

# Solving ill-posed problems

Eduard Feireisl

Institute of Mathematics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Prague  
Technische Universität Berlin

**Dynamics Days Europe, Rostock 2 September - 6 September 2019**



Einstein Stiftung Berlin  
Einstein Foundation Berlin



## Euler system for a barotropic inviscid fluid

**Equation of continuity:**  $\varrho = \varrho(t, x)$  - mass density

$$\partial_t \varrho + \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{m} = 0$$

**Momentum equation:**  $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m}(t, x) = (\varrho \mathbf{u})$  - momentum

$$\partial_t \mathbf{m} + \operatorname{div}_x \left( \frac{\mathbf{m} \otimes \mathbf{m}}{\varrho} \right) + \nabla_x p(\varrho) = 0, \quad p(\varrho) = a \varrho^\gamma, \quad a > 0, \quad \gamma > 1$$

**Impermeability boundary conditions or periodic boundary conditions**

$$\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{n}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad \text{or } \Omega = ([-1, 1]_{\{-1, 1\}})^d, \quad d = 2, 3$$

**Initial conditions**

$$\varrho(0, \cdot) = \varrho_0, \quad \mathbf{m}(0, \cdot) = \mathbf{m}_0$$

## Admissible solutions – energy dissipation

### Energy

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{|\mathbf{m}|^2}{\varrho} + P(\varrho), \quad P'(\varrho)\varrho - P(\varrho) = p(\varrho)$$

$$p' \geq 0 \Rightarrow [\varrho, \mathbf{m}] \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \frac{|\mathbf{m}|^2}{\varrho} + P(\varrho) & \text{if } \varrho > 0 \\ P(\varrho) & \text{if } |\mathbf{m}| = 0, \varrho \geq 0 \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{is convex l.s.c}$$

### Energy balance (conservation)

$$\partial_t \mathcal{E} + \operatorname{div}_x \left( \mathcal{E} \frac{\mathbf{m}}{\varrho} \right) + \operatorname{div}_x \left( p \frac{\mathbf{m}}{\varrho} \right) = 0$$

### Energy dissipation

$$\partial_t \mathcal{E} + \operatorname{div}_x \left( \mathcal{E} \frac{\mathbf{m}}{\varrho} \right) + \operatorname{div}_x \left( p \frac{\mathbf{m}}{\varrho} \right) \leq 0$$

$$E = \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{E} \, dx, \quad \partial_t E \leq 0, \quad E(0+) = \int_{\Omega} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \frac{|\mathbf{m}_0|^2}{\varrho_0} + P(\varrho_0) \right] \, dx$$

# Known facts about Euler equations

## Well/ill posedness

- Local in time existence of unique smooth solutions for smooth initial data
- Blow-up (shock wave) in a finite time for a generic class of initial data
- Existence of infinitely many weak solution for any continuous initial data (Chiodaroli, DeLellis–Széehelyhidi, EF...)
- Existence of “many” initial data that give rise to infinitely many weak solutions satisfying the energy inequality (Chiodaroli, EF, Luo, Xie, Xin...)
- Existence of smooth initial data that ultimately give rise to infinitely many weak solutions satisfying the energy inequality (Kreml et al)
- Weak–strong uniqueness in the class of admissible weak solutions (Dafermos)

# Wild solutions?



Charles Hermite [1822-1901]

In a letter to Stieltjes

I turn with terror and horror from this lamentable scourge of continuous functions with no derivatives

- **Past:** What is not allowed is forbidden
- **Present:** What is not forbidden is allowed

# Oscillations

## Oscillatory sequence

$$g(x+a) = g(x) \text{ for all } x \in R, \int_0^a g(x) dx = 0,$$

$$g_n(x) = g(nx), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

## Weak convergence (convergence in integral averages)

$$\int_R g_n(x) \varphi(x) dx, \text{ where } \varphi \in C_c^\infty(R).$$

$$G(x) = \int_0^x g(z) dz$$

$$\int_R g_n(x) \varphi(x) dx = \int_R g(nx) \varphi(x) dx = -\frac{1}{n} \int_R G(nx) \partial_x \varphi(x) dx \rightarrow 0$$

## Beware

$g_n \rightarrow g$  does not imply  $H(g_n) \rightarrow H(g)$  if  $H$  is not linear.

# Concentrations

## Concentrating sequence

$$g_n(x) = ng(nx)$$

$$g \in C_c^\infty(-1, 1), \quad g(-x) = g(x), \quad g \geq 0, \quad \int_R g(x) \, dx = 1.$$

■

$g_n(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for any  $x \neq 0$ , in particular  $g_n \rightarrow 0$  a.a. in  $R$ ;

■

$$\|g_n\|_{L^1(R)} = \int_R g_n(x) \, dx = \int_R g(x) \, dx = 1 \text{ for any } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

## Convergence in the space of measures

$$\int_R g_n(x)\varphi(x) \, dx = \int_{-1/n}^{1/n} g_n(x)\varphi(x) \, dx$$

$$\in \left[ \min_{x \in [-1/n, 1/n]} \varphi(x), \max_{x \in [-1/n, 1/n]} \varphi(x) \right] \rightarrow \varphi(0) \Rightarrow g_n \rightarrow \delta_0$$

### III posedness

#### Theorem [A.Abbatiello, EF 2019]

Let  $d = 2, 3$ . Let  $\varrho_0, \mathbf{m}_0$  be given such that

$$\varrho_0 \in \mathcal{R}, \quad 0 \leq \underline{\varrho} \leq \varrho_0 \leq \bar{\varrho},$$

$$\mathbf{m}_0 \in \mathcal{R}, \quad \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{m}_0 \in \mathcal{R}, \quad \mathbf{m}_0 \cdot \mathbf{n}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

Let  $\{\tau_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset (0, T)$  be an arbitrary (countable dense) set of times. Then the Euler problem admits infinitely many weak solutions  $\varrho, \mathbf{m}$  with a strictly decreasing total energy profile such that

$$\varrho \in C_{\text{weak}}([0, T]; L^\gamma(\Omega)), \quad \mathbf{m} \in C_{\text{weak}}([0, T]; L^{\frac{2\gamma}{\gamma+1}}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d))$$

but

$t \mapsto [\varrho(t, \cdot), \mathbf{m}(t, \cdot)]$  is not strongly continuous at any  $\tau_i, i = 1, 2, \dots$



# Consistent approximation

## Equation of continuity

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} [\varrho_n \partial_t \varphi + \mathbf{m}_n \cdot \nabla_x \varphi] dx dt = e_{1,n}[\varphi]$$

## Momentum equation

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \left[ \mathbf{m}_n \cdot \partial_t \varphi + 1_{\varrho_n > 0} \frac{\mathbf{m}_n \otimes \mathbf{m}_n}{\varrho_n} : \nabla_x \varphi + p(\varrho_n) \operatorname{div}_x \varphi \right] dx dt = e_{2,n}[\varphi]$$

## Stability - bounded energy

$$\mathcal{E}(\varrho_n, \mathbf{m}_n) \equiv \int_{\Omega} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \frac{|\mathbf{m}_n|^2}{\varrho_n} + P(\varrho_n) \right] dx \lesssim 1$$

## Consistency

$$e_{1,n}[\varphi] \rightarrow 0, e_{2,n}[\varphi] \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

# Weak vs strong convergence

## Weak convergence

$$\varrho_n \rightarrow \varrho \text{ weakly-} (*) L^\infty(0, T; (L^\gamma)(\Omega))$$

$$\mathbf{m}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{m} \text{ weakly-} (*) L^\infty(0, T; (L^{\frac{2\gamma}{\gamma+1}})(\Omega))$$

## Strong convergence (Theorem EF, M.Hofmanová)

$$K \subset [0, T] \times \bar{\Omega} \text{ compact}$$

$$\varrho_n \rightarrow \varrho, \mathbf{m}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{m} \text{ strongly (pointwise) in } \mathcal{U} \text{ open, } \partial K \subset \mathcal{U}$$

$\varrho, \mathbf{m}$  weak solution to the Euler system

$\Rightarrow$

$$\varrho_n \rightarrow \varrho, \mathbf{m}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{m} \text{ strongly (pointwise) in } K$$

# Should we go beyond weak solutions?



However beautiful the strategy, you should occasionally look at the results...

**Sir Winston Churchill**  
[1874-1965]



# Dissipative solutions – limits of numerical schemes

## Equation of continuity

$$\partial_t \varrho + \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{m} = 0, \quad \varrho(0, \cdot) = \varrho_0$$

## Momentum balance

$$\partial_t \mathbf{m} + \operatorname{div}_x \left( \frac{\mathbf{m} \otimes \mathbf{m}}{\varrho} \right) + \nabla_x P(\varrho) = -\operatorname{div}_x (\mathfrak{R}_v + \mathfrak{R}_p \mathbb{I}), \quad \mathbf{m}(0, \cdot) = \mathbf{m}_0$$

## Energy inequality

$$\frac{d}{dt} E(t) \leq 0, \quad E(t) \leq E_0, \quad E_0 = \int_{\Omega} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \frac{|\mathbf{m}_0|^2}{\varrho_0} + P(\varrho_0) \right] dx$$
$$E \equiv \left( \int_{\Omega} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \frac{|\mathbf{m}|^2}{\varrho} + P(\varrho) \right] dx + \int_{\bar{\Omega}} d \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{trace}[\mathfrak{R}_v] + \int_{\bar{\Omega}} d \frac{1}{\gamma - 1} \mathfrak{R}_p \right)$$

## Turbulent defect measures

$$\mathfrak{R}_v \in L^\infty(0, T; \mathcal{M}^+(\bar{\Omega}; R_{\text{sym}}^{d \times d})), \quad \mathfrak{R}_p \in L^\infty(0, T; \mathcal{M}^+(\bar{\Omega}))$$

# Basic properties of dissipative solutions

## Well posedness, weak strong uniqueness

- **Existence.** Dissipative solutions exist globally in time for any finite energy initial data
- **Limits of consistent approximations** Limits of consistent approximations are dissipative solutions, in particular limits of consistent numerical schemes.
- **Compatibility.** Any  $C^1$  dissipative solution  $[\varrho, \mathbf{m}]$ ,  $\varrho > 0$  is a classical solution of the Euler system
- **Weak-strong uniqueness.** If  $[\tilde{\varrho}, \tilde{\mathbf{m}}]$  is a classical solution and  $[\varrho, \mathbf{m}]$  a dissipative solution starting from the same initial data, then  $\mathfrak{R}_v = \mathfrak{R}_p = 0$  and  $\varrho = \tilde{\varrho}$ ,  $\mathbf{m} = \tilde{\mathbf{m}}$ .
- **Semiflow selection.** There exists a measurable selection of dissipative solution that forms a semigroup

# Komlos ( $\mathcal{K}$ ) convergence

## Komlos theorem

$\{U_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  bounded in  $L^1(Q)$

$\Rightarrow$

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N U_{n_k} \rightarrow \bar{U} \text{ a.a. in } Q \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty$$

## Conclusion for the approximate solutions

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \varrho_{n_k} \rightarrow \varrho \text{ in } L^1((0, T) \times \Omega) \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \mathbf{m}_{n_k} \rightarrow \mathbf{m} \text{ in } L^1((0, T) \times \Omega) \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \left[ \frac{1}{2} \frac{|\mathbf{m}_{n,k}|^2}{\varrho_{n,k}} + P(\varrho_{n,k}) \right] \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{E}} \in L^1((0, T) \times \Omega) \text{ a.a. in } (0, T) \times \Omega$$

# Visualising oscillations – Young measures

## Limits of compositions

$$\varrho_n \rightarrow \varrho, \mathbf{m}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{m}, B(\varrho_n, \mathbf{m}_n) \rightarrow \overline{B(\varrho, \mathbf{m})} \neq B(\varrho, \mathbf{m}), B \in BC(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$$

## Young measure

$$\langle \nu_{t,x} B(\varrho, \mathbf{m}) \rangle = \overline{B(\varrho, \mathbf{m})}(t, x)$$

## Compactness

$$\text{tightness} \Leftrightarrow \text{uniform } L^1 \text{ - bound}$$

# $\mathcal{K}$ -convergence of Young measures [Balder]

## Young measure

$$\{U_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{ bounded in } L^1(Q) \approx \nu_{t,x}^n = \delta_{U_n(t,x)}$$

$\Rightarrow$

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \nu_{t,x}^{n_k} \rightarrow \nu_{t,x} \text{ narrowly a.a. in } Q \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty$$

## Monge-Kantorowich (Wasserstein) distance

$$\left\| \text{dist} \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \nu_{t,x}^{n_k}; \nu_{t,x} \right) \right\|_{L^q(Q)} \rightarrow 0$$

for some  $q > 1$ .



## Thanks to ...



**Anna Abbatiello (TU Berlin)**



**Dominic Breit (Heriot-Watt, Edinburgh)**



**Martina Hofmanová (Bielefeld)**



**Mária Lukáčová (JGU Mainz)**



**Hana Mizerová (CU Bratislava)**



**Bangwei She (IM Praha)**