Lower bounds on eigenvalues of symmetric elliptic operators

Tomáš Vejchodský (vejchod@math.cas.cz)

Institute of Mathematics Czech Academy of Sciences



Joint work with I. Šebestová (University of Concepción, Chile)

Supported by Neuron Fund for Support of Science, project no. 24/2016

MFF 2017, Praha, April 6, 2017

Outline



Model problem

$$-\Delta u_i = \lambda_i u_i \quad \text{in } \Omega$$
$$u_i = 0 \qquad \text{on } \partial \Omega$$

Lower bounds on eigenvalues:

$$? \leq \lambda_i \leq \Lambda_{h,i}$$

Motivation

- Classical Weinstein's lower bound
- Method 1: Weinstein's bound in weak setting
- Method 2: Kato's bound in weak setting
- Method 3: Lehmann–Goerisch method
- Method 4: Crouzeix–Raviart elements based lower bounds
- Numerical comparison



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Solve problems

- reliably with guaranteed accuracy
- efficiently as fast as possible



 $\begin{aligned} -\Delta u_i &= \lambda_i u_i \quad \text{in } \Omega \\ u_i &= 0 \qquad \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{aligned}$

[Trefethen, Betcke 2006]

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ □臣 = のへで



















$\lambda_1 \approx 2.02280$	$\lambda_2 \approx 2.02481$
$\lambda_1pprox 1.97588$	$\lambda_2pprox 1.97967$













 $\begin{array}{ll} \lambda_1 \approx 2.02280 & \lambda_2 \approx 2.02481 \\ \lambda_1 \approx 1.97588 & \lambda_2 \approx 1.97967 \\ \lambda_1 \approx 1.96196 & \lambda_2 \approx 1.96644 \end{array}$





・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本・日本



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ―臣 … のへで







 $\begin{array}{ll} \lambda_1 \approx 1.95777 & \lambda_2 \approx 1.96251 \\ \lambda_1 \approx 1.95646 & \lambda_2 \approx 1.96129 \end{array}$









 $1.91067 \leq \lambda_1 \leq 2.02280 \quad 1.91981 \leq \lambda_2 \leq 2.02481$











 $\begin{array}{ll} 1.91067 \leq \lambda_1 \leq 2.02280 & 1.91981 \leq \lambda_2 \leq 2.02481 \\ 1.94317 \leq \lambda_1 \leq 1.97588 & 1.94893 \leq \lambda_2 \leq 1.97967 \end{array}$













 $\begin{array}{l} 1.91981 \leq \lambda_2 \leq 2.02481 \\ 1.94893 \leq \lambda_2 \leq 1.97967 \\ 1.95694 \leq \lambda_2 \leq 1.96644 \end{array}$









 $\begin{array}{ll} 1.91067 \leq \lambda_1 \leq 2.02280 & 1.91981 \leq \lambda_2 \leq 2.02481 \\ 1.94317 \leq \lambda_1 \leq 1.97588 & 1.94893 \leq \lambda_2 \leq 1.97967 \\ 1.95174 \leq \lambda_1 \leq 1.96196 & 1.95694 \leq \lambda_2 \leq 1.96644 \\ 1.95443 \leq \lambda_1 \leq 1.95777 & 1.95944 \leq \lambda_2 \leq 1.96251 \end{array}$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○□ のへで





 $\begin{array}{ll} 1.91067 \leq \lambda_1 \leq 2.02280 & 1.91981 \leq \lambda_2 \leq 2.02481 \\ 1.94317 \leq \lambda_1 \leq 1.97588 & 1.94893 \leq \lambda_2 \leq 1.97967 \\ 1.95174 \leq \lambda_1 \leq 1.96196 & 1.95694 \leq \lambda_2 \leq 1.96644 \\ 1.95443 \leq \lambda_1 \leq 1.95777 & 1.95944 \leq \lambda_2 \leq 1.96251 \\ 1.95532 \leq \lambda_1 \leq 1.95646 & 1.96025 \leq \lambda_2 \leq 1.96129 \end{array}$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─臣 ─ のへで





◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Example: Two squares $\lambda_1 = 2$





















Example: Two squares $\lambda_1 = 2$



















・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・

-∢≣⇒

æ





Old problem:

Temple 1928, Weinstein 1937, Kato 1949, Lehmann 1949, 1950, ...

Many results: M.G. Armentano, G. Barrenechea, H. Behnke, C. Carstensen, R.G. Duran, D. Galistl, J. Gedicke, F. Goerisch, L. Grubišić, Jun Hu, J.R. Kuttler, Y.A. Kuznetsov, Fubiao Lin, Qun Lin, Xuefeng Liu, M. Plum, S.I. Repin, V.G. Sigillito, Hehu Xie, Yidu Yang, Zhimin Zhang, ... many others

Weinstein's bounds



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Eigenvalue problem: Find $u_i \in D(A) \setminus \{0\}$ and $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$:

 $Au_i = \lambda_i u_i$

Setting:

- V ... Hilbert space
- $A: D(A) \rightarrow V$ linear, symmetric operator
- $\{u_i\}$ form orthonormal basis in V
- $\bullet \ 0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3 \leq \cdots$

Weinstein's bounds



▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

Eigenvalue problem: Find $u_i \in D(A) \setminus \{0\}$ and $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$Au_i = \lambda_i u_i$$

Theorem 1 (Weinstein 1937):

▶ Let $u_* \in D(A) \setminus \{0\}$ and $\lambda_* \in \mathbb{R}$ be arbitrary.

► Let
$$\varepsilon = ||Au_* - \lambda_* u_*|| / ||u_*||.$$

► Let $\frac{\lambda_{n-1} + \lambda_n}{2} \le \lambda_* \le \frac{\lambda_n + \lambda_{n+1}}{2}$ for some *n*.
Then $\lambda_* - \varepsilon \le \lambda_n$.

Weinstein's bounds



Eigenvalue problem: Find $u_i \in D(A) \setminus \{0\}$ and $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$Au_i = \lambda_i u_i$$

Theorem 1 (Weinstein 1937):

▶ Let $u_* \in D(A) \setminus \{0\}$ and $\lambda_* \in \mathbb{R}$ be arbitrary.

► Let
$$\varepsilon = ||Au_* - \lambda_* u_*|| / ||u_*||$$
.
► Let $\frac{\lambda_{n-1} + \lambda_n}{2} \le \lambda_* \le \frac{\lambda_n + \lambda_{n+1}}{2}$ for some *n*.
Then $\lambda_* - \varepsilon \le \lambda_n$.

Proof: $||Au_* - \lambda_* u_*||^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle Au_* - \lambda_* u_*, u_j \rangle^2$ $= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j - \lambda_*|^2 \langle u_*, u_j \rangle^2 \ge \min_j |\lambda_j - \lambda_*|^2 ||u_*||^2$ Thus, $|\lambda_n - \lambda_*| = \min_j |\lambda_j - \lambda_*| \le \frac{||Au_* - \lambda_* u_*||}{||u_*||} = \varepsilon.$

・ロッ ・雪 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ 日 ・

Weak form



Eigenvalue problem: Find $u_i \in V \setminus \{0\}$ and $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$a(u_i, v) = \lambda_i b(u_i, v) \quad \forall v \in V.$$

Setting:

- V is a Hilbert space
- ▶ $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a symmetric, continuous, V-elliptic bilinear form
- $b(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a symmetric, continuous, positive semidefinite bilinear form
- $\{u_i\}$ form orthonormal basis in V, i.e. $b(u_i, u_j) = \delta_{ij}$
- $\bullet \ 0 < \lambda_1 \le \lambda_2 \le \lambda_3 \le \cdots$

Example:

- $a(u,v) = (\nabla u, \nabla v)$
- $\blacktriangleright b(u,v) = (u,v)$

Method 1: Weinstein's bound in the weak form

Theorem 2:

- ▶ Let $u_* \in V \setminus \{0\}$ and $\lambda_* \in \mathbb{R}$ be arbitrary.
- Let $w \in V$ be given by

$$a(w,v) = a(u_*,v) - \lambda_* b(u_*,v) \quad \forall v \in V.$$

Then

$$\ell_n^{\mathrm{W}} \leq \lambda_n, \quad ext{where } \ell_n^{\mathrm{W}} = rac{1}{4|u_*|_b^2} \left(-\eta + \sqrt{\eta^2 + 4\lambda_*|u_*|_b^2}
ight)^2.$$

[Vejchodský, Šebestová 2017]



Method 2: Kato's bound in the weak form Theorem 3:



$$\ell_n^{\mathrm{K}} \leq \lambda_n$$
, where $\ell_n^{\mathrm{K}} = \lambda_{*,n} \left(1 + \nu \lambda_{*,n} \sum_{i=n}^{s} \frac{\eta_i^2}{\lambda_{*,i}^2(\nu - \lambda_{*,i})} \right)^{-1}$.

[Vejchodský, Šebestová 2017]

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <



Complementary upper bound on the residual



Theorem 4:

- Let $V = H_0^1(\Omega)$, $a(u, v) = (\nabla u, \nabla v)$, and b(u, v) = (u, v).
- Let $u_* \in V$ and $\lambda_* \in \mathbb{R}$ be arbitrary.
- Let $w \in V$ satisfy

$$\mathsf{a}(\mathsf{w},\mathsf{v})=\mathsf{a}(u_*,\mathsf{v})-\lambda_*\mathsf{b}(u_*,\mathsf{v})\quad \forall\mathsf{v}\in\mathsf{V}.$$

• Let $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div}, \Omega)$ be such that $-\operatorname{div} \mathbf{q} = \lambda_* u_*$. Then

$$\|\nabla w\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \eta = \|\nabla u_* - \mathbf{q}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

[Synge 1957], [Haslinger, Hlaváček 1976], [Křížek, Hlaváček 1984], [Neittaanmäki, Repin 2004], [Braess 2007], ...

Flux reconstruction



- FEM eigenpairs: $\Lambda_{h,n} \in \mathbb{R}$, $u_{h,n} \in V_h$, $||u_{h,n}||_{L^2(\Omega)} = 1$, $n = r, \ldots, s$
- Flux reconstruction: $\mathbf{q}_{h,n} = \sum_{\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{N}_h} \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{z},n}$ [Braess, Schöberl 2006]
- ▶ Local mixed FEM: $\mathbf{q}_{z,n} \in W_z$, $d_{z,n} \in P_1^*(\mathcal{T}_z)$

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{q}_{z,n},\mathbf{w}_h)_{\omega_z} - (d_{z,n},\operatorname{div}\mathbf{w}_h)_{\omega_z} &= (\psi_z \nabla u_{h,n},\mathbf{w}_h)_{\omega_z} \quad \forall \mathbf{w}_h \in \mathbf{W}_z \\ - (\operatorname{div}\mathbf{q}_{z,n},\varphi_h)_{\omega_z} &= (\mathbf{r}_{z,n},\varphi_h)_{\omega_z} \quad \forall \varphi_h \in P_1^*(\mathcal{T}_z) \end{aligned}$$

where

ω_z is the patch of elements around vertex z ∈ N_h
T_z is the set of elements in ω_z
W_z = {w_h ∈ H(div, ω_z) : w_h|_K ∈ RT₁(K) ∀K ∈ T_z and w_h · n_{ω_z} = 0 on Γ^{ext}_{ω_z}}
P₁^{*}(T_z) = { {v_h ∈ P₁(T_z) : ∫_{ω_z} v_h dx = 0} for z ∈ N_h \ ∂Ω
r_{z,n} = Λ_{h,n}ψ_zu_{h,n} - ∇ψ_z · ∇u_{h,n}

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ ▲□ シタぐ

Flux reconstruction



- ► FEM eigenpairs: $\Lambda_{h,n} \in \mathbb{R}$, $u_{h,n} \in V_h$, $||u_{h,n}||_{L^2(\Omega)} = 1$, n = r, ..., s
- ► Flux reconstruction: $\mathbf{q}_{h,n} = \sum_{\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{N}_h} \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{z},n}$ [Braess, Schöberl 2006]
- ▶ Local mixed FEM: $\mathbf{q}_{z,n} \in W_z$, $d_{z,n} \in P_1^*(\mathcal{T}_z)$

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{q}_{z,n},\mathbf{w}_h)_{\omega_z} - (d_{z,n},\operatorname{div}\mathbf{w}_h)_{\omega_z} &= (\psi_z \nabla u_{h,n},\mathbf{w}_h)_{\omega_z} \quad \forall \mathbf{w}_h \in \mathbf{W}_z \\ - (\operatorname{div}\mathbf{q}_{z,n},\varphi_h)_{\omega_z} &= (r_{z,n},\varphi_h)_{\omega_z} \quad \forall \varphi_h \in P_1^*(\mathcal{T}_z) \end{aligned}$$

• Error estimator: $\eta_n = \|\nabla u_{h,n} - \mathbf{q}_{h,n}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$

How to get ν ?



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

$$-\Delta u_i = \lambda_i u_i$$
 in Ω
 $u_i = 0$ on $\partial \Omega$

Theorem: If $\Omega \subset \mathcal{L}$ then $\lambda_n^{(\mathcal{L})} \leq \lambda_n^{(\Omega)}$.

Proof:

$$\begin{array}{l} \bullet \ \ H_0^1(\Omega) \subset H_0^1(\mathcal{L}) \\ \bullet \ \ \lambda_1^{(\mathcal{L})} = \min_{v \in H_0^1(\mathcal{L})} \frac{(\nabla v, \nabla v)_{\mathcal{L}}}{(v, v)_{\mathcal{L}}} \leq \min_{v \in H_0^1(\Omega)} \frac{(\nabla v, \nabla v)_{\Omega}}{(v, v)_{\Omega}} = \lambda_1^{(\Omega)} \end{array}$$

• Use Courant minimax principle for λ_n .

How to get ν ?



▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

$$-\Delta u_i = \lambda_i u_i \quad \text{in } \Omega$$
$$u_i = 0 \qquad \text{on } \partial \Omega$$

Theorem: If $\Omega \subset \mathcal{L}$ then $\lambda_n^{(\mathcal{L})} \leq \lambda_n^{(\Omega)}$.

Example: 10 eigenvalues in the dumbbell



But $\lambda_8 \approx$ 7.986, $\lambda_9 \approx$ 9.353, $\lambda_{10} \approx$ 9.510, $\lambda_{11} \approx$ 9.998.

Homotopy method



$$-\Delta u_i = \lambda_i u_i \quad \text{in } \Omega$$
$$u_i = 0 \qquad \text{on } \partial \Omega$$

• Let
$$\Omega = \Omega^{(m)} \subset \Omega^{(m-1)} \subset \cdots \subset \Omega^{(1)} \subset \Omega^{(0)}$$
.

Let exact eigenvalues are known on Ω⁽⁰⁾.

• Theorem
$$\Rightarrow \lambda_n^{(k-1)} \leq \lambda_n^{(k)}, \ k = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

[Plum 1990, 1991]

Example:



Method 3. Lehmann–Goerisch



Input: A priori lower bound: $\nu \leq \lambda_{s+1}$ Algorithm:

- ▶ FEM eigenpairs: $\Lambda_{h,i} \in \mathbb{R}$, $u_{h,i} \in V_h$, i = 1, 2, ..., s
- Mixed FEM problem: $\sigma_{h,i} \in W_h$, $q_{h,i} \in Q_h$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$ $\boldsymbol{W}_{h} = \{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{h} \in \boldsymbol{\mathsf{H}}(\mathsf{div}, \Omega) : \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{h}|_{\mathcal{K}} \in \boldsymbol{\mathrm{RT}}_{k}(\mathcal{K}) \quad \forall \mathcal{K} \in \mathcal{T}_{h}\}$ $Q_h = \{q_h \in L^2(\Omega) : q_h|_K \in P_k(K) \quad \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h\}$

$$\begin{aligned} (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{h,i}, \mathbf{w}_h) + (q_{h,i}, \operatorname{div} \mathbf{w}_h) &= 0 & \forall \mathbf{w}_h \in \boldsymbol{W}_h, \\ (\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{h,i}, \varphi_h) &= (-u_{h,i}, \varphi_h) & \forall \varphi_h \in \boldsymbol{Q}_h, \end{aligned}$$

[Behnke, Mertins, Plum, Wieners 2000]

Method 3. Lehmann-Goerisch



Input: A priori lower bound: $\nu \leq \lambda_{s+1}$ Algorithm:

- ▶ FEM eigenpairs: $\Lambda_{h,i} \in \mathbb{R}$, $u_{h,i} \in V_h$, i = 1, 2, ..., s
- ▶ Mixed FEM problem: $\sigma_{h,i} \in W_h$, $q_{h,i} \in Q_h$, i = 1, 2, ..., s

$$\begin{split} \gamma &= \|\boldsymbol{u}_{h,s} + \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{h,s}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \\ \rho &= \nu + \gamma \\ \boldsymbol{M}_{ij} &= (\nabla \boldsymbol{u}_{h,i}, \nabla \boldsymbol{u}_{h,j}) + (\gamma - \rho)(\boldsymbol{u}_{h,i}, \boldsymbol{u}_{h,j}) \\ \boldsymbol{N}_{ij} &= (\nabla \boldsymbol{u}_{h,i}, \nabla \boldsymbol{u}_{h,j}) + (\gamma - 2\rho)(\boldsymbol{u}_{h,i}, \boldsymbol{u}_{h,j}) + \rho^{2}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{h,i}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{h,j}) \\ &+ (\rho^{2}/\gamma)(\boldsymbol{u}_{h,i} + \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{h,i}, \boldsymbol{u}_{h,j} + \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{h,j}) \end{split}$$

Solve:

$$\mu_1 \leq \cdots \leq \mu_s$$
: $M\mathbf{y}_i = \mu_i N\mathbf{y}_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, s$

▶ If **N** is s.p.d. and if $\mu_{s+1-n} < 0$ then $\ell_n^{\text{LG}} = \rho - \gamma - \rho / (1 - \mu_{s+1-n}) \le \lambda_n, \quad n = 1, 2, ..., s.$

[Behnke, Mertins, Plum, Wieners 2000]

Method 4. Crouzeix-Raviart elements

Crouzeix-Raviart finite elements $V_h^{CR} = \{v_h \in P_1(\mathcal{T}_h) : v_h \text{ continuous in midpoints of all } \gamma \in \mathcal{E}_h\}$ Find $0 \neq u_{h,i}^{CR} \in V_h^{CR}$, $\lambda_{h,i}^{CR} \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$(
abla u_{h,i}^{\mathrm{CR}},
abla v_h) = \lambda_{h,i}^{\mathrm{CR}}(u_{h,i}^{\mathrm{CR}}, v_h) \quad \forall v_h \in V_h^{\mathrm{CR}}.$$

Lower bound (no round-off errors)

$$\ell_i^{\mathrm{CR}} = rac{\lambda_{h,i}^{\mathrm{CR}}}{1 + \kappa^2 \lambda_{h,i}^{\mathrm{CR}} h_{\mathrm{max}}^2} \le \lambda_i \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots$$

where

- κ = 0.1893
- $h_{\max} = \max_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \operatorname{diam} K$

[Carstensen, Gedicke 2013], [Xuefeng LIU 2015]



Method 4. Crouzeix-Raviart elements

Crouzeix-Raviart finite elements $V_h^{CR} = \{v_h \in P_1(\mathcal{T}_h) : v_h \text{ continuous in midpoints of all } \gamma \in \mathcal{E}_h\}$ Find $0 \neq u_{h,i}^{CR} \in V_h^{CR}$, $\lambda_{h,i}^{CR} \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$(
abla u_{h,i}^{\mathrm{CR}},
abla v_h) = \lambda_{h,i}^{\mathrm{CR}}(u_{h,i}^{\mathrm{CR}}, v_h) \quad \forall v_h \in V_h^{\mathrm{CR}}.$$

Lower bound (inexact solver: $\boldsymbol{A}\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{i}^{\mathrm{CR}} \approx \tilde{\lambda}_{h,i}^{\mathrm{CR}} \boldsymbol{B}\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{i}^{\mathrm{CR}}$)

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\ell}}_{\boldsymbol{i}}^{\mathrm{CR}} = \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{h},\boldsymbol{i}}^{\mathrm{CR}} - \|\boldsymbol{r}\|_{\boldsymbol{B}^{-1}}}{1 + \kappa^2 \left(\tilde{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{h},\boldsymbol{i}}^{\mathrm{CR}} - \|\boldsymbol{r}\|_{\boldsymbol{B}^{-1}}\right) h_{\max}^2} \le \lambda_{\boldsymbol{i}} \quad \forall \boldsymbol{i} = 1, 2, \dots$$

where

Provided

- κ = 0.1893
- $h_{\max} = \max_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \operatorname{diam} K$ $r = \mathbf{A} \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_i^{\operatorname{CR}} \tilde{\lambda}_{h,i}^{\operatorname{CR}} \mathbf{B} \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_i^{\operatorname{CR}}$

$$||\mathbf{r}||_{\mathbf{B}^{-1}} < \tilde{\lambda}_{b\,i}^{\mathrm{CR}}$$

λ̃^{CR}_{h,i} is closer to λ^{CR}_{h,i} than to any other discrete eigenvalue λ^{CR}_{h,i}, j ≠ i

<ロト 4 回 ト 4 回 ト 4 回 ト 回 の Q (O)</p>



Method 4. Crouzeix-Raviart elements



Upper bound

- \mathcal{T}_h^* is the red refinement of \mathcal{T}_h
- $u_{h,i}^* = \mathcal{I}_{\text{CM}} \tilde{u}_{h,i}^{\text{CR}}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$
- ▶ $\boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{Q} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ with entries $\boldsymbol{S}_{j,k} = (\nabla u_{h,j}^*, \nabla u_{h,k}^*)$ and $\boldsymbol{Q}_{j,k} = (u_{h,j}^*, u_{h,k}^*)$

$$\blacktriangleright \mathbf{S}\mathbf{y}_i = \Lambda_i^* \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{y}_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

$$\blacktriangleright \Lambda_1^* \le \Lambda_2^* \le \dots \le \Lambda_m^*$$

• $\lambda_i \leq \Lambda_i^*$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$



 $\begin{aligned} -\Delta u_i &= \lambda_i u_i \quad \text{in } \Omega = \text{dumbbell} \\ u_i &= 0 \qquad \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{aligned}$















◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへの









Conclusions



	Weinstein	Kato	Lehmann-	Crouzeix-
			–Goerisch	–Raviart
speed of convergence	—	+	+	+
a priori information	—	_	—	±
algebraic error	+	_	+	+
generality	+	+	+	—
higher-order	±	+	+	—
robustness	+	—	—	+
local problems	+	+	—	+
error indicator	+	+	+	_

Thank you for your attention

Tomáš Vejchodský (vejchod@math.cas.cz)

Institute of Mathematics Czech Academy of Sciences



Joint work with I. Šebestová (University of Concepción, Chile)

Supported by Neuron Fund for Support of Science, project no. 24/2016

MFF 2017, Praha, April 6, 2017