

we hence get

$$\frac{f_i(x) - f_i(y)}{x - y} = \varphi_i \Big(\frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y} \Big), \quad x, y \in I, \ x \neq y; \ i \in \{2, \dots, k\}.$$

Since $f = f_1$ is strictly convex or strictly concave, it follows that (cf. [2], Theorem 1) there exist $a_i, b_i, c_i \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$f_i(x) = a_i f(x) + b_i x + c_i, \quad x \in I; \ i = 2, \dots, k.$$

The strict convexity or strict concavity of f_i implies that $a_i \neq 0$ for i = 2, ..., k, which completes the proof of the implication (i) \Longrightarrow (ii).

Since the converse implication is easy to verify, the proof is complete.

R e m a r k. At the beginning of the proof of Theorem 2 we have observed that all the derivatives f'_1, \ldots, f'_k are continuous and strictly monotonic. Therefore, in the proof of the implication (i) \implies (ii) one could apply the following

Lemma 1. Let $f, g: I \to \mathbb{R}$ be differentiable and such that f' and g' are continuous and strictly monotonic. Then $M_g = M_f$ if, and only if, there are $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$, $a \neq 0$, such that

$$h(x) = af(x) + bx + c, \quad x \in I.$$

This lemma is a consequence of a result due to Berrone and Moro (cf. Corollary 7 in [1]).

2. The counterparts of Theorems 1 and 2

In [3] the following counterpart of the Lagrange mean-value theorem has been proved. If a real function f defined on an interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable, and f' is one-to-one, then there exists a unique mean function $M: f'(I) \times f'(I) \to f'(I)$ such that

$$\frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y} = M(f'(x), f'(y)), \quad x, y \in I, \ x \neq y.$$

Obviously, this result can also be extended to functions $f: I \to \mathbb{R}^k$. We have the following

Theorem 3. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\mathbf{f}: I \to \mathbb{R}^k$, $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, \ldots, f_k)$ is differentiable in an interval I and f'_1, \ldots, f'_k are one-to-one, then there exists a unique vector of means $\mathbf{M} = (M_1, \ldots, M_k), M_i: f'_i(I) \times f'_i(I) \to f'_i(I), i = 1, \ldots, k$, such that

$$\mathbf{f}(x) - \mathbf{f}(y) = (x - y)(M_1(f_1'(x), f_1'(y)), \dots, M_k(f_k'(x), f_k'(y))), \quad x, y \in I.$$

Moreover, $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{f}}$ is continuous for each i = 1, ..., k, the mean $M_i = M_{f_i}$ is symmetric, strictly increasing, and

$$M_i(u,v) = \frac{f_i((f'_i)^{-1}(u)) - f_i((f'_i)^{-1}(v))}{(f'_i)^{-1}(u) - (f'_i)^{-1}(v)}, \quad u,v \in f'_i(I), \ u \neq v.$$

To answer the question when the means M_i , $i = 1, \ldots, k$, are equal, we need

Lemma 2. Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval and let $F, g, h: I \to \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that h and, for any $y \in I$, the function

$$(I \setminus \{y\}) \ni x \mapsto \frac{g(x) - g(y)}{x - y}$$

are one-to-one. If

(2.1)
$$\frac{F(x) - F(y)}{h(x) - h(y)} = \frac{g(x) - g(y)}{x - y}, \quad x, y \in I, \ x \neq y,$$

then there are $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$, $a \neq 0$ such that

$$h(x) = ax + b, \quad F(x) = ag(x) + c, \quad x \in I.$$

Proof. Without any loss of generality we can assume that $0 \in I$ and that g(0) = h(0) = 0. From 2.1 we have

$$F(x) - F(y) = \frac{g(x) - g(y)}{x - y} [h(x) - h(y)], \quad x, y \in I, \ x \neq y.$$

Since F(x) - F(y) = [F(x) - F(z)] + [F(z) - F(y)], we get

$$\frac{g(x) - g(y)}{x - y}[h(x) - h(y)] = \frac{g(x) - g(z)}{x - z}[h(x) - h(z)] + \frac{g(z) - g(y)}{z - y}[h(z) - h(y)]$$

for all $x, y, z \in I, x \neq y \neq z \neq x$, whence, after simple calculations,

$$g(x)K(x,y,z) = L(x,y,z), \quad x,y,z \in I, \ x \neq y \neq z \neq x,$$

where

$$K(x, y, z) := h(x)(y - z) + h(z)(x - y) + [h(y)z - h(z)y]$$

and

$$\begin{split} L(x,y,z) &:= xh(x)[g(y) - g(z)] + h(x)[g(z)y - g(y)z] + x[g(z)h(z) - g(y)h(y)] \\ &+ \frac{g(z) - g(y)}{z - y}[h(z) - h(y)](x - y)(x - z) + [g(y)h(y)z - g(z)h(z)y]. \end{split}$$

Setting in this equality x = 0 we obtain

$$\frac{g(z) - g(y)}{z - y} [h(z) - h(y)]yz + [g(y)h(y)z - g(z)h(z)y] = 0, \quad y, z \in I, \ y \neq z,$$

whence, after simple calculations,

$$\left(\frac{g(y)}{y} - \frac{g(z)}{z}\right)\left(\frac{h(y)}{y} - \frac{h(z)}{z}\right) = 0, \quad y, z \in I \setminus \{0\}, \ y \neq z.$$

This equality and the injectivity assumption of the function

$$I \setminus \{0\} \ni \frac{g(x)}{x} \to \mathbb{R}$$

imply that there is $a \in \mathbb{R}$ such that h(x)/x = a for all $x \in I \setminus \{0\}$. As h(0) = 0, we get h(x) = ax for all $x \in I$. Since the remaining results are obvious, the proof is complete.

Using the idea of the proof of this lemma we prove

R e m a r k 1. Let $I\subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval. Suppose that the functions $F,g,h\colon\, I\to \mathbb{R}$ are one-to-one. Then

(2.2)
$$\frac{F(x) - F(y)}{x - y} = g(x) + h(y), \quad x, y \in I, \ x \neq y,$$

if, and only if, there are $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$F(x) = ax^2 + 2bx + d$$
, $g(x) = ax + b - c$, $h(x) = ax + b + c$, $x \in I$.

Proof. Suppose that the functions $F, g, h: I \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfy equation 2.2. Interchanging x and y in 2.2 we conclude that g(x) + h(y) = g(y) + h(x), i.e.

$$h(x) - g(x) = h(y) - g(y), \quad x \in I,$$

whence, for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$,

(2.3)
$$h(x) = g(x) + 2c, \quad x \in I.$$

Hence, setting

$$(2.4) G(x) := g(x) + c, \quad x \in I,$$

we can write equation 2.2 in the form

$$\frac{F(x) - F(y)}{x - y} = G(x) + G(y), \quad x, y \in I, \ x \neq y,$$

or, equivalently,

$$F(x) - F(y) = [G(x) + G(y)](x - y), \quad x, y \in I, \ x \neq y.$$

Since F(x) - F(y) = [F(x) - F(z)] + [F(z) - F(y)], we get

$$[G(x) + G(y)](x - y) = [G(x) + G(z)](x - z) + [G(z) + G(y)](z - y)$$

for all $x, y, z \in I$, $x \neq y \neq z \neq x$. Taking here z := (1 - t)x + ty, after a simplification we obtain

$$G((1-t)x+ty) = tG(x) + (1-t)G(y), \quad x,y \in I, \ x \neq y, \ t \in (0,1),$$

that is, G is an affine function. Consequently, there are $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, such that G(x) = ax + b for all $x \in I$. From 2.4 and 2.3 we get

$$g(x) = ax + b - c, \quad h(x) = ax + b + c, \quad x \in I.$$

Substituting these functions into 2.2 we get

$$\frac{F(x) - F(y)}{x - y} = a(x + y) + 2b, \quad x, y \in I, \ x \neq y,$$

whence

$$F(x)-ax^2-2bx=F(y)-ay^2-2by,\quad x,y\in I,\ x\neq y.$$

It follows that, for some $d \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$F(x) = ax^2 + 2bx + d, \quad x \in I.$$

Since the converse implication is obvious, the proof is complete.

Theorem 4. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \ge 2$, be fixed. Suppose that $\mathbf{f} \colon I \to \mathbb{R}^k$, $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, \ldots, f_k)$ is differentiable in an interval I and f'_1, \ldots, f'_k are one-to-one. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) there is a unique mean M such that

$$\mathbf{f}(x) - \mathbf{f}(y) = (x - y)(M(f_1'(x), f_1'(y)), \dots, M(f_k'(x), f_k'(y))), \quad x, y \in I;$$

(ii) there are $c_1, \ldots, c_k \in \mathbb{R}$, and a differentiable function $g: I \to \mathbb{R}$ with one-to-one derivative such that

$$f_i(x) = g(x) + c_i, \quad x \in I, \ i = 1, \dots, k,$$

421

and

$$M(u,v) = \frac{g((g')^{-1}(u)) - g((g')^{-1}(v))}{(g')^{-1}(u) - (g')^{-1}(v)}, \quad u,v \in g'(I), \ u \neq v.$$

Proof. Assume (i). Then

$$\frac{f_i(x) - f_i(y)}{x - y} = M(f'_i(x), f'_i(y)), \quad x, y \in I, \ x \neq y, \ i = 1, \dots, k_y$$

whence, for each $i = 1, \ldots, k$,

$$M(u,v) = \frac{f_i((f'_i)^{-1}(u)) - f_i((f'_i)^{-1}(v))}{(f'_i)^{-1}(u) - (f'_i)^{-1}(v)}, \quad u,v \in f'_i(I), \ u \neq v.$$

Taking $g := f_1$ we get, for each $i = 1, \ldots, k$,

$$\frac{f_i((f'_i)^{-1}(u)) - f_i((f'_i)^{-1}(v))}{(f'_i)^{-1}(u) - (f'_i)^{-1}(v)} = \frac{g((g')^{-1}(u)) - g((g')^{-1}(v))}{(g')^{-1}(u) - (g')^{-1}(v)}, \quad u, v \in f'_i(I), \ u \neq v.$$

Let us fix arbitrary $i \in \{2, 3, \ldots, k\}$ and put

$$h_i := (f'_i)^{-1} \circ g', \qquad F_i := f_i \circ (f'_i)^{-1} \circ g'.$$

Hence, taking arbitrary $x, y \in I$, $x \neq y$, and setting u := g'(x), v := g'(y) in the above equality, we obtain

$$\frac{F_i(x) - F_i(y)}{h_i(x) - h_i(y)} = \frac{g(x) - g(y)}{x - y}, \quad x, y \in I, \ x \neq y.$$

By Lemma 2, there are $a_i, b_i, c_i \in \mathbb{R}, a_i \neq 0$ such that

(2.5)
$$h_i(x) = a_i x + b_i, \quad F_i(x) = a_i g(x) + c_i, \quad x \in I.$$

By the definition of h_i , we get

$$f'_i(a_i x + b_i) = g'(x), \quad x \in I, \ i = 1, \dots, k.$$

Since the domains of all functions f_i are the same, it follows that $a_i = 1$, $b_i = 0$, and $f'_i = g'$ for each i = 2, ..., k. Now from the latter of formulas 2.5, we obtain $f_i = g + c_i$ for each i = 2, ..., k, which completes the proof of the implication (i) \Longrightarrow (ii). Since the converse implication is obvious, the proof is complete. \Box A c k n o w l e d g e m e n t. The author thanks the anonymous referee for his valuable comments.

References

- [1] L. R. Berrone, J. Moro: Lagrangian means. Aequationes Math. 55 (1998), 217–226. zbl
- [2] J. Matkowski: Mean value property and associated functional equation. Aequationes Math. 58 (1999), 46–59.
- [3] J. Matkowski: A mean-value theorem and its applications. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 373 (2011), 227–234. zbl

Author's address: Janusz Matkowski, Faculty of Mathematics Computer Science and Econometric, University of Zielona Góra, ul. Szafrana 4a, PL-65-516 Zielona Góra, Poland, e-mail: J.Matkowski@wmie.uz.zgora.pl.