Dehydroepiandrosterone Reduced Lipid Droplet Accumulation via Inhibiting Cell Proliferation and Improving Mitochondrial Function in Primary Chicken Hepatocytes

Long-Long LI[2], Dian WANG[2], Chong-Yang GE[2], Lei YU[2], Jin-Long ZHAO[2], Hai-Tian MA[1]

[1]College of Veterinary Medicine, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing, People's Republic of China, [2]Key Laboratory of Animal Physiology and Biochemistry, Ministry of Agriculture, College of Veterinary Medicine, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing, People's Republic of China

Summary

Dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA) possesses fat-reducing effect, while little information is available on whether DHEA regulates cell proliferation and mitochondrial function, which would, in turn, affect lipid droplet accumulation in the broiler. In the present study, the lipid droplet accumulation, cell proliferation, cell cycle and mitochondrial membrane potential were analysis in primary chicken hepatocytes after DHEA treated. The results showed that total area and counts of lipid droplets were significantly decreased in hepatocytes treated with DHEA. The cell viability was significantly increased, while cell proliferation was significantly inhibited in a dose-dependent manner in primary chicken hepatocytes after DHEA treated. DHEA treatment significantly increased the cell population in S phase and decreased the population in G2/M in primary chicken hepatocytes. Meanwhile, the cyclin A and cyclin-dependent kinases 2 (CDK2) mRNA abundance were significantly decreased in hepatocytes after DHEA treated. No significant differences were observed in the number of mitochondria, while the mitochondrial membrane permeability and succinate dehydrogenase (SDH) activity were significantly increased in hepatocytes after DHEA treated. In conclusion, our results demonstrated that DHEA reduced lipid droplet accumulation by inhibiting hepatocytes proliferation and enhancing mitochondrial function in primary chicken hepatocytes.

Corresponding author

H.-T. Ma, College of Veterinary Medicine, Nanjing Agricultural University, No. 1 Weigang, Nanjing, Jiangsu 210095, People's Republic of China. Fax: +86 25-8439 8669. E-mail: mahaitian@njau.edu.cn

Introduction

Dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA), one of the most abundant steroids in human, is an intermediate in the biosynthesis of androgens and/or estrogens in peripheral tissues (Labrie 2003, Dharia *et al.* 2005). The biotransformation of DHEA into active steroids depends upon the expression levels of various steroidogenic and metabolizing enzymes according to local needs of target tissues (Labrie 1991, Labrie *et al.* 2017). DHEA had been termed "the wonder hormone" due to it protects against mood depressed (Souza-Teodoro *et al.* 2016), obesity (Hansen *et al.* 1997, Sato *et al.* 2012), cancer (Arnold *et al.* 2008), cardiovascular (Boxer *et al.* 2010) and aging-induced changes to the brain (Kurita *et al.* 2013, Soma *et al.* 2015). Previous study certified that DHEA promoted a variety of illnesses associated with aging, and it is widely available as a dietary supplemental over all the United States (Vacheron-Trystram *et al.* 2002).

Many studies demonstrated that DHEA has anti-proliferative actions *in vivo* and *in vitro* (Yoshida *et al.* 2003, Jiang *et al.* 2005, Cheng *et al.* 2011, Kłobucki *et al.* 2017) due to its inhibitory effects on glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD) activity, which

subsequently block the formation of NADPH and ribose-5-phosphate, and both of which are essential for cell growth (Batetta et al. 1995, Di et al. 1997). These results indicated that G6PD may be a target factor by which DHEA regulate cells proliferation. Rice et al. reported that DHEA inhibits white preadipocyte (3T3-L1) cell proliferation by arresting in the G1 phase of cell cycle (Rice et al. 2010). In addition, DHEA inhibits the proliferation and induces the death of HPV-positive and HPV-negative cervical cancer cells (Giron et al. 2009). Zapata et al. (2015) confirmed that DHEA inhibits the proliferation of mesodermal cell types. Although there have many studies reported that DHEA could regulate the cell proliferation in various cell types, while the exact mechanism about these physiological actions of DHEA is not fully understood.

Except for regulating metabolism, mitochondria also involved into many other functions which make them important to all cells. Swierczynski et al. (1996) found that in vivo administration of DHEA to rats induces lipid peroxidation in liver, kidney, and heart mitochondria. It demonstrated that the peroxidation of lipids could promoted permeability of mitochondrial membrane (Maciel et al. 2001). Previous study showed that DHEA induced the fast release of accumulated matrix Ca^{2+} and inhibited the oxidation of malate-glutamate by blocking Site I electron transport in the respiratory chain in rats (Maciel et al. 2001). In addition, Correa et al. (2003) demonstrated that DHEA inhibits malate-glutamate oxidation by blocking Site I electron transport in the respiratory chain, and induces mitochondrial swelling and transmembrane electrical gradient collapse in isolated rat kidney mitochondria. The above results implied that DHEA might regulate the mitochondrial function, while further investigation is needed to validate this action of DHEA in cells.

Interestingly, previous study about biological functions of DHEA were mainly focused on mammalian species, little information is available on poultry, both in vivo and in vitro. Unlike mammalian species, the liver is the most important organ of fat metabolism in poultry (Griffin et al. 1992). Our previous study found that DHEA treatment reduced fat deposition in broiler chicken or chicken embryos (Tang et al. 2007, Zhao et al. 2007, Huang et al. 2010). In addition, we found that DHEA accelerates lipid catabolism by direct regulation of hepatic gene expression, and this action mainly achieved by activation of cAMP/PKA signaling pathway in primary chicken hepatocytes (Tang et al. 2009a, Tang et

al. 2009b). Importantly, most of the mitochondria in DHEA-treated hepatocytes presented a high electron density based on morphological observations, indicating that DHEA might influence mitochondrial function in primary chicken hepatocytes (Tang et al. 2009a). As well known, the expansion of fat mass is due to increased adipocyte hypertrophy and hyperplasia in broiler chickens (Butterwith 1997). Therefore, the present study was conducted to investigate the effects of DHEA on proliferation, cell cycle and mitochondrial function in cultured primary chicken hepatocytes, which will provide useful information to understand the biochemical mechanisms of fat metabolism regulation by DHEA in broiler chickens.

Materials and methods

Materials

DHEA, dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), methyl thiazolyl tetrazolium (MTT), penicillin-streptomycin, transferring and trypsin were purchased from Sigma (St Louis, MO, USA); L-glutamine and HEPES were obtained from Amresco (Solon, OH, USA); Medium 199 and trypsin were purchased from Gibco (Grand Island, NY, USA); The TRIZOL Reagent Kit was purchased from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA, USA); The M-MLV reverse transcriptase, RNase inhibitor and dNTP mixture were obtained from Promega (Madison, WI, USA); Ex Taq DNA polymerase purchased from TaKaRa Bio Inc. (Shiga, Japan) and SYBR Green PCR Master Mix obtained from Roche (Basel, Switzerland). Anti-CDK2 rabbit polyclonal antibody and Anti-cyclin A rabbit polyclonal antibody were purchased from Sangon Biotech Co. Ltd (Shanghai, China); β-actin was purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (Boston, MA, USA); Goat anti-rabbit IgG and horseradish peroxidase conjugate were purchased from Boster (Wuhan, China); Protein assay kits were from the Beyotime Biotechnology Institute (Shanghai, China).

Isolation of hepatocytes

Fertilized chicken eggs were purchased from a commercial hatching factory and incubated at 37 °C and at a relative humidity of 60 %. Primary culture of embryonic hepatocytes was conducted based on the method described by Kennedy et al. (1993). The embryos were killed on incubation day 9, and the livers were removed under sterile conditions and washed with cold PBS (phosphate-buffered saline). After washed in M199

medium, the livers were aseptically minced into small fragments (about 1 mm^3) and suspended in fresh medium for 1-2 min. The liver tissues were incubated in PBS (Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} free) trypsin solution (0.25 mg/ml) in a vibrating water-bath (90 cycles/min at 37 °C) for 10-15 min. Meanwhile, the solution was pipetted frequently by pipette to facilitate cell dissociation, until it consisted of 3-5 cells in each aggregate. The hepatocytes were collected by centrifugation (1,000 rpm, 5 min) and filtrated through a 150 μm mesh. The material was washed three times with fresh M199 medium, and the cell number was determined using a hemacytometer. Cell survival was determined by the Trypan blue exclusion test and resulted in a survival rate always greater than 90 % (Strober 2015).

Primary culture of chicken hepatocytes

Hepatocytes were seeded in monolayers in 6-well or 96-well plastic culture plates (Corning, USA) with a density of 2×10^6 cells per well in 2 ml or 1×10^5 cells per well in 100 μl serum-free M199 medium with 5 mg/ml transferrin, 2 mM glutamine and 1.75 mM HEPES. The culture medium also contained 100 IU/ml penicillin and 100 μg/ml streptomycin. Hepatocytes were incubated at 37 °C in an atmosphere of 95 % air and 5 % CO$_2$.

Oil Red O staining

Hepatocytes were cultured in 6-well plates (2×10^6 cells per well) and treated with 0, 0.1, 1 or 10 μM DHEA for 24 h. The methods were previously reported of our study (Li *et al*. 2017). Briefly, the cells were fixed with 10 % buffered formalin for at least 30 min, and incubated with 60 % isopropanol for 15 min at room temperature, then stained with Oil Red O solution for another 15 min. Cells were washed 4 times with deionized water and then allowed to air dry. To normalize for the cell number, following Oil Red O staining the cell monolayers were stained with hematoxylin for 5 min. The slides were photographed with an optical microscope (Olympus BX53; Tokyo, Japan). Then, twenty photos were randomly selected from each treatment group and ten independent visual field in each photo were analyzed the counts and area of lipid droplets using Image-pro Plus 6.0 software (Media Cybernetics, Silver Spring, MD, USA).

Cell viability assay

Hepatocytes were cultured in 96-well plates (1×10^5 cells per well) and treated with 0, 0.1, 1 or 10 μM DHEA for 24 h before addition of MTT solution, and then twenty microliter of 5 mg/ml MTT were added to each well. Four hours later, the culture medium was removed and the formed blue formazan crystals were dissolved in 150 μl DMSO. The optical density of the formazan generated from MTT was measured at 490 nm using a model 550 Microplate reader (Bio-Rad, California, USA).

EdU based cell proliferation assays

Cell proliferation assays were performed using a Click-iT EdU assay kit according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, hepatocytes were cultured in 96-well plates (1×10^5 cells per well) and treated with 0, 0.1, 1 or 10 μM DHEA for 24 h, then 100 μl 5'-ethynyl-2'-deoxyuridine (EdU) solution was added at a 50 μM final concentration for 6 h. Cells were washed with PBS and fixed with 100 μl 4 % formaldehyde for 15 min. Following formaldehyde fixation, cells were incubated with 100 μl saponins-based permeabilization buffer for 15 min and then incubated with 500 μl Click-iT reaction buffer for 1 h and washed with 3 ml permeabilization buffer. EdU-stained cells were mounted and imaged by fluorescence microscopy.

Cell cycle assessment by flow cytometry

Hepatocytes were plated in 6-well plates (2×10^6 cells per well) and treated with 0, 0.1, 1 or 10 μM DHEA for 24 h. After incubation, the cells were harvested and fixed in 1 ml 75 % cold ethanol, and then incubated at -20 °C for 18 h. The cells were centrifuged at 1,000 rpm for 5 min, and the cell pellets were resuspended in 500 μl propidium iodine (50 μg/ml) containing 5 U RNase and incubated on ice for 30 min. Cell cycle distribution was calculated from 10,000 cells with ModFit LTTM software (Becton Dickinson, San Jose, CA, USA) using FACScaliber (Becton Dickinson, San Jose, CA, USA).

Real-time quantitative RT-PCR (qPCR)

Hepatocytes were cultured in 6-well plates (2×10^6 cells per well) and treated with 0, 0.1, 1 or 10 μM DHEA for 24 h. The cells were harvested and total RNA was extracted using the TRIZOL reagent kit (Invitrogen, USA) according to our previous laboratory reported (Li *et al*. 2017). Total RNA (2 μg) were reverse transcribed into cDNA using the Superscript II kit (Promega, USA) according to the manufacturer's

recommendation. An aliquot of cDNA sample was mixed with 20 μl SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Roche, Switzerland) in the presence of 10 pmol of each forward and reverse primers for *β-actin* (use as an internal control), *cyclin A*, *cyclin B* and *CDK2* (Table 1). All samples were analyzed in duplicate using the IQ5 Sequence Detection System (Bio-Rad, California, USA) and programmed to conduct one cycle (95 °C for 3 min) and 40 cycles (95 °C for 20 s, 60 °C for 30 s and 72 °C for 30 s). The $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method was used to calculate the fold change in mRNA levels. The primers were designed by Primes Premier 5 (Premier Biosoft International, Palo Alto, CA, USA) and synthesized by Invitrogen Biological Company (Shanghai, China).

Table 1. Prime sequence of targeted genes and β-actin.

Gene	GenBank accession number	Primer sequences (5'-3')	Orientation	Product size (bp)
β-actin	L08165	TGCGTGACATCAAGGAGAAG	Forward	300
		TGCCAGGGTACATTGTGGTA	Reverse	
Cyclin A	NM_205244	ATGTCAGCGATATCCACACG	Forward	363
		GCTCCATCCTCAGAACTTGC	Reverse	
Cyclin B	NM_001004369	AGGGGTGGAGAATGCCGTGA	Forward	162
		TGCCAGGTCCTTTCGTAGCCTT	Reverse	
CDK2	NM_001199857.1	ATGGAGAACTTTCAAAAGGTGGAGA	Forward	185
		GGCTGTCCCCCACCTGCGCCTGTGA	Reverse	

Western blotting analysis

Hepatocytes were cultured in 6-well plates (2×10^6 cells per well) and treated with 0, 0.1, 1 or 10 μM DHEA for 24 h, and scraped for the subsequent determination of protein level. The protein concentration was measured using a bicinchoninic acid (BCA) protein determination kit (Beyotime, Nantong, China). The extracted protein was separated on a 10 % sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and transferred onto PVDF membranes (Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA). The membranes were blocked for 3 h with 5 % BSA in TBST and then incubated with a rabbit polyclonal antibody against CDK2, cyclin A (1:1,000 dilution). After washing with TBST, goat anti-rabbit IgG with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated (1:5,000 dilution) was added and incubated for 2 h at room temperature. The protein expression abundance was detected by ECL superSignalTM West Pico substrate (Pierce, Rock-ford, IL, USA). β-actin monoclonal antibody (dilution 1:1,000) was used as the loading control, CDK2 and cyclin A protein expression abundance were normalized to β-actin.

Quantitation of mitochondria

Hepatocytes were cultured in 6-well plates (2×10^6 cells per well) and treated with 0, 0.1, 1 or 10 μM DHEA for 24 h. The cells were fixed in 0.1 M sodium phosphate (pH 7.4) containing 2.5 % glutaraldehyde, centrifuged at 3,000 rpm for 4 min and rinsed in the same buffer and then post-fixed in 1 % osmium tetroxide in Millonig's buffer. Cell samples were then processed by standard techniques for transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Ultra-thin sections were stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate and viewed in an H-7650 transmission electron microscope (Hitachi Company, Japan). Thirty photos were randomly selected from each treatment and fifteen independent cells in each photo were counted the number of mitochondria. The results were tabulated as the mean number of mitochondria in all treatment groups.

Evaluation of mitochondrial permeability by JC-1 staining assay

Mitochondrial membrane potential (ΔΨm) was detected using a JC-1 mitochondrial membrane potential detection kit following the manufacturer's instructions (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology, China). Briefly, 2 × 10^6 cells were collected and re-suspended in 0.5 ml of medium. After adding 0.5 ml working solution of JC-1 dye, the cells were mixed thoroughly and incubated at 37 °C for 20 min in the dark, prior to analysis by flow cytometer (BD Bioscience, San Jose, CA, USA). The

JC-1 monomer has an excitation wavelength of 490 nm and an emission wavelength of 530 nm, and the JC-1 polymer has an excitation wavelength of 525 nm and an emission wavelength of 590 nm. The average fluorescence intensity of 10 randomly selected visual fields was calculated using the IPP software, and the fluorescence intensity ratio of 590/530 nm was used as a convenient index for the $\Delta\Psi$m.

Succinate dehydrogenase activity assay

Hepatocytes were cultured in 6-well plates (2×10^6 cells per well) and treated with 0, 0.1, 1 or 10 μM DHEA for 24 h. The cells were harvested and succinate dehydrogenase (SDH) activity was measured using commercial kits according to the manufacturers' protocols (Jiancheng Biotechnology Institution, Nanjing, China). The data were normalized to the sample protein concentration as determined by a protein assay kit, and expressed as U/mg protein.

Data analysis and statistics

Data were analyzed with one-way ANOVA and expressed as the means ± standard error. Treatment differences were subjected to a Duncan's multiple comparison tests. Differences were considered significant at $P<0.05$. All statistical analyses were performed with SPSS 20.0 for Windows (StatSoft, Inc., Tulsa, OK, USA).

Results

Effect of DHEA on cell viability in primary chicken hepatocytes

As described in Table 2, hepatocytes viability was significantly increased in the 1 and 10 μM DHEA treated groups at 1-48 h than that of control group ($P<0.01$). Meanwhile, 0.1 μM DHEA treated group significantly increased hepatocytes viability at 3-48 h when compared with the control group ($P<0.01$).

Table 2. Effect of DHEA on the cell viability in primary chicken hepatocytes (OD_{490}).

Treatment (μM)	Incubation time (h)					
	1	**3**	**6**	**12**	**24**	**48**
0	0.401±0.018	0.412±0.018	0.496±0.017	0.525±0.017	0.574±0.015	0.358±0.027
0.1	0.468±0.017	0.553±0.020**	0.566±0.009**	0.616±0.011**	0.655±0.009**	0.558±0.024**
1.0	0.513±0.014**	0.586±0.017**	0.604±0.014**	0.620±0.014**	0.679±0.014**	0.606±0.019**
10.0	0.549±0.012**	0.646±0.011**	0.695±0.020**	0.718±0.017**	0.742±0.013**	0.662±0.014**

Data are presented as means ± SE from three individual experiments (n=12). * $P<0.05$, ** $P<0.01$, DHEA treated group vs. control group.

Effect of DHEA on lipid droplet accumulation in primary chicken hepatocytes

To analyze the distribution of lipid droplets in primary chicken hepatocytes after DHEA treated, Oil Red O staining (Fig. 1A) was used to analyze the counts and total area of lipid droplets. The results showed that 0.1-10 μM DHEA treatment significantly decreased the total area of lipid droplet when compared to the control group ($P<0.05$) (Fig. 1B). Similarly, the numbers of lipid droplet also significantly decreased in 0.1-10 μM DHEA treated groups than that of control group ($P<0.05$) (Fig. 1C).

Effect of DHEA on cell proliferation in primary chicken hepatocytes

EdU assay method was used to investigate the

cell proliferation, and the results showed that different dose DHEA treatment significantly inhibited hepatocytes proliferation in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 2).

Effect of DHEA on cell cycle in primary chicken hepatocytes

As shown in Figure 3, no significant differences were observed in the population of G0/G1, S and G2/M phase in hepatocytes treated with 0.1 μM DHEA ($P>0.05$). Compared to the control group, the population of S phase was significantly increased in hepatocytes treated with 1 or 10 μM DHEA ($P<0.01$). Whereas, 1 or 10 μM DHEA treatment significantly decreased the population of G2/M in hepatocytes than that of the control group ($P<0.01$).

Fig. 1. Effect of DHEA on lipid droplets accumulation in primary chicken hepatocytes. (**A**) Representative photomicrographs of hepatocytes stained with Oil Red O, the red represents lipid droplet and blue represents the cell nucleus. (**B**) Total area of lipid droplets. (**C**) The number of lipid droplets. Data are presented as means ± SE. * $P<0.05$, ** $P<0.01$, DHEA treated group vs. control group.

Effect of DHEA on cell cycle related factors expression levels in primary chicken hepatocytes

Compare with control group, the cyclin A mRNA level was significantly decreased in hepatocytes after 1 or 10 μM DHEA treated ($P<0.05$) (Fig. 4A). No significant changes were observed on the cyclin B mRNA level (Fig. 4B), whereas 0.1-10 μM DHEA treatment significantly decreased the CDK2 mRNA level in hepatocytes when compared to the control group ($P<0.05$) (Fig. 4C). To further verified these results, we consequently detected the protein expression abundance of cyclin A and CDK2, and the results showed that

0.1-10 µM DHEA treatment significantly decreased the cyclin A and CDK2 protein expression abundance in hepatocytes ($P<0.05$) (Fig. 4E and 4F).

Morphological observations and quantization of mitochondria

Compared with control group, the histological organization was not obviously altered in hepatocytes treated with 0.1-10 µM DHEA (Fig. 5A). Thirty photos were randomly selected and fifteen independent cells of each photo were counted the number of mitochondria. No noticeable changes were observed on the numbers of mitochondrial in hepatocytes treated with different dose DHEA ($P>0.05$) (Fig. 5B).

Effect of DHEA on the mitochondrial permeability in primary chicken hepatocytes

No significant changes were observed on the mitochondrial membrane potential ($\Delta\Psi$m) in hepatocytes treated with 0.1 or 1 µM DHEA ($P>0.05$), while 10 µM DHEA treatment significantly decreased the $\Delta\Psi$m in hepatocytes when compared to the control group ($P<0.05$) (Fig. 6).


Fig. 2. EdU (5-ethynyl-2'-deoxyuridine) labels cells proliferating in primary chicken hepatocytes. (**A**) Control group. (**B**) Hepatocytes treated with 0.1 µM DHEA. (**C**) Hepatocytes treated with 1 µM DHEA. (**D**) Hepatocytes treated with 10 µM DHEA. The cells in each group were stained with DNA marker (Hoechst33342) and EdU, the merged images in the right column and the pink color in the merged image shows the proliferating cells.

Fig. 3. Effect of DHEA on cell cycle in primary chicken hepatocytes. (**A**) Control group. (**B**) Hepatocytes treated with 0.1 µM DHEA. (**C**) Hepatocytes treated with 1 µM DHEA. (**D**) Hepatocytes treated with 10 µM DHEA. The cell cycle was evaluated using flow cytometric analysis, and cell cycle distribution was calculated from 10,000 cells with ModFit LTTM software using FACSCaliber. Data are presented as means ± SE from three individual experiments (n=12). ** $P<0.01$, DHEA treated group vs. control group.

Effect of DHEA on succinate dehydrogenase activity in primary chicken hepatocytes

No significant changes were observed on the succinate dehydrogenase activity (SDH) in hepatocytes treated with 0.1 µM DHEA ($P>0.05$), while 1 or 10 µM DHEA treatment significantly increased SDH activity in hepatocytes when compared to the control group ($P<0.05$) (Fig. 7).

Discussion

DHEA is commercially available as a non-prescription nutritional supplement to control body weight gain and inhibit fat accumulation (Legrain *et al*. 2003).

Many studies had found that DHEA administration reduced fat accumulation in chickens (Tang *et al*. 2007, Huang *et al*. 2011), rodents (Sato *et al*. 2012) and humans (Hernandez-Morante *et al*. 2011). In this study, DHEA treatment decreased the numbers and total area of lipid droplet, which indicated that DHEA reduced fat accumulation in primary chicken hepatocytes. DHEA possesses fat-reducing effect, which may be accomplished through multiple mechanisms (De Pergola 2000). The adipocyte hypertrophy and hyperplasia are the main forms of fat deposition in broiler chickens (Butterwith 1997). Therefore, we subsequently investigated the effect of DHEA on cell proliferation and mitochondrial function in primary chicken hepatocytes.

Fig. 4. Effect of DHEA on cell cycle related factors expression level in primary chicken hepatocytes. (**A**) Cyclin A mRNA expression level. (**B**) Cyclin B mRNA expression level. (**C**) CDK2 mRNA expression level. (**D**) Chicken hepatocytes were treated with 0, 0.1, 1 or 10 μM DHEA, and the protein were immunoblotted against cyclin A and CDK2. (**E**) Cyclin A protein expression abundance. (**F**) CDK2 protein expression abundance. Data are presented as means ± SE from three individual experiments (n=12). * $P<0.05$, ** $P<0.01$, DHEA treated group vs. control group.


Fig. 5. Electron micrographs and the number of mitochondria in primary chicken hepatocytes treated with DHEA. (**A**) Electron micrographs. (**B**) The number of mitochondria. After incubation, cell samples were processed by standard techniques for transmission electron microscopy, and ultra-thin sections were observed with magnification ×2,500. Thirty photos were randomly selected from each treatment group, and fifteen independent cells in each photo were counted the number of mitochondria. The results are displayed as the average number of mitochondria per cell in all treatment groups and presented as means ± SE.

Fig. 6. Effect of DHEA on mitochondrial permeability in primary chicken hepatocytes. (**A**) Typical mitochondrial permeability images from chicken hepatocytes treated with DHEA. (**B**) The mitochondrial membrane potential as indicated by the 590/530 nm fluorescence intensity ratio. Data are presented as means ± SE. * $P<0.05$, DHEA treated group vs. control group.


Fig. 7. Effect of DHEA on succinate dehydrogenase activity in primary chicken hepatocytes. The activity of succinate dehydrogenase (SDH) was normalized to the sample protein concentration as determined by a protein assay kit, and expressed as U/mg protein. Data are presented as means ± SE from three individual experiments (n=12). * $P<0.05$, ** $P<0.01$, DHEA treated group vs. control group.

Although there had reported that DHEA possesses anti-proliferate action in many cell types (Dashtaki *et al*. 1998, López-Marure *et al*. 2011), while little is known about its effect on chicken hepatocytes. In the present study, DHEA treatment decreased hepatocytes proliferation in a dose-dependent manner, and this result was consistent with the previous report that DHEA modulates growth factor-induced proliferation in

an age-dependent manner in primary bovine chromaffin cells (Sicard *et al*. 2007). Evidence suggests that the inhibitory effect of DHEA on cell proliferation is associated with the changes in the phases of the cell cycle (Rice *et al*. 2010). Our results showed that DHEA increased the S phase cell population and decreased the G2/M population in hepatocytes, which implied that DHEA inhibits hepatocytes proliferation and causes cell cycle arrest in S phase. In addition, DHEA treatment decreased the cyclin A and CDK2 protein expression abundance in hepatocytes. In eukaryotes, the cell cycle is regulated by cyclins, cyclin-dependent kinases (CDKs) and cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors (CDK1). It had reported that cyclin A/CDK2 are mainly involved in regulating the progression of S phase and cyclin B/CDK1 are involved in regulating the progression of G2/M phase (Han *et al*. 2008). Thus, we speculated that DHEA inhibited hepatocytes proliferation by decreasing the cyclin A and CDK2 protein expression abundance, which finally led the decreasing of fat accumulation in primary chicken hepatocytes.

DHEA has been characterized as a peroxisome proliferator, which directly affects the β-oxidation in rat hepatocytes (Yamada *et al*. 1992). Our previous study found that DHEA caused a significant up-regulation of PPARα mRNA expression in primary chicken hepatocytes (Tang *et al*. 2007). It had reported that DHEA

increased PPARα expression in hepatocytes, and which increased the rate of β-oxidation and decelerated the transport of acetyl-CoA from the mitochondria to the cytosol (Schoonjans *et al.* 1996). Mitochondria are associated with cellular energy metabolism and provided energy for all kinds of life activities. In addition, mitochondria are the main site for the β-oxidation of fatty acid in the body. Previous study reported that DHEA altered the number and configuration of mitochondria in liver of rats (Bellei *et al.* 1992). In this study, no noticeable changes were found on the number of mitochondria in chicken hepatocytes after DHEA treated. The probable explanation for this discrepancy may be attributed to the different cell types and treated time in these studies. The MTT reduction assay detecting cell viability was measured the capacity of NAD(P)H-dependent cellular oxidoreductase enzymes to transform MTT into formazan in living cells (Ferrari *et al.* 1990). The mitochondrial membrane may play an important role in blocking the MTT entrance into the mitochondria. The mitochondrial membrane potential (ΔΨm) was significantly decreased, which indicated that DHEA increased the mitochondrial membrane permeability in chicken hepatocytes. Previous study found that high concentration of DHEA decreased the ΔΨm of kidney cortex mitochondria, and this effect may be due to the opening of non-specific pores (Correa *et al.* 2003). In addition, Liu *et al.* (2016) reported that mitochondrial membrane permeability was significantly increased in Leydig cells treated with DHEA. It had demonstrated that peroxidation of mitochondrial membrane lipids promotes the increasing of membrane permeability (Wang *et al.* 2005). These data may explain, at least partially, DHEA improved chicken hepatocytes viability by increasing mitochondrial membrane permeability. The mitochondrial membrane potential is prerequisite for maintaining mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation and respiratory chain produces ATP by using the proton gradient energy across the membrane (Lin 1999). To further elucidate the effect of DHEA on mitochondrial

functions, the succinate dehydrogenase (SDH) activity, which is the only enzyme that participates in both citric acid cycle and electron transport chain (Rutter *et al.* 2010), were evaluated in this study. Our results showed that DHEA significantly increased the SDH activity in chicken hepatocytes. Previous study showed that DHEA inhibited complex I of the mitochondrial respiratory chain *in vitro* and *in vivo* (Safiulina *et al.* 2000, Safiulina *et al.* 2006), which play a crucial role in ATP production (Davies *et al.* 2011). But, DHEA does not inhibit succinate oxidation (Complex II) (Safiulina *et al.* 2006). It had reported that DHEA primarily affects fatty acid mobilization, resulting in enhanced mitochondrial respiration in chicken (Tang *et al.* 2009a). In addition, our recent research shows that administration of DHEA accelerated glucose catabolism by enhancing some mitochondrial enzyme activity in rats (Kang *et al.* 2016). Based on these reported, we speculated that DHEA enhanced the mitochondrial function may be associated with the increasing of fatty acid β-oxidation in chicken hepatocytes, and this supposition requires further investigation.

Overall, our results demonstrated that DHEA reduced lipid droplet accumulation, and this action mainly achieved *via* inhibiting hepatocytes proliferation and enhancing mitochondrial function in primary chicken hepatocytes. This information is necessary to understand the mechanisms by which DHEA exerts its fat-reducing actions. Certainly, further deeply investigation is required to validate this mechanism more precisely in primary chicken hepatocytes.

Conflict of Interest
There is no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

References

ARNOLD JT, GRAY NE, JACOBOWITZ K, VISWANATHAN L, CHEUNG PW, McFANN KK, LE H, BLACKMAN MR: Human prostate stromal cells stimulate increased PSA production in DHEA-treated prostate cancer epithelial cells. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol* **111**: 240-246, 2008.

BATETTA B, BONATESTA R, SANNA F, PUTZOLU M, PIRAS S, SPANO O, PANI P, DESSI S: Effect of DHEA on cell proliferation, cholesterol metabolism and G6PD gene expression in human G6PD normal and deficient lymphocytes. *Aging Clin and Exp Res* **7**: 483-484, 1995.

BELLEI M, BATTELLI D, FORNIERI C, MORI G, MUSCATELLO U, LARDY H, BOBYLEVA V: Changes in liver structure and function after short-term and long-term treatment of rats with dehydroepiandrosterone. *J Nutr* **122**: 967-976, 1992.

BOXER RS, KLEPPINGER A, BRINDISI J, FEINN R, BURLESON JA, KENNY AM: Effects of dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA) on cardiovascular risk factors in older women with frailty characteristics. *Age Ageing* **39**: 451-458, 2010.

BUTTERWITH SC: Regulators of adipocyte precursor cells. *Poult Sci* **76**: 118-123, 1997.

CHENG ML, SHIAO MS, CHIU DT, WENG SF, TANG HY, HO HY: Biochemical disorders associated with antiproliferative effect of dehydroepiandrosterone in hepatoma cells as revealed by LC-based metabolomics. *Biochem Pharmacol* **82**: 1549-1561, 1997.

CORREA F, GARCÍA N, GARCÍA G, CHÁVEZ E: Dehydroepiandrosterone as an inducer of mitochondrial permeability transition. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol* **87**: 279-284, 2003.

DASHTAKI R, WHORTON AR, MURPHY TM, CHITANO P, REED W, KENNEDY TP: Dehydroepiandrosterone and analogs inhibit DNA binding of AP-1 and airway smooth muscle proliferation. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **285**: 876-883, 1998.

DAVIES KM, STRAUSS M, DAUM B, KIEF JH, OSIEWACZ HD, RYCOVSKA A, ZICKERMANN V, KUÜHLBRANDT W: Macromolecular organization of ATP synthase and complex I in whole mitochondria. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **108**: 14121-14126, 2011.

DE PERGOLA G: The adipose tissue metabolism: role of testosterone and dehydroepiandrosterone. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* **24** (Suppl 2): S59-S63, 2000.

DHARIA SP, FALCONE T: Robotics in reproductive medicine. *Fertil Steril* **84**: 1-11, 2005.

DI MONACO M, PIZZINI A, GATTO V, LEONARDI L, GALLO M, BRIGNARDELLO E, BOCCUZZI G: Role of glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase inhibition in the antiproliferative effects of dehydroepiandrosterone on human breast cancer cells. *Br J Cancer* **75**: 589-592, 1997.

FERRARI M, FORNASIERO MC, ISETTA AM: MTT colorimetric assay for testing macrophage cytotoxic activity in vitro. *J Immunol Methods* **131**: 165-172, 1990.

GIRON RA, MONTANO LF, ESCOBAR ML, LOPEZ-MARURE R: Dehydroepiandrosterone inhibits the proliferation and induces the death of HPV-positive and HPV-negative cervical cancer cells through an androgen- and estrogen-receptor independent mechanism. *FEBS J* **276**: 5598-5609, 2009.

GRIFFIN HD, GUO K, WINDSOR D, BUTTERWITH SC: Adipose tissue lipogenesis and fat deposition in leaner broiler chickens. *J Nutr* **122**: 363-368, 1992.

HAN YH, KIM SZ, KIM SH, PARK WH: Arsenic trioxide inhibits the growth of Calu-6 cells via inducing a G2 arrest of the cell cycle and apoptosis accompanied with the depletion of GSH. *Cancer Lett* **270**: 40-55, 2008.

HANSEN PA, HAN DH, NOLTE LA, CHEN M, HOLLOSZY JO: DHEA protects against visceral obesity and muscle insulin resistance in rats fed a high-fat diet. *Am J Physiol* **273**: R1704-R1708, 1997.

HERNANDEZ-MORANTE JJ, CEREZO D, CRUZ RM, LARQUE E, ZAMORA S, GARAULET M: Dehydroepiandrosterone-sulfate modifies human fatty acid composition of different adipose tissue depots. *Obes Surg* **21**: 102-111, 2011.

HUANG J, RUAN J, TANG X, ZHANG W, MA H, ZOU S: Comparative proteomics and phosphoproteomics analyses of DHEA-induced on hepatic lipid metabolism in broiler chickens. *Steroids* **76**: 1566-1574, 2011.

HUANG J, TANG X, RUAN J, ZHANG W, MA H, ZOU S: Use of comparative proteomics to identify key proteins related to hepatic lipid metabolism in broiler chickens: evidence accounting for differential fat deposition between strains. *Lipids* **45**: 81-89, 2010.

JIANG Y, MIYAZAKI T, HONDA A, HIRAYAMA T, YOSHIDA S, TANAKA N, MATSUZAKI Y: Apoptosis and inhibition of the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/Akt signaling pathway in the anti-proliferative actions of dehydroepiandrosterone. *J Gastroenterol* **40**: 490-497, 2005.

KANG J, GE C, YU L, LI L, MA H: Long-term administration of dehydroepiandrosterone accelerates glucose catabolism via activation of PI3K/Akt-PFK-2 signaling pathway in rats fed a high-fat diet. *PLoS One* **11**: e0159077, 2016.

KENNEDY SW, LORENZEN A, JAMES CA, COLLINS BT: Ethoxyresorufin-O-deethylase and porphyrin analysis in chicken embryo hepatocyte cultures with a fluorescence multiwell plate reader. *Anal Biochem* **211**: 102-112, 1993.

KŁOBUCKI M, GRUDNIEWSKA A, SMUGA DA, SMUGA M, JAROSZ J, WIETRZYK J, MACIEJEWSKA G, WAWRZENCZYK C: Syntheses and antiproliferative activities of novel phosphatidylcholines containing dehydroepiandrosterone moieties. *Steroids* **118**: 109-118, 2017.

KURITA H, MAESHIMA H, KIDA S, MATSUZAKA H, SHIMANO T, NAKANO Y, BATA H, SUZUKI T, ARAI H: Serum dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA) and DHEA-sulfate (S) levels in medicated patients with major depressive disorder compared with controls. *J Affect Disord* **146**: 205-212, 2013.

LÓPEZ-MARURE R, CONTRERAS PG, DILLON JS: Effects of dehydroepiandrosterone on proliferation, migration, and death of breast cancer cells. *Eur J Pharmacol* **660**: 268-274, 2011.

LABRIE F: Intracrinology. *Mol Cell Endocrinol* **78**: C113-C118, 1991.

LABRIE F: Extragonadal synthesis of sex steroids: intracrinology. *Ann Endocrinol* **64**: 95-107, 2003.

LABRIE F, MARTEL C, BÉLANGER A, PELLETIER G: Androgens in women are essentially made from DHEA in each peripheral tissue according to intracrinology. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol* **168**: 9-18, 2017.

LEGRAIN DS, GIRARD L: Pharmacology and therapeutic effects of dehydroepiandrosterone in older subjects. *Drugs Aging* **20**: 949-967, 2003.

LI L, CAO Y, XIE Z, ZHANG Y: A high-concentrate diet induced milk fat decline via glucagon-mediated activation of AMP-activated protein kinase in dairy cows. *Sci Rep* **7**: 44217, 2017.

LI L, PENG M, GE C, YU L, MA H: (-)-Hydroxycitric acid reduced lipid droplets accumulation via decreasing acetyl-coa supply and accelerating energy metabolism in cultured primary chicken hepatocytes. *Cell Physiol Biochem* **43**: 812-831, 2017.

LIN Q: Mitochondria and apoptosis. *Science* **31**: 116-118, 1999.

LIU L, WANG D, LI L, DING X, MA H: Dehydroepiandrosterone inhibits cell proliferation and improves viability by regulating S phase and mitochondrial permeability in primary rat Leydig cells. *Mol Med Rep* **14**: 705-714, 2016.

MACIEL EN, VERCESI AE, CASTILHO RF: Oxidative stress in Ca(2+)-induced membrane permeability transition in brain mitochondria. *J Neurochem* **79**: 1237-1245, 2001.

RICE SPL, ZHANG L, GRENNAN-JONES F, AGARWALL N, LEWIS MD, REES DA, LUDGATE M: Dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA) treatment in vitro inhibits adipogenesis in human omental but not subcutaneous adipose tissue. *Mol Cell Endocrinol* **320**: 51-57, 2010.

RUTTER J, WINGE DR, SCHIFFMAN JD: Succinate dehydrogenase – Assembly, regulation and role in human disease. *Mitochondrion* **10**: 393-401, 2010.

SAFIULINA D, PEET N, SEPPET E, ZHARKOVSKY A, KAASIK A: Dehydroepiandrosterone inhibits complex I of the mitochondrial respiratory chain and is neurotoxic and at high concentrations. *Toxicol Sci* **93**: 348-356, 2006.

SATO K, IEMITSU M, AIZAWA K, MESAKI N, AJISAKA R, FUJITA S: DHEA administration and exercise training improves insulin resistance in obese rats. *Nutr Metab* **9**: 47-53, 2012.

SCHOONJANS K, STAELS B, AUWERX J: The peroxisome proliferator activated receptors (PPARS) and their effects on lipid metabolism and adipocyte differentiation. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1302**: 93-109, 1996.

SICARD F, EHRHARTBORNSTEIN M, CORBEIL D, SPERBER S, KRUG AW, ZIEGLER CG, RETTORI V, MCCANN SM, BORNSTEIN SR: Age-dependent regulation of chromaffin cell proliferation by growth factors, dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA), and DHEA sulfate. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **104**: 2007-2012, 2007.

SOMA KK, RENDON NM, BOONSTRA R, ALBERS HE, DEMAS GE: DHEA effects on brain and behavior: insights from comparative studies of aggression. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol* **145**: 261-272, 2015.

SOUZA-TEODORO LH, DE OLIVEIRA C, WALTERS K, CARVALHO LA: Higher serum dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate protects against the onset of depression in the elderly: Findings from the English Longitudinal Study of Aging (ELSA). *Psychoneuroendocrinology* **64**: 40-46, 2016.

STROBER W: Trypan blue exclusion test of cell viability. *Curr Protoc Immunol* **111**: A3.B.1-3, 2015.

SWIERCZYNSKI J, MAYER D: Dehydroepiandrosterone-induced lipid peroxidation in rat liver mitochondria. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol* **58**: 599-603, 1996.

TANG X, MA H, ZOU S, CHEN W: Effects of dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA) on hepatic lipid metabolism parameters and lipogenic gene mRNA expression in broiler chickens. *Lipids* **42**: 1025-1033, 2007.

TANG X, MA H, HUANG G, MIAO J, ZOU S: The effect of dehydroepiandrosterone on lipogenic gene mRNA expression in cultured primary chicken hepatocytes. *Eur J Lipid Sci Tech* **111**: 432-441, 2009a.

TANG X, MA H, SHEN Z, XU X, LIN C: Dehydroepiandrosterone activates cyclic adenosine 3',5'-monophosphate/protein kinase A signalling and suppresses sterol regulatory element-binding protein-1 expression in cultured primary chicken hepatocytes. *Br J Nutr* **102**: 680-686, 2009b.

VACHERON-TRYSTRAM MN, CHEREF S, GAUILLARD J, PLAS J: A case report of mania precipitated by use of DHEA. (In French) *Encephale* **28**: 563-566, 2002.

WANG XJ, WANG ZB, XU JX: Effect of salvianic acid A on lipid peroxidation and membrane permeability in mitochondria. *J Ethnopharmacol* **97**: 441-445, 2005.

YAMADA J, SAKUMA M, SUGA T: Induction of peroxisomal beta-oxidation enzymes by dehydroepiandrosterone and its sulfate in primary cultures of rat hepatocytes. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1137**: 231-236, 1992.

YOSHIDA S, HONDA A, MATSUZAKI Y, FUKUSHIMA S, TANAKA N, TAKAGIWA A, FUJIMOTO Y, MIYAZAKI H, SALEN G: Anti-proliferative action of endogenous dehydroepiandrosterone metabolites on human cancer cell lines. *Steroids* **68**: 73-83, 2003.

ZAPATA E, VENTURA JL, DE LA CRUZ K, RODRIGUEZ E, DAMIAN P, MASSO F, MONTANO LF, LOPEZ-MARURE R: Dehydroepiandrosterone inhibits the proliferation of human umbilical vein endothelial cells by enhancing the expression of p53 and p21, restricting the phosphorylation of retinoblastoma protein, and is androgen- and estrogen-receptor independent. *FEBS J* **272**: 1343-1353, 2005.

ZHAO S, MA H, ZOU S, CHEN W: Effects of in ovo administration of DHEA on lipid metabolism and hepatic lipogenetic genes expression in broiler chickens during embryonic development. *Lipids* **42**: 749-757, 2007.