## ON SOME SINGULAR SYSTEMS OF PARABOLIC FUNCTIONAL EQUATIONS

LÁSZLÓ SIMON, Budapest

(Received October 15, 2009)

Abstract. We will prove existence of weak solutions of a system, containing non-local terms u, w.

Keywords: parabolic functional equation, singular system, monotone type operator  $MSC\ 2010$ : 35R10

## 1. Introduction

We will consider initial-boundary value problems for the system

(1.1) 
$$D_{t}u - \sum_{i=1}^{n} D_{i}[a_{i}(t, x, u(t, x), Du(t, x) + g(w(t, x))Dw(t, x); u, w)] + a_{0}(t, x, u(t, x), Du(t, x) + g(w(t, x))Dw(t, x); u, w) = G,$$
(1.2) 
$$D_{t}w = F(t, x; u, w) \text{ in } Q_{T} = (0, T) \times \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, T \in (0, \infty)$$

where the functions

$$a_i \colon Q_T \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times L^{p_1}(0, T; V_1) \times L^2(Q_T) \to \mathbb{R}$$

(with a closed linear subspace  $V_1$  of the Sobolev space  $W^{1,p_1}(\Omega)$ ,  $2 \leq p_1 < \infty$ ) satisfy conditions which are generalizations of the usual conditions for quasilinear parabolic differential equations considered when using the theory of monotone type operators. Further,

$$F: Q_T \times L^{p_1}(0,T;V_1) \times L^2(Q_T) \to \mathbb{R}$$

This work was supported by the Hungarian National Foundation for Scienific Research under grant OTKA T 049819.

satisfies a Lipschitz condition. In the second part of the paper the case g=0 and in the third part the general case will be considered.

Such problems with g=0 arise, e.g., when considering diffusion and transport in porous media with variable porosity, see [4], [6]. In [6] a nonlinear system was numerically studied which consisted of a parabolic, an elliptic and an ordinary DE, describing the reaction-mineralogy-porosity changes in porous media. System (1.1), (1.2) is the case when the pressure is assumed to be constant. The case of general g was motivated by non-Fickian diffusion in viscoelastic polymers and by spread of morphogens (see [7], [8]). In [2], [5] similar degenerate systems of parabolic differential equations were considered without functional dependence and with more special differential equations, by using other methods.

2. Case 
$$g = 0$$

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a bounded domain having the uniform  $C^1$  regularity property (see [1]) and let  $p_1 \geqslant 2$  be a real number. Denote by  $W^{1,p_1}(\Omega)$  the usual Sobolev space of real valued functions with the norm

$$||u|| = \left[ \int_{\Omega} (|Du|^{p_1} + |u|^{p_1}) \right]^{1/p_1}.$$

Let  $V_1 \subset W^{1,p_1}(\Omega)$  be a closed linear subspace containing  $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ . Denote by  $L^{p_1}(0,T;V_1)$  the Banach space of the set of measurable functions  $u\colon (0,T)\to V_1$  such that  $\|u\|_{V_1}^p$  is integrable, and define the norm by

$$||u||_{L^{p_1}(0,T;V_1)}^{p_1} = \int_0^T ||u(t)||_{V_1}^{p_1} dt.$$

For the sake of brevity we denote  $L^{p_1}(0,T;V_1)$  by  $X_1^T$ . The dual space of  $X_1^T$  is  $L^{q_1}(0,T;V_1^*)$  where  $1/p_1 + 1/q_1 = 1$  and  $V_1^*$  is the dual space of  $V_1$  (see, e.g., [10], [11]). Further, let  $X^T = X_1^T \times L^2(Q_T)$ .

On functions  $a_i$  we assume:

- (A<sub>1</sub>) The functions  $a_i: Q_T \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times X^T \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfy the Carathéodory conditions for arbitrary fixed  $(u, w) \in X_T$  (i = 0, 1, ..., n).
- (A<sub>2</sub>) There exist bounded (nonlinear) operators  $g_1\colon X^T\to\mathbb{R}^+$  and  $k_1\colon X^T\to L^{q_1}(Q_T)$  such that

$$|a_i(t, x, \zeta_0, \zeta; u, w)| \le g_1(u, w)[|\zeta_0|^{p_1 - 1} + |\zeta|^{p_1 - 1}] + [k_1(u, w)](t, x), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n$$

for a.e.  $(t, x) \in Q_T$ , every  $(\zeta_0, \zeta) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  and  $(u, w) \in X^T$ .

(A<sub>3</sub>) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} [a_i(t, x, \zeta_0, \zeta; u, w) - a_i(t, x, \zeta_0, \zeta^*; u, w)](\zeta_i - \zeta_i^*)$$
 
$$\geqslant [g_2(u)](t)|\zeta - \zeta^*|^{p_1}, \quad t \in (0, T]$$

where

(2.1) 
$$[g_2(u)](t) \geqslant \frac{c_2}{1 + ||u||_{X_*^t}^{\sigma}}$$

with some constants  $c_2 > 0$ ,  $0 \le \sigma < p_1 - 1$ .

(A<sub>4</sub>) There exists a (nonlinear) operator  $k_2 \colon X^T \to L^1(Q_T)$  such that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i(t, x, \zeta_0, \zeta; u, w)\zeta_i \geqslant [g_2(u)](t)[|\zeta_0|^{p_1} + |\zeta|^{p_1}] - [k_2(u, w)](t, x)$$

for a.e.  $(t, x) \in Q_T$ , all  $(\zeta_0, \zeta) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ ,  $(u, w) \in X^T$  and

with some nonnegative constants  $\lambda < p_1 - \sigma$ ,  $\mu < 2$ .

(A<sub>5</sub>) There exists  $\delta \in (0,1]$  such that if  $(u_k) \to u$  in  $L^{p_1}(0,T;W^{1-\delta,p_1}(\Omega))$ , a.e. in  $Q_T, (\zeta_0^k) \to \zeta_0, (w_k) \to w$  weakly in  $L^2(Q_T)$  then for  $i=0,1,\ldots,n$ , a.e.  $(t,x) \in Q_T$ , and all  $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^n$  we have

$$a_i(t, x, \zeta_0^k, \zeta; u_k, w_k) - a_i(t, x, \zeta_0, \zeta; u_k, w) \to 0, \ k_1(u_k, w_k) \to k_1(u, w) \text{ in } L^1(Q_T).$$

(See (A<sub>1</sub>).) Further, if conditions  $(\zeta^k) \to \zeta$ ,  $(w_k) \to w$  a.e. in  $Q_T$  are satisfied, too, then

$$a_i(t, x, \zeta_0^k, \zeta^k; u_k, w_k) \rightarrow a_i(t, x, \zeta_0, \zeta; u, w), \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

for a.e.  $(t, x) \in Q_T$  and

$$a_0(t, x, \zeta_0^k, \zeta^k; u_k, w_k) \rightarrow a_0(t, x, \zeta_0, \zeta; u, w)$$

for a.e.  $(t,x) \in Q_T$ , in the last case assuming also that  $(Du_k) \to Du$  a.e. in  $Q_T$ .

Assumptions on  $F: Q_T \times \mathbb{R} \times X^T \to \mathbb{R}$ :

- (F<sub>1</sub>) For each fixed  $(u, w) \in X^T$ ,  $F(\cdot, u; u, w) \in L^2(Q_T)$ .
- (F<sub>2</sub>) F satisfies the following (global) Lipschitz condition: there exists a constant K such that for each  $t \in (0, T]$ ,  $(u, \tilde{w})$ ,  $(u, \tilde{w}^*) \in X^T$  we have

$$(2.3) \quad \int_{Q_t} e^{-2c\tau} |F(\tau, x, \tilde{w}(\tau, x)e^{c\tau}; u, \tilde{w}e^{ct}) - F(\tau, x, \tilde{w}^*(\tau, x)e^{c\tau}; u, \tilde{w}^*e^{ct})|^2 d\tau dx$$

$$\leq K \int_{Q_t} |\tilde{w}(\tau, x) - \tilde{w}^*(\tau, x)|^2 d\tau dx$$

for each positive number c. Further, there is a constant  $K_0$  such that

$$\int_{Q_T} |F(t, x, 0; u, 0)|^2 dt dx \leqslant K_0(||u||_{L^{p_1}(0, T; W^{1-\delta, p_1}(\Omega))}^{\lambda} + 1).$$

(F<sub>3</sub>) If  $(u_k) \to u$  in  $L^{p_1}(0,T;W^{1-\delta,p_1}(\Omega))$ , a.e. in  $Q_T$ ,  $(\eta_k) \to \eta$  and  $(w_k) \to w$  in  $L^2(Q_T)$ , a.e. in  $Q_T$ , then for a.e.  $(t,x) \in Q_T$ 

$$F(t, x, \eta_k; u_k, w_k) \rightarrow F(t, x, \eta; u, w).$$

Remark. A sufficient condition for (2.3) to hold is the following inequality:

$$\int_{\Omega} |F(\tau, x, w(\tau, x); u, w) - F(\tau, x, w^{*}(\tau, x); u, w^{*})|^{2} dx$$

$$\leq K_{1} \int_{Q_{\tau}} |w(s, x) - w^{*}(s, x)|^{2} ds dx$$

$$+ K_{2} \int_{\Omega} |w(\gamma(\tau), x) - w^{*}(\gamma(\tau), x)|^{2} dx, \ \tau \in (0, T)$$

with some constants  $K_1$ ,  $K_2$  and a function  $\gamma \in C^1$  satisfying  $\gamma' > 0$ ,  $0 \leqslant \gamma(\tau) \leqslant \tau$ .

**Definition.** We define an operator  $A = (A_1, A_2): X^T \to (X^T)^*$  by

$$\begin{split} [A(u,w),(v,z)] &= [A_1(u,w),v] + [A_2(u,w),z], \\ [A_1(u,w),v] &= \int_{Q_T} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(t,x,u(t,x),Du(t,x);u,w)D_iv \,\mathrm{d}t \,\mathrm{d}x \\ &+ \int_{Q_T} a_0(t,x,u(t,x),Du(t,x);u,w)v \,\mathrm{d}t \,\mathrm{d}x, \\ [A_2(u,w),z] &= \int_{Q_T} F(t,x,w(t,x);u,w)z \,\mathrm{d}t \,\mathrm{d}x, \end{split}$$

 $(u,w),(v,z)\in X^T$ , where the brackets  $[\cdot,\cdot]$  mean the dualities in spaces  $(X^T)^\star,X^T$ ,  $(X_1^T)^\star,X_1^T,$   $[L^2(Q_T)]^\star,$   $[L^2(Q_T)]$ , respectively.

**Theorem 2.1.** Assume  $(A_1)$ – $(A_5)$  and  $(F_1)$ – $(F_3)$ . Then for any  $G \in (X_1^T)^*$ ,  $H \in L^2(Q_T)$  there exists  $(u, w) \in X^T$  such that  $D_t u \in (X_1^T)^*$ ,  $D_t w \in L^2(Q_T)$ ,

(2.4) 
$$D_t u + A_1(u, w) = G, \quad u(0) = 0,$$

(2.5) 
$$D_t w + A_2(u, w) = H, \quad w(0) = 0.$$

Sketch of the proof. Define a new unknown function  $\tilde{w}$  (instead of w) by

$$\tilde{w}(t,x) = w(t,x)e^{-ct}$$
, i.e.  $w(t,x) = \tilde{w}(t,x)e^{ct}$ 

with constant c > 0. Further, define a function  $\widetilde{F}$  and operators  $\widetilde{A}_1, \widetilde{A}_2$  by

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{F}(t,x,\eta;u,\tilde{w}) &= \mathrm{e}^{-ct} F(t,x,\eta \mathrm{e}^{ct};u,\tilde{w} \mathrm{e}^{ct}) + c\eta, \\ \left[\widetilde{A}_1(u,\tilde{w}),v\right] &= \left[A_1(u,w),v\right] = \int_{Q_T} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(t,x,u(t,x),Du(t,x);u,w) D_i v \,\mathrm{d}t \,\mathrm{d}x \\ &+ \int_{Q_T} a_0(t,x,u(t,x),Du(t,x);u,w) v \,\mathrm{d}t \,\mathrm{d}x, \\ \left[\widetilde{A}_2(u,\tilde{w}),z\right] &= \int_{Q_T} \widetilde{F}(t,x,\tilde{w}(t,x);u,\tilde{w}) z \,\mathrm{d}t \,\mathrm{d}x \\ &= \int_{Q_T} \mathrm{e}^{-ct} F(t,x,\tilde{w}(t,x) \mathrm{e}^{ct};u,\tilde{w} \mathrm{e}^{ct}) z \,\mathrm{d}t \,\mathrm{d}x + c \int_{Q_T} \tilde{w} z \,\mathrm{d}t \,\mathrm{d}x. \end{split}$$

Clearly, (u, w) is a solution of (2.4), (2.5) if and only if  $(u, \tilde{w})$  satisfies

(2.6) 
$$D_t u + \widetilde{A}_1(u, \tilde{w}) = G, \quad u(0) = 0,$$

(2.7) 
$$D_t \tilde{w} + \tilde{A}_2(u, \tilde{w}) = e^{-ct} H = \tilde{H}, \quad \tilde{w}(0) = 0.$$

By  $(A_1)$ – $(A_5)$ ,  $(F_1)$ ,  $(F_2)$  the operator  $\widetilde{A} \colon X^T \to (X^T)^*$  is bounded and demicontinuous (see [10], [11]).

By (F<sub>2</sub>),  $\widetilde{A}_2$  is monotone for sufficiently large c > 0), thus, by using (A<sub>1</sub>)–(A<sub>5</sub>), one can show that  $\widetilde{A}$  is pseudomonotone with respect to the domain of  $L = D_t$ :

$$D(L) = \{(u, \tilde{w}) \in X^T : (D_t u, D_t \tilde{w}) \in (X^T)^*, \quad u(0) = 0, \quad \tilde{w}(0) = 0\},$$

i.e.

(2.8) 
$$(u_k, \tilde{w}_k) \to (u, \tilde{w}) \text{ weakly in } X^T,$$
  
 $(Lu_k, L\tilde{w}_k) \to (Lu, L\tilde{w}) \text{ weakly in } (X^T)^*,$ 

and

(2.9) 
$$\limsup_{k \to \infty} [\widetilde{A}(u_k, \tilde{w}_k), (u_k, \tilde{w}_k) - (u, \tilde{w})] \leq 0$$

imply

(2.10) 
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} [\widetilde{A}(u_k, \tilde{w}_k), (u_k, \tilde{w}_k) - (u, \tilde{w})] = 0$$

and

(2.11) 
$$\widetilde{A}(u_k, \widetilde{w}_k) \to \widetilde{A}(u, \widetilde{w})$$
 weakly in  $(X^T)^*$ .

Because, by (2.8)

(2.12) 
$$(u_k) \to u$$
 in  $L^p(0,T;W^{1-\delta,p}(\Omega))$  and a.e. in  $Q_T$ 

for a subsequence (again denoted by  $(u_k)$ , for simplicity), see, e.g., [10]. We may choose the number c > 0 such that c > K (see  $(F_2)$ ). We have

$$(2.13) \ [\widetilde{A}_{2}(u_{k}, \tilde{w}_{k}), \tilde{w}_{k} - \tilde{w}] = [\widetilde{A}_{2}(u_{k}, \tilde{w}_{k}) - \widetilde{A}_{2}(u_{k}, \tilde{w}), \tilde{w}_{k} - \tilde{w}]$$

$$+ [\widetilde{A}_{2}(u_{k}, \tilde{w}) - \widetilde{A}_{2}(u, \tilde{w}), \tilde{w}_{k} - \tilde{w}] + [\widetilde{A}_{2}(u, \tilde{w}), \tilde{w}_{k} - \tilde{w}]$$

where by c > K, (F<sub>2</sub>) the first term on the right hand side is nonnegative, the second term tends to 0 by (2.12), (F<sub>2</sub>), (F<sub>3</sub>), Vitali's theorem, and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, while, finally, the third term converges to 0 by (2.8). Thus (2.9), (2.13) imply (for a subsequence)

(2.14) 
$$\limsup_{k \to \infty} [\widetilde{A}_1(u_k, \widetilde{w}_k), u_k - u] \leq 0.$$

By using  $(A_2)$ ,  $(A_3)$ ,  $(A_5)$ , Vitali's theorem and Hölder's inequality, one obtains from (2.8), (2.14)

(2.15) 
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} [\widetilde{A}_1(u_k, \tilde{w}_k), u_k - u)] = 0,$$

hence by  $(A_3)$  one obtains for a subsequence

(2.16) 
$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \int_{Q_T} |Du_k - Du|^{p_1} dt dx = 0, \text{ thus } (Du_k) \to Du \text{ a.e. in } Q_T.$$

Further, by (2.15), (2.9), (2.13)

(2.17) 
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} [\tilde{A}_2(u_k, \tilde{w}_k), \tilde{w}_k - \tilde{w})] = 0,$$

thus by assumption  $(F_2)$  and due to c > K (for a subsequence)

(2.18) 
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{Q_T} |\tilde{w}_k - \tilde{w}|^2 dt dx \text{ and so } (\tilde{w}_k) \to \tilde{w} \text{ a.e. in } Q_T.$$

Consequently, from  $(A_5)$ , (2.12), (2.16) one obtains (by using Vitali's theorem)

(2.19) 
$$\widetilde{A}_1(u_k, \widetilde{w}_k) \to \widetilde{A}_1(u, \widetilde{w})$$
 weakly in  $L^q(0, T; V_1^*)$ .

128

Similarly, (2.18),  $(F_2)$ ,  $(F_3)$  imply

(2.20) 
$$\widetilde{A}_2(u_k, \widetilde{w}_k) \to \widetilde{A}_2(u, \widetilde{w})$$
 weakly in  $L^2(Q_T)$ .

Thus (2.19), (2.20) imply (2.11) for a subsequence. Finally, (2.15), (2.17) imply (2.10) (for a subsequence). One can prove in the standard way that the last facts imply (2.10), (2.11) for the original sequence.

Finally, by (A<sub>4</sub>), (F<sub>2</sub>) (for sufficiently large c > 0),  $\widetilde{A}$  is coercive:

$$\lim_{\|(u,\tilde{w})\|_{X^T}\to\infty}\frac{[\widetilde{A}(u,\tilde{w}),(u,\tilde{w})]}{\|u\|+\|\tilde{w}\|}=+\infty.$$

Since  $\widetilde{A} \colon X^T \to (X^T)^*$  is bounded, demicontinuous, pseudomonotone with respect to D(L) and coercive, we obtain the existence of a solution  $(u, \widetilde{w})$  of (2.6), (2.7) and thus the existence of a solution (u, w) of (2.4), (2.5). (See, e.g. [3], [10].)

Example. Conditions  $(A_1)$ – $(A_5)$  are satisfied if e.g.

$$a_i(t, x, \zeta_i, \zeta; u, w) = b(H(u))\zeta_i|\zeta|^{p_1-2}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$
  
$$a_0(t, x, \zeta_i, \zeta; u, w) = b(H(u))\zeta_0|\zeta_0|^{p_1-2} + b_0(F_0(u)) + b_1(F_1(w))$$

where  $b, b_0, b_1$  are continuous functions satisfying with some positive constants  $c_3, c_4, c_5$  the inequalities

$$b(\theta) \geqslant \frac{c_3}{1 + |\theta|^{\sigma}} \quad (0 \leqslant \sigma < p_1 - 1),$$

$$|b_0(\theta)| \leqslant c_4(|\theta|^{\lambda - 1} + 1) \text{ where } 1 \leqslant \lambda < p_1 - \sigma,$$

$$|b_1(\theta)| \leqslant c_5(|\theta|^{\mu_1 - 1} + 1) \text{ where } 0 \leqslant \mu_1 < 2 - 2/p_1$$

and

$$H: L^{p_1}(0,T;W^{1-\delta,p_1}(\Omega)) \to C(\overline{Q_T}),$$
  
 $F_0: L^{p_1}(0,T;W^{1-\delta,p_1}(\Omega)) \to L^{p_1}(Q_T), \quad F_1: L^2(Q_T) \to \mathbb{R}$ 

are linear continuous operators. If b is between two positive constants, H may be the same as  $F_0$ .

Conditions  $(F_1)$ – $(F_3)$  are satisfied if e.g.

$$F(t, x, \eta; u, w) = \beta(\eta)\gamma_1(H_1(u)) + \gamma_2(H_2(u))\delta(G(w)) + \gamma_3(H_3(u))$$

where  $\beta, \delta$  are globally Lipschitz functions,  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2$  are continuous and bounded,  $\gamma_3$  is continuous and satisfies

$$|\gamma_3(\theta)| \leqslant c_5 |\theta|^{\lambda/2} + c_6$$

with some constants  $c_5$ ,  $c_6$ , and

$$G: L^2(Q_T) \to L^2(Q_T), \quad H_1, H_2: L^{p_1}(0, T; W^{1-\delta, p_1}(\Omega)) \to L^{p_1}(Q_T),$$
  
 $H_3: L^{p_1}(0, T; W^{1-\delta, p_1}(\Omega)) \to L^2(Q_T)$ 

are continuous linear operators such that G satisfies for all  $w \in L^2(Q_T)$ 

$$\int_{\Omega} |[G(w)](\tau,x)|^2 dx \leqslant K_1 \int_{Q_{\tau}} |w(s,x)|^2 ds dx + K_2 \int_{\Omega} |w(\gamma(s),x)|^2 dx$$

where  $\gamma \in C^1$ ,  $\gamma' > 0$ ,  $\gamma(s) \leqslant s$ .

3. Case 
$$q \neq 0$$

Now we shall consider equations (1.1), (1.2) with a bounded, continuous function g. This problem will be transformed to the case g = 0, considered in Theorem 2.1. Let  $f = \int g$ , f(0) = 0,  $p_1 = p > 2$ .

Define

$$\widetilde{X}^T = L^p(0, T; W^{1,p}(\Omega)) \times L^p(0, T; W^{1,p}(\Omega))$$

and an operator  $A_1 \colon \widetilde{X}_T \to (X_1^T)^*$  for  $(u, w) \in \widetilde{X}^T$ ,  $v \in X_1^T$  by

$$[A_1(u, w), v] = \int_{Q_T} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(t, x, u, Du + g(w)Dw; u, w)D_i v \right\} dt dx$$
$$+ \int_{Q_T} a_0(t, x, u(t, x), Du(t, x) + g(w(t, x))Dw(t, x); u, w)v dt dx.$$

Further, assume

(F<sub>4</sub>) F has the form  $F(t,x;u,w)=F_1(t,x,[h(u)](t,x),w(t,x))$  where  $F_1$  is continuously differentiable with respect to the last three variables, the partial derivatives are bounded and either h(u)=u or  $h\colon L^p(Q_T)\to L^p(0,T;W^{1,p}(\Omega))$  is a continuous linear operator such that  $h(u)\in L^p(0,T;C^1(\overline{\Omega}))$  for all  $u\in L^p(Q_T)$  and the following estimate holds for any  $\tau\in[0,T]$  with a suitable constant:

$$\int_{\Omega} |[h(u)](\tau, x)|^2 dx \leqslant \text{const } \int_{Q_{\tau}} |u(s, x)|^2 ds dx.$$

Further, there exists a constant  $c_0 > 0$  such that

$$F_1(t, x, \zeta_0, \eta)\eta < 0$$
 if  $|\eta| \geqslant c_0$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** Assume that  $(A_1)$ – $(A_5)$  and  $(F_1)$ – $(F_4)$  are satisfied with  $p_1 = p > 2$ ,  $\delta = 1$ ,  $\sigma such that for the operators <math>g_1, k_1, g_2, k_2$  in  $(A_2)$ – $(A_4)$  we have

$$g_1(u,w)^q \leqslant \text{const } g_2(u,w), \quad k_1(u,w)^q \leqslant \text{const } k_2(u,w) \text{ if } w(t,x) \leqslant c_0 \text{ a.e.}$$

Further, let g be a bounded, continuous function. Then for any  $G \in (X_1^T)^*$  there exists  $(u, w) \in \widetilde{X}^T$  such that  $u + f(w) \in L^p(0, T; V_1)$ ,

$$D_t u + D_t[f(w)] \in (X_1^T)^*, \quad D_t w \in L^2(Q_T),$$

$$(3.1) \qquad D_t u + A_1(u, w) = G, \quad u(0) = 0.$$

(3.2) 
$$D_t w = F(t, x; u, w)$$
 for a.e.  $(t, x) \in Q_T$ ,  $w(0) = w$ .

Sketch of the proof. Instead of u introduce a new unknown function  $\tilde{u}$  by

(3.3) 
$$\tilde{u}(t,x) = u(t,x) + f(w(t,x))$$
 (where  $f = \int g, f(0) = 0$ ).

By using the formulas

(3.4) 
$$D_t \tilde{u} = D_t u + f'(w) D_t w, \quad D\tilde{u} = Du + f'(w) Dw$$

we obtain that  $(u,w) \in \widetilde{X}^T$  is a solution of (3.1), (3.2) if and only if  $(\widetilde{u},w) \in \widetilde{X}^T$  satisfies

$$(3.5) D_t \tilde{u} + \tilde{A}_1(\tilde{u}, w) = G, \quad \tilde{u}(0) = 0,$$

(3.6) 
$$D_t w = F(t, x; \tilde{u} - f(w), w), \quad w(0) = 0$$

where

$$\begin{split} & [\widetilde{A}_{1}(\tilde{u},w),v] \\ & = \int_{Q_{T}} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}(t,x,\tilde{u}(t,x) - f(w(t,x)), D\tilde{u}(t,x); \tilde{u} - f(w), w) D_{i}v \right\} \mathrm{d}t \, \mathrm{d}x \\ & + \int_{Q_{T}} \left\{ a_{0}(t,x,\tilde{u} - f(w), D\tilde{u}; \tilde{u} - f(w), w) - f'(w) F(t,x; \tilde{u} - f(w), w) \right\} v \, \mathrm{d}t \, \mathrm{d}x. \end{split}$$

One can show that by Theorem 2.1 there is a solution  $(\tilde{u}, w) \in X^T$  of (3.5), (3.6) (such that  $D_t w \in L^2(Q_T)$ ). Then one proves that  $w \in L^p(0, T; W^{1,p}(\Omega))$ , hence  $(\tilde{u}, w) \in \tilde{X}^T$  and thus with  $u = \tilde{u} - f(w)$ , (u, w) satisfies (3.1), (3.2).

## References

- R. A. Adams: Sobolev Spaces. Academic Press, New York, 1975.
   H. Amann: Highly degenerate quasilinear parabolic systems. Ann. Sc. Norm. Super.
- Pisa, Cl. Sci., IV. Ser. 18 (1991), 136–166.
  [3] J. Berkovits, V. Mustonen: Topological degrees for perturbations of linear maximal
- [3] J. Berkovits, V. Mustonen: Topological degrees for perturbations of linear maximal monotone mappings and applications to a class of parabolic problems. Rend. Mat. Appl. VII. 12 (1992), 597–621.
- [4] S. Cinca: Diffusion und Transport in porösen Medien bei veränderlichen Porosität. Diplomawork, Univ. Heidelberg, 2000.
- [5] Bei Hu, Jianhua Zhang: Global existence for a class of non-Fickian polymer-penetrant systems. J. Part. Diff. Eq. 9 (1996), 193–208.
- [6] J. D. Logan, M. R. Petersen, T. S. Shores: Numerical study of reaction-mineralogy-porosity changes in porous media. Appl. Math. Comput. 127 (2002), 149–164.
- [7] J. H. Merkin, D. J. Needham, B. D. Sleeman: A mathematical model for the spread of morphogens with density dependent chemosensitivity. Nonlinearity 18 (2005), 2745–2773.
- [8] B. Rivière, S. Shaw: Discontinuous Galerkin finite element approximation of nonlinear non-Fickian diffusion in viscoelastic polymers. SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 44 (2006), 2650–2670.
- [9] L. Simon, W. Jäger: On non-uniformly parabolic functional differential equations. Stud. Sci. Math. Hung. 45 (2008), 285–300.
- [10] L. Simon: Application of monotone type operators to parabolic and functional parabolic PDE's. Handbook of Differential Equations. Evolutionary Equations, Vol. 4, Elsevier, 2008, pp. 267–321.
- [11] E. Zeidler: Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Its Applications II A and II B. Springer, 1990.

Author's address: László Simon, L. Eötvös University, Budapest, Hungary, 1111 Pázmány P. sétány 1/C, e-mail: simonl@ludens.elte.hu.