

From Generic Differentiability to Galvin's Conjecture

Stevo Todorčević

University of Toronto, CNRS Paris, Matematički Institut SANU

16th Eduard Čech Lecture: Prague, December 3, 2019

Outline

1. Generic differentiability
2. The Radon-Nikodym property
3. Fragmentability and σ -fragmentability
4. Generic continuity
5. Universal meagerness
6. Mazur's ordinal
7. Sierpinski's equivalence
8. Galvin's conjecture
9. Ideas from the proof
10. Things left to do

Generic differentiability

Let U be a nonempty open convex subset of a Banach space X . A convex continuous function $\varphi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *Fréchet differentiable* at $x \in U$ if there is $f \in X^*$ such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi(x + th) - \varphi(x)}{t} = f(h)$$

uniformly on $\|h\| = 1$. We write $f = \varphi'(x)$.

Generic differentiability

Let U be a nonempty open convex subset of a Banach space X . A convex continuous function $\varphi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *Fréchet differentiable* at $x \in U$ if there is $f \in X^*$ such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi(x + th) - \varphi(x)}{t} = f(h)$$

uniformly on $\|h\| = 1$. We write $f = \varphi'(x)$.

Theorem (Asplund 1968, Lindenstrauss 1962)

If a Banach space X has separable dual then every continuous convex function $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Fréchet differentiable at every point of a dense G_δ -subset of X .

Generic differentiability

Let U be a nonempty open convex subset of a Banach space X . A convex continuous function $\varphi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *Fréchet differentiable* at $x \in U$ if there is $f \in X^*$ such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi(x + th) - \varphi(x)}{t} = f(h)$$

uniformly on $\|h\| = 1$. We write $f = \varphi'(x)$.

Theorem (Asplund 1968, Lindenstrauss 1962)

If a Banach space X has separable dual then every continuous convex function $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Fréchet differentiable at every point of a dense G_δ -subset of X .

When $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the conclusion of this theorem, we say φ is *generically Fréchet differentiable*.

Radon-Nikodym property

Radon-Nikodym property

We say that a Banach space X has the *Radon-Nikodym property* if for every finite measure space (S, Σ, μ) and every vector measure $\gamma : (S, \Sigma) \rightarrow X$ of bounded variation with $\gamma \ll \mu$ there is a Bochner integrable function $g : S \rightarrow X$ such that

$$\gamma(E) = \int_E g \, d\mu \quad \text{for all } E \in \Sigma.$$

Radon-Nikodym property

We say that a Banach space X has the *Radon-Nikodym property* if for every finite measure space (S, Σ, μ) and every vector measure $\gamma : (S, \Sigma) \rightarrow X$ of bounded variation with $\gamma \ll \mu$ there is a Bochner integrable function $g : S \rightarrow X$ such that

$$\gamma(E) = \int_E g \, d\mu \quad \text{for all } E \in \Sigma.$$

Theorem (Namioka-Phelps 1975, Stegall 1975)

The following are equivalent for a Banach space X :

- 1. Every continuous convex real function on X is generically Fréchet differentiable.*
- 2. The dual space X^* has the Radon-Nikodym property.*
- 3. The weak* topology of every bounded subset of X^* is fragmented by the norm.*

Fragmentability

Fragmentability

A topological space (X, τ) is *fragmented by* a pseudo-metric ρ on X , if for every nonempty subset A of X and $\epsilon > 0$ there is $U \in \tau$ such that $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$ and $\rho\text{-diam}(U \cap A) < \epsilon$.

Fragmentability

A topological space (X, τ) is *fragmented by* a pseudo-metric ρ on X , if for every nonempty subset A of X and $\epsilon > 0$ there is $U \in \tau$ such that $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$ and $\rho\text{-diam}(U \cap A) < \epsilon$.

If for every $\epsilon > 0$ we can find a countable partition $X = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} X_n^\epsilon$ with the property that for every n and $A \subseteq X_n^\epsilon$ there is $U \in \tau$ such that $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$ and $\rho\text{-diam}(U \cap A) < \epsilon$ then X is said to be *σ -fragmented* by ρ .

Fragmentability

A topological space (X, τ) is *fragmented* by a pseudo-metric ρ on X , if for every nonempty subset A of X and $\epsilon > 0$ there is $U \in \tau$ such that $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$ and $\rho\text{-diam}(U \cap A) < \epsilon$.

If for every $\epsilon > 0$ we can find a countable partition $X = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} X_n^\epsilon$ with the property that for every n and $A \subseteq X_n^\epsilon$ there is $U \in \tau$ such that $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$ and $\rho\text{-diam}(U \cap A) < \epsilon$ then X is said to be *σ -fragmented* by ρ .

Remark

The weak topology w of the space c_0 is σ -fragmented by the norm but c_0 cannot be covered by countably many subsets Y with $w \upharpoonright Y$ fragmented by the norm.

Three basic questions

Three basic questions

BQ1. Which Banach spaces X have the property that (X, w) is σ -fragmented by the norm?

Three basic questions

BQ1. Which Banach spaces X have the property that (X, w) is σ -fragmented by the norm?

BQ2. Which Banach spaces X have the property that (X, w^*) is σ -fragmented by the norm?

Three basic questions

BQ1. Which Banach spaces X have the property that (X, w) is σ -fragmented by the norm?

BQ2. Which Banach spaces X have the property that (X, w^*) is σ -fragmented by the norm?

BQ3. Which compact spaces K have the topology that the topology τ_p on $C(K)$ of pointwise convergence on K is σ -fragmented by the norm.

Namioka's theorem: two versions

Namioka's theorem: two versions

Theorem (Namioka 1974)

Let K be a compact space and X either a complete metric space or a compact space. Then for every separately continuous function $f : X \times K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ there is a dense G_δ -subset G of X such that f is jointly continuous at every point of $G \times K$.

Namioka's theorem: two versions

Theorem (Namioka 1974)

Let K be a compact space and X either a complete metric space or a compact space. Then for every separately continuous function $f : X \times K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ there is a dense G_δ -subset G of X such that f is jointly continuous at every point of $G \times K$.

Theorem (Namioka 1974)

Let K be a compact space and X either a complete metric space or a compact space. Then for every continuous function $F : X \rightarrow (C(K), \tau_p)$ there is a dense G_δ -subset G of X such that $F : X \rightarrow (C(K), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ is continuous at every point of G .

Namioka's theorem: two versions

Theorem (Namioka 1974)

Let K be a compact space and X either a complete metric space or a compact space. Then for every separately continuous function $f : X \times K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ there is a dense G_δ -subset G of X such that f is jointly continuous at every point of $G \times K$.

Theorem (Namioka 1974)

Let K be a compact space and X either a complete metric space or a compact space. Then for every continuous function $F : X \rightarrow (C(K), \tau_p)$ there is a dense G_δ -subset G of X such that $F : X \rightarrow (C(K), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ is continuous at every point of G .

The right assumption on X in this theorem is that it is Čech complete, i.e., that it is a G_δ -subset of (one, equivalently, all) of its compactifications.

From Čech-complete to Baire

From Čech-complete to Baire

Definition

A topological space X is a Baire space if the intersection of countably many dense open subsets of X is dense in X .

From Čech-complete to Baire

Definition

A topological space X is a Baire space if the intersection of countably many dense open subsets of X is dense in X .

From Čech-complete to Baire

Definition

A topological space X is a Baire space if the intersection of countably many dense open subsets of X is dense in X .

Theorem (Jayne, Namioka, Rogers 1993)

Let (X, τ) be a topological space which is σ -fragmented by a lower-semicontinuous metric ρ . Then for every continuous map $f : Y \rightarrow (X, \tau)$ where Y is a Baire space, the corresponding map $f : Y \rightarrow (X, \rho)$ is continuous at each point of a dense G_δ -subset of Y .

From Čech-complete to Baire

Definition

A topological space X is a Baire space if the intersection of countably many dense open subsets of X is dense in X .

Theorem (Jayne, Namioka, Rogers 1993)

Let (X, τ) be a topological space which is σ -fragmented by a lower-semicontinuous metric ρ . Then for every continuous map $f : Y \rightarrow (X, \tau)$ where Y is a Baire space, the corresponding map $f : Y \rightarrow (X, \rho)$ is continuous at each point of a dense G_δ -subset of Y .

Definition

We say that the triple (X, τ, ρ) satisfies Namioka's generic continuity principle if the conclusion of the previous theorem holds.

Generic continuity versus σ -fragmentability

Generic continuity versus σ -fragmentability

BQ4. For which triple (X, τ, ρ) the generic continuity requirement implies that τ is σ -fragmented by ρ ?

Generic continuity versus σ -fragmentability

BQ4. For which triple (X, τ, ρ) the generic continuity requirement implies that τ is σ -fragmented by ρ ?

Namioka-Pol 1992: "It was even conjectured by one of us that, if K has property (\mathcal{N}^*) , then $(C(K), \tau_p)$ is σ -fragmentable."

Generic continuity versus σ -fragmentability

BQ4. For which triple (X, τ, ρ) the generic continuity requirement implies that τ is σ -fragmented by ρ ?

Namioka-Pol 1992: "It was even conjectured by one of us that, if K has property (\mathcal{N}^*) , then $(C(K), \tau_p)$ is σ -fragmentable."

Conjecture (Namioka 1990-1992)

For every compact space K the generic continuity requirement on $(C(K), \tau_p, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ implies that τ_p is σ -fragmented by the norm.

Generic continuity versus σ -fragmentability

BQ4. For which triple (X, τ, ρ) the generic continuity requirement implies that τ is σ -fragmented by ρ ?

Namioka-Pol 1992: "It was even conjectured by one of us that, if K has property (\mathcal{N}^*) , then $(C(K), \tau_p)$ is σ -fragmentable."

Conjecture (Namioka 1990-1992)

For every compact space K the generic continuity requirement on $(C(K), \tau_p, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ implies that τ_p is σ -fragmented by the norm.

Remark

If the function space $(C(K), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ has the generic Fréchet differentiability property then the compactum K must be scattered. So it is natural to first examine this case.

Universal meagerness

Theorem (Haydon 1989)

The topology τ_p of pointwise convergence of $C(K)$ for K a scattered compactum is σ -fragmented by the norm if and only if the restriction of τ_p on the function subspace $C(K, 2)$ of $\{0, 1\}$ -valued continuous maps on K is σ -scattered.

Universal meagerness

Theorem (Haydon 1989)

The topology τ_p of pointwise convergence of $C(K)$ for K a scattered compactum is σ -fragmented by the norm if and only if the restriction of τ_p on the function subspace $C(K, 2)$ of $\{0, 1\}$ -valued continuous maps on K is σ -scattered.

Theorem (Haydon 1989, Namioka-Pol 1992)

The function space $C(K)$ over a scattered compactum K satisfies the Namioka generic continuity requirement if and only if for every Baire space B every continuous map $f : B \rightarrow (C(K, 2), \tau_p)$ must be constant on a nonempty open subset of B .

Definition

A topological space X is universally meager if every continuous map $f : B \rightarrow X$ from a Baire space B must be somewhere constant (constant on a nonempty open subset of B).

Definition

A topological space X is universally meager if every continuous map $f : B \rightarrow X$ from a Baire space B must be somewhere constant (constant on a nonempty open subset of B).

Problem (Haydon 1989)

Is every universally meager topological space σ -scattered?

Definition

A topological space X is universally meager if every continuous map $f : B \rightarrow X$ from a Baire space B must be somewhere constant (constant on a nonempty open subset of B).

Problem (Haydon 1989)

Is every universally meager topological space σ -scattered?

Problem (Haydon 1989)

Is every universally meager separable metric space countable?

Definition

A topological space X is universally meager if every continuous map $f : B \rightarrow X$ from a Baire space B must be somewhere constant (constant on a nonempty open subset of B).

Problem (Haydon 1989)

Is every universally meager topological space σ -scattered?

Problem (Haydon 1989)

Is every universally meager separable metric space countable?

Remark

Haydon [1989] writes:

“...it seems overly optimistic to think that the answer might be affirmative...”.

Mazur's game and Baire spaces

Mazur's game and Baire spaces

For a topological space X the *Mazur game* on X , $MG(X)$, is an infinite game with perfect information

E	U_0	U_2	\dots
N	U_1	U_3	\dots

where $U_0 \supseteq U_1 \supseteq U_2 \supseteq U_3 \supseteq \dots$ are nonempty open subset of X , and where E wins if $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} U_n = \emptyset$; otherwise N wins the infinite play.

Theorem (Banach-Mazur 1935, Oxtoby 1957)

A topological space X is a Baire space if and only if the empty player E does not have a winning strategy in the Mazur game played on X .

Mazur's ordinal

Mazur's ordinal

Fix an uncountable regular ordinal. Let $[\delta]^{<\delta}$ denote the collection of all subsets of δ of size $< \delta$.

Mazur's ordinal

Fix an uncountable regular ordinal. Let $[\delta]^{<\delta}$ denote the collection of all subsets of δ of size $< \delta$. Let $\Sigma(\delta)$ denote the collection of all subsets S of $[\delta]^{<\delta}$ for which there exist $\gamma < \delta$ such that for all $x, y \in [\delta]^{<\delta}$,

$$x \cap \gamma = y \cap \gamma \text{ implies } x \in S \text{ iff } y \in S.$$

Mazur's ordinal

Fix an uncountable regular ordinal. Let $[\delta]^{<\delta}$ denote the collection of all subsets of δ of size $< \delta$. Let $\Sigma(\delta)$ denote the collection of all subsets S of $[\delta]^{<\delta}$ for which there exist $\gamma < \delta$ such that for all $x, y \in [\delta]^{<\delta}$,

$$x \cap \gamma = y \cap \gamma \text{ implies } x \in S \text{ iff } y \in S.$$

S in $\Sigma(\delta)$ is *positive* if for every $f : \gamma^{<\omega} \rightarrow \gamma$ with $\gamma < \delta$ there is $x \in S$ such that $f[(x \cap \gamma)^{<\omega}] \subseteq x$.

Mazur's ordinal

Fix an uncountable regular ordinal. Let $[\delta]^{<\delta}$ denote the collection of all subsets of δ of size $< \delta$. Let $\Sigma(\delta)$ denote the collection of all subsets S of $[\delta]^{<\delta}$ for which there exist $\gamma < \delta$ such that for all $x, y \in [\delta]^{<\delta}$,

$$x \cap \gamma = y \cap \gamma \text{ implies } x \in S \text{ iff } y \in S.$$

S in $\Sigma(\delta)$ is *positive* if for every $f : \gamma^{<\omega} \rightarrow \gamma$ with $\gamma < \delta$ there is $x \in S$ such that $f[(x \cap \gamma)^{<\omega}] \subseteq x$.

Let $MG(\delta)$ denote the game

I	S_0	S_2	\dots
II	S_1	S_3	\dots

where $S_0 \supseteq S_1 \supseteq S_2 \supseteq S_3 \supseteq \dots$ forms an infinite decreasing sequence of positive elements of $\Sigma(\delta)$ and where we proclaim player I a winner if $\bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} S_i = \emptyset$.

Definition

An ordinal δ is a Mazur ordinal if it is regular and uncountable and if I does not have a winning strategy in $MG(\delta)$.

Definition

An ordinal δ is a Mazur ordinal if it is regular and uncountable and if I does not have a winning strategy in $MG(\delta)$.

Remark

Deep work of Foreman, Magidor, Shelah and Woodin can be used to show that many of the standard large cardinals are Mazur. For example, the large cardinals used in the 1980's by Martin and Steel to prove the Projective Determinacy are also Mazur.

A solution to Haydon's problem

A solution to Haydon's problem

Theorem (T., 2007)

If a universally meager space X with point-countable base is dominated by some Mazur ordinal then it can be well-ordered $(X, <_{wo})$ in such a way that all initial segments $\{x \in X : x <_{wo} y\}$ ($y \in X$) are closed in X

A solution to Haydon's problem

Theorem (T., 2007)

If a universally meager space X with point-countable base is dominated by some Mazur ordinal then it can be well-ordered $(X, <_{wo})$ in such a way that all initial segments $\{x \in X : x <_{wo} y\}$ ($y \in X$) are closed in X

Corollary (T., 2007)

Every universally meager metrizable space below a Mazur ordinal is σ -discrete.

A solution to Haydon's problem

Theorem (T., 2007)

If a universally meager space X with point-countable base is dominated by some Mazur ordinal then it can be well-ordered $(X, <_{wo})$ in such a way that all initial segments $\{x \in X : x <_{wo} y\}$ ($y \in X$) are closed in X

Corollary (T., 2007)

Every universally meager metrizable space below a Mazur ordinal is σ -discrete.

Corollary (T., 2007)

if there is a Mazur ordinal then every universally meager separable metric space must be countable.

A variety of Baire spaces

A variety of Baire spaces

Fix a space X with point-countable base. We may assume $X = (\gamma, \tau)$ for some $\gamma < \delta$ where δ is a Mazur ordinal. Consider the following element of the field $\Sigma(\delta)$

$$T = \{x \in [\delta]^\omega : \overline{x \cap \gamma} \neq x \cap \gamma\},$$

where the closure is taken with respect to the topology τ of X .

A variety of Baire spaces

Fix a space X with point-countable base. We may assume $X = (\gamma, \tau)$ for some $\gamma < \delta$ where δ is a Mazur ordinal. Consider the following element of the field $\Sigma(\delta)$

$$T = \{x \in [\delta]^\omega : \overline{x \cap \gamma} \neq x \cap \gamma\},$$

where the closure is taken with respect to the topology τ of X .

Lemma (T., 2007)

If T is positive then there is a Baire space B and a nowhere constant continuous map $f : B \rightarrow X$.

A variety of Baire spaces

Fix a space X with point-countable base. We may assume $X = (\gamma, \tau)$ for some $\gamma < \delta$ where δ is a Mazur ordinal. Consider the following element of the field $\Sigma(\delta)$

$$T = \{x \in [\delta]^\omega : \overline{x \cap \gamma} \neq x \cap \gamma\},$$

where the closure is taken with respect to the topology τ of X .

Lemma (T., 2007)

If T is positive then there is a Baire space B and a nowhere constant continuous map $f : B \rightarrow X$.

Lemma (Fleissner 1986)

If T is not positive there is a well-ordering of X with all initial segments closed.

Namioka's conjecture

Namioka's conjecture

Theorem (T., 2007)

If a function space $\mathcal{C}(K)$ is smaller than some Mazur ordinal and if it satisfies the Namioka generic continuity requirement then the norm-density of every subset X of $\mathcal{C}(K)$ is equal to the supremum of lengths of well-ordered chains of pointwise-open subsets of X .

Namioka's conjecture

Theorem (T., 2007)

If a function space $\mathcal{C}(K)$ is smaller than some Mazur ordinal and if it satisfies the Namioka generic continuity requirement then the norm-density of every subset X of $\mathcal{C}(K)$ is equal to the supremum of lengths of well-ordered chains of pointwise-open subsets of X .

Corollary (T., 2007)

If a function space $\mathcal{C}(K)$ is bounded by some Mazur ordinal and if it satisfies the Namioka generic continuity requirement then every pointwise hereditarily Lindelöf subspace of $\mathcal{C}(K)$ is norm-separable.

Sierpinski's expansion

Sierpinski's expansion

Definition

The Sierpinski expansion of $(\mathbb{R}, <)$ is a structure of the form $(\mathbb{R}, <, <_{wo})$ where $<_{wo}$ is a well-ordering of \mathbb{R} . For a positive integer k the Sierpinski equivalence \mathcal{SE}_k is an equivalence relation on $[\mathbb{R}]^k$ defined by letting two k -element subsets a and b of \mathbb{R} equivalent if they generate isomorphic substructures of the Sierpinski structure $(\mathbb{R}, <, <_{wo})$.

Sierpinski's expansion

Definition

The Sierpinski expansion of $(\mathbb{R}, <)$ is a structure of the form $(\mathbb{R}, <, <_{wo})$ where $<_{wo}$ is a well-ordering of \mathbb{R} . For a positive integer k the Sierpinski equivalence \mathcal{SE}_k is an equivalence relation on $[\mathbb{R}]^k$ defined by letting two k -element subsets a and b of \mathbb{R} equivalent if they generate isomorphic substructures of the Sierpinski structure $(\mathbb{R}, <, <_{wo})$.

Theorem (Sierpinski 1933)

For every positive integer k every one of the $k!(k-1)!$ equivalence class of \mathcal{SE}_k on $[\mathbb{R}]^k$ is realized on every topological copy of \mathbb{Q} in \mathbb{R} .

Sierpinski's expansion

Definition

The Sierpinski expansion of $(\mathbb{R}, <)$ is a structure of the form $(\mathbb{R}, <, <_{wo})$ where $<_{wo}$ is a well-ordering of \mathbb{R} . For a positive integer k the Sierpinski equivalence \mathcal{SE}_k is an equivalence relation on $[\mathbb{R}]^k$ defined by letting two k -element subsets a and b of \mathbb{R} equivalent if they generate isomorphic substructures of the Sierpinski structure $(\mathbb{R}, <, <_{wo})$.

Theorem (Sierpinski 1933)

For every positive integer k every one of the $k!(k-1)!$ equivalence class of \mathcal{SE}_k on $[\mathbb{R}]^k$ is realized on every topological copy of \mathbb{Q} in \mathbb{R} .

Question (Galvin 1970, 1986)

Does the Sierpinski expansion $(\mathbb{R}, <, <_{wo})$ solve the expansion problem for $(\mathbb{R}, <)$ relative to the topological copies of \mathbb{Q} in \mathbb{R} ?

Colouring version: Galvin's conjecture

Colouring version: Galvin's conjecture

Problem (Galvin 1970, 1986)

Is it true that for every positive integer k and every finite colouring of $[\mathbb{R}]^k$ there is a subset X homeomorphic to \mathbb{Q} such that $[X]^k$ has at most $k!(k-1)!$ colours

Colouring version: Galvin's conjecture

Problem (Galvin 1970, 1986)

Is it true that for every positive integer k and every finite colouring of $[\mathbb{R}]^k$ there is a subset X homeomorphic to \mathcal{Q} such that $[X]^k$ has at most $k!(k-1)!$ colours

Problem (Galvin 1970, 1986)

Is it true that for every finite colouring of $[\mathbb{R}]^2$ there is a subset X homeomorphic to \mathcal{Q} such that $[X]^2$ has at most 2 colours

A general colouring problem

A general colouring problem

Theorem (Baumgartner 1986, T.-Weiss 1995)

For every σ -discrete metric space X there is $c : [X]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $c[Y]^2 = \mathbb{N}$ for every $Y \subseteq X$ homeomorphic to \mathbb{Q} .

A general colouring problem

Theorem (Baumgartner 1986, T.-Weiss 1995)

For every σ -discrete metric space X there is $c : [X]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $c[Y]^2 = \mathbb{N}$ for every $Y \subseteq X$ homeomorphic to \mathbb{Q} .

Problem

Suppose X is a non σ -discrete metric space. Is it true that for every finite colouring of $[X]^2$ there is a topological copy $Y \subseteq X$ of \mathbb{Q} such that $[Y]^2$ has at most 2 colours?

A general colouring problem

Theorem (Baumgartner 1986, T.-Weiss 1995)

For every σ -discrete metric space X there is $c : [X]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $c[Y]^2 = \mathbb{N}$ for every $Y \subseteq X$ homeomorphic to \mathbb{Q} .

Problem

Suppose X is a non σ -discrete metric space. Is it true that for every finite colouring of $[X]^2$ there is a topological copy $Y \subseteq X$ of \mathbb{Q} such that $[Y]^2$ has at most 2 colours?

Problem

Is this true when X is an uncountable separable metric space?

Arrow notation

Arrow notation

Let X and Y be topological spaces. For natural numbers $k, l, t \geq 1$, we write

$$X \rightarrow (Y)_{l,t}^k$$

to mean that for every set L of cardinality l and every coloring $c : [X]^k \rightarrow L$, there exist a subspace $Y' \subseteq X$ homeomorphic to Y and a subset $T \subseteq L$ of cardinality at most t such that $c[Y']^k \subseteq T$. If $t = 1$, then it is not recorded in this notation, i.e., we write $X \rightarrow (Y)_l^k$ instead of $X \rightarrow (Y)_{l,1}^k$.

Arrow notation

Let X and Y be topological spaces. For natural numbers $k, l, t \geq 1$, we write

$$X \rightarrow (Y)_{l,t}^k$$

to mean that for every set L of cardinality l and every coloring $c : [X]^k \rightarrow L$, there exist a subspace $Y' \subseteq X$ homeomorphic to Y and a subset $T \subseteq L$ of cardinality at most t such that $c[Y']^k \subseteq T$. If $t = 1$, then it is not recorded in this notation, i.e., we write $X \rightarrow (Y)_l^k$ instead of $X \rightarrow (Y)_{l,1}^k$.

Theorem (T., 1996)

The following are equivalent for a space X with a point-countable base:

Arrow notation

Let X and Y be topological spaces. For natural numbers $k, l, t \geq 1$, we write

$$X \rightarrow (Y)_{l,t}^k$$

to mean that for every set L of cardinality l and every coloring $c : [X]^k \rightarrow L$, there exist a subspace $Y' \subseteq X$ homeomorphic to Y and a subset $T \subseteq L$ of cardinality at most t such that $c[Y']^k \subseteq T$. If $t = 1$, then it is not recorded in this notation, i.e., we write $X \rightarrow (Y)_l^k$ instead of $X \rightarrow (Y)_{l,1}^k$.

Theorem (T., 1996)

The following are equivalent for a space X with a point-countable base:

1. *There is no well-ordering $<_{wo}$ of X with all initial segments $\{x \in X : x <_{wo} y\}$ ($y \in X$) closed.*

Arrow notation

Let X and Y be topological spaces. For natural numbers $k, l, t \geq 1$, we write

$$X \rightarrow (Y)_{l,t}^k$$

to mean that for every set L of cardinality l and every coloring $c : [X]^k \rightarrow L$, there exist a subspace $Y' \subseteq X$ homeomorphic to Y and a subset $T \subseteq L$ of cardinality at most t such that $c[Y']^k \subseteq T$. If $t = 1$, then it is not recorded in this notation, i.e., we write $X \rightarrow (Y)_l^k$ instead of $X \rightarrow (Y)_{l,1}^k$.

Theorem (T., 1996)

The following are equivalent for a space X with a point-countable base:

1. *There is no well-ordering $<_{wo}$ of X with all initial segments $\{x \in X : x <_{wo} y\}$ ($y \in X$) closed.*
2. $X \rightarrow (\omega + 1)_2^2$.

Arrow notation

Let X and Y be topological spaces. For natural numbers $k, l, t \geq 1$, we write

$$X \rightarrow (Y)_{l,t}^k$$

to mean that for every set L of cardinality l and every coloring $c : [X]^k \rightarrow L$, there exist a subspace $Y' \subseteq X$ homeomorphic to Y and a subset $T \subseteq L$ of cardinality at most t such that $c[Y']^k \subseteq T$. If $t = 1$, then it is not recorded in this notation, i.e., we write $X \rightarrow (Y)_l^k$ instead of $X \rightarrow (Y)_{l,1}^k$.

Theorem (T., 1996)

The following are equivalent for a space X with a point-countable base:

1. *There is no well-ordering $<_{wo}$ of X with all initial segments $\{x \in X : x <_{wo} y\}$ ($y \in X$) closed.*
2. $X \rightarrow (\omega + 1)_2^2$.
3. $X \rightarrow (\omega + 1)_l^k$ for all natural numbers $k, l \geq 1$.

Problem

Suppose X is a space with point-countable bases with no well-ordering with all initial segments closed. Is it true that $X \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q})_{1,2}^2$ for every positive integer l ?

Problem

Suppose X is a space with point-countable bases with no well-ordering with all initial segments closed. Is it true that $X \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q})_{l,2}^2$ for every positive integer l ?

Theorem (Raghavan-T. 2018)

Suppose X is a space with point-countable bases dominated by some Mazur ordinal with no well-ordering with all initial segments closed.

Problem

Suppose X is a space with point-countable bases with no well-ordering with all initial segments closed. Is it true that $X \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q})_{1,2}^2$ for every positive integer l ?

Theorem (Raghavan-T. 2018)

Suppose X is a space with point-countable bases dominated by some Mazur ordinal with no well-ordering with all initial segments closed. Then $X \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q})_{1,2}^2$ for every positive integer l .

Problem

Suppose X is a space with point-countable bases with no well-ordering with all initial segments closed. Is it true that $X \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q})_{1,2}^2$ for every positive integer l ?

Theorem (Raghavan-T. 2018)

Suppose X is a space with point-countable bases dominated by some Mazur ordinal with no well-ordering with all initial segments closed. Then $X \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q})_{1,2}^2$ for every positive integer l .

Corollary (Raghavan-T. 2018)

Suppose X is a metric non σ -discrete space smaller than some Mazur ordinal.

Problem

Suppose X is a space with point-countable bases with no well-ordering with all initial segments closed. Is it true that $X \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q})_{l,2}^2$ for every positive integer l ?

Theorem (Raghavan-T. 2018)

Suppose X is a space with point-countable bases dominated by some Mazur ordinal with no well-ordering with all initial segments closed. Then $X \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q})_{l,2}^2$ for every positive integer l .

Corollary (Raghavan-T. 2018)

Suppose X is a metric non σ -discrete space smaller than some Mazur ordinal. Then $X \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q})_{l,2}^2$ for every integer $l \geq 1$.

Problem

Suppose X is a space with point-countable bases with no well-ordering with all initial segments closed. Is it true that $X \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q})_{l,2}^2$ for every positive integer l ?

Theorem (Raghavan-T. 2018)

Suppose X is a space with point-countable bases dominated by some Mazur ordinal with no well-ordering with all initial segments closed. Then $X \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q})_{l,2}^2$ for every positive integer l .

Corollary (Raghavan-T. 2018)

Suppose X is a metric non σ -discrete space smaller than some Mazur ordinal. Then $X \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q})_{l,2}^2$ for every integer $l \geq 1$.

Corollary (Raghavan-T. 2018)

If there is a Mazur ordinal then for every uncountable separable metric space X , we have that $X \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q})_{l,2}^2$ for every integer $l \geq 1$.

Ideas from the proof

We start with $c : [X]^2 \rightarrow \{0, 1, \dots, l\}$ and assume $X = (\gamma, \tau)$ for some $\gamma < \delta$ with δ a Mazur ordinal.

Ideas from the proof

We start with $c : [X]^2 \rightarrow \{0, 1, \dots, l\}$ and assume $X = (\gamma, \tau)$ for some $\gamma < \delta$ with δ a Mazur ordinal. We assume the set

$$T = \{x \in [\delta]^\omega : \overline{x \cap \gamma} \neq x \cap \gamma\}$$

is positive and for each $x \in T$, let

$$\gamma_x = \min(\overline{x \cap \gamma} \setminus x).$$

Ideas from the proof

We start with $c : [X]^2 \rightarrow \{0, 1, \dots, l\}$ and assume $X = (\gamma, \tau)$ for some $\gamma < \delta$ with δ a Mazur ordinal. We assume the set

$$T = \{x \in [\delta]^\omega : \overline{x \cap \gamma} \neq x \cap \gamma\}$$

is positive and for each $x \in T$, let

$$\gamma_x = \min(\overline{x \cap \gamma} \setminus x).$$

We fix a point-countable base \mathcal{N} of X and for $U \in \mathcal{N}$, set

$$U^T = \{x \in T : \gamma_x \in U\}.$$

Ideas from the proof

We start with $c : [X]^2 \rightarrow \{0, 1, \dots, l\}$ and assume $X = (\gamma, \tau)$ for some $\gamma < \delta$ with δ a Mazur ordinal. We assume the set

$$T = \{x \in [\delta]^\omega : \overline{x \cap \gamma} \neq x \cap \gamma\}$$

is positive and for each $x \in T$, let

$$\gamma_x = \min(\overline{x \cap \gamma} \setminus x).$$

We fix a point-countable base \mathcal{N} of X and for $U \in \mathcal{N}$, set

$$U^T = \{x \in T : \gamma_x \in U\}.$$

For $\xi < \gamma$ we fix enumeration $N_m(\xi)$ ($m \in \mathbb{N}$) of all elements of the basis \mathcal{N} that contain ξ and let $U_n(\xi) = \bigcap_{m \leq n} N_m(\xi)$.

Ideas from the proof

We start with $c : [X]^2 \rightarrow \{0, 1, \dots, l\}$ and assume $X = (\gamma, \tau)$ for some $\gamma < \delta$ with δ a Mazur ordinal. We assume the set

$$T = \{x \in [\delta]^\omega : \overline{x \cap \gamma} \neq x \cap \gamma\}$$

is positive and for each $x \in T$, let

$$\gamma_x = \min(\overline{x \cap \gamma} \setminus x).$$

We fix a point-countable base \mathcal{N} of X and for $U \in \mathcal{N}$, set

$$U^T = \{x \in T : \gamma_x \in U\}.$$

For $\xi < \gamma$ we fix enumeration $N_m(\xi)$ ($m \in \mathbb{N}$) of all elements of the basis \mathcal{N} that contain ξ and let $U_n(\xi) = \bigcap_{m \leq n} N_m(\xi)$.

For $i \leq l$, set

$$K_i = \{\{a, b\} \in [T]^2 : \gamma_a \neq \gamma_b \text{ and } c(\{\gamma_a, \gamma_b\}) = i\}.$$

From now on we let the variables a, b, c, \dots range over elements of T and variables A, B, C, \dots range over positive elements of the field $\Sigma(\delta)$ that are included in T .

From now on we let the variables a, b, c, \dots range over elements of T and variables A, B, C, \dots range over positive elements of the field $\Sigma(\delta)$ that are included in T .

For $a \in T$ and $i \leq l$, set

$$K_i(a) = \{b \in T : \{a, b\} \in K_i\}.$$

From now on we let the variables a, b, c, \dots range over elements of T and variables A, B, C, \dots range over positive elements of the field $\Sigma(\delta)$ that are included in T .

For $a \in T$ and $i \leq l$, set

$$K_i(a) = \{b \in T : \{a, b\} \in K_i\}.$$

Definition

We say that $a \in T$ is i -large on $B \in \Sigma(\delta)$ if $K_i(a) \cap B$ is positive.

From now on we let the variables a, b, c, \dots range over elements of T and variables A, B, C, \dots range over positive elements of the field $\Sigma(\delta)$ that are included in T .

For $a \in T$ and $i \leq l$, set

$$K_i(a) = \{b \in T : \{a, b\} \in K_i\}.$$

Definition

We say that $a \in T$ is i -large on $B \in \Sigma(\delta)$ if $K_i(a) \cap B$ is positive.

Definition

We say that (A, B) is (i, j) -saturated if for all $A' \subseteq A$ and $B' \subseteq B$, the sets

$$\{a \in A' : a \text{ is } i\text{-large on } B'\} \text{ and } \{b \in B' : b \text{ is } j\text{-large on } A'\}$$

are both positive.

Lemma

There exist (i, j) and C such that for every $D \subseteq C$ there exist $A, B \subseteq D$ such that the pair (A, B) is (i, j) -saturated.

Lemma

There exist (i, j) and C such that for every $D \subseteq C$ there exist $A, B \subseteq D$ such that the pair (A, B) is (i, j) -saturated.

From now on we fix (i, j) and C and without losing generality we assume that in fact $C = T$.

Lemma

There exist (i, j) and C such that for every $D \subseteq C$ there exist $A, B \subseteq D$ such that the pair (A, B) is (i, j) -saturated.

From now on we fix (i, j) and C and without losing generality we assume that in fact $C = T$.

Definition

We say that $a \in A$ is a (i, j) -winner in A if there is an infinite sequence (A_n, B_n) of subsets of A such that for all n :

1. $A_{n+1}, B_{n+1} \subseteq A_n$,
2. $A_n, B_n \subseteq U_n^T(a)$,
3. (A_n, B_n) is (i, j) -saturated,
4. $B_n \subseteq K_i(a)$.

Lemma

There exist (i, j) and C such that for every $D \subseteq C$ there exist $A, B \subseteq D$ such that the pair (A, B) is (i, j) -saturated.

From now on we fix (i, j) and C and without losing generality we assume that in fact $C = T$.

Definition

We say that $a \in A$ is a (i, j) -winner in A if there is an infinite sequence (A_n, B_n) of subsets of A such that for all n :

1. $A_{n+1}, B_{n+1} \subseteq A_n$,
2. $A_n, B_n \subseteq U_n^T(a)$,
3. (A_n, B_n) is (i, j) -saturated,
4. $B_n \subseteq K_i(a)$.

Lemma

For all positive A , the set

$$\{a \in A : a \text{ is not a } (i, j)\text{-winner}\}$$

is null in $\Sigma(\delta)$.

Lemma

For all positive A , the set

$$\{a \in A : a \text{ is not a } (i, j)\text{-winner}\}$$

is null in $\Sigma(\delta)$.

Lemma

If a point $a \in A$ is an (i, j) -winner in A , then there is an infinite sequence B_n of subsets of A such that for all n :

1. $B_n \subseteq U_n^T(a)$,
2. (B_m, B_n) is (i, j) -saturated for all $m < n$,
3. $B_n \subseteq K_i(a)$.

Here, $U_n^T(a) = (U_n(\gamma_a))^T$

Construction scheme

Construction scheme

Lemma (Main)

There is $Y \subseteq X$ homeomorphic to \mathbb{Q} such that $c[Y]^2 \subseteq \{i, j\}$.

Construction scheme

Lemma (Main)

There is $Y \subseteq X$ homeomorphic to \mathbb{Q} such that $c[Y]^2 \subseteq \{i, j\}$.

$\mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}}$ is the tree of finite sequence of integers ordered by endextension and $<_{lex}$ is its lexicographical ordering where $\sigma \supset \tau$ implies $\sigma <_{lex} \tau$. For a subtree P of $\mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}}$ by $L(P)$ we denote its end nodes and

$$N(P) = P \setminus L(P).$$

A *construction scheme* for the required set Y homeomorphic to \mathbb{Q} is an infinite increasing sequence P_m of downwards closed subtrees of $\mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}}$ such that for all m :

- (1) $P_0 = \{\emptyset\}$ and $\mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}} = \bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} P_m$,
- (2) P_m is nonempty and has finite height,
- (3) there is $\sigma_m \in L(P_m)$ such that

$$P_{m+1} = \{\sigma_m \widehat{\ } n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

We also recursively construct sequences

$$\{a_m : m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}\} \subseteq [\delta]^\omega \text{ and } F_m : L(P_m) \rightarrow \Sigma(\delta) \text{ (} m \in \mathbb{N}\text{)}$$

such that for all m :

- (4) $F_m(\sigma)$ is a positive subset of T for all $\sigma \in L(P_m)$,
- (5) $a_{m+1} \in F_m(\sigma_m)$ is a (i, j) -winner in $F_m(\sigma_m)$,
- (6) $m' \leq m$ and $\sigma \in L(P_{m'}) \cap L(P_m)$ imply $F_m(\sigma) \subseteq F_{m'}(\sigma)$.
- (7) $\sigma, \tau \in L(P_m)$ and $\sigma <_{lex} \tau$ imply that $(F_m(\sigma), F_m(\tau))$ is (i, j) -saturated.

We also recursively construct sequences

$$\{a_m : m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}\} \subseteq [\delta]^\omega \text{ and } F_m : L(P_m) \rightarrow \Sigma(\delta) \text{ (} m \in \mathbb{N}\text{)}$$

such that for all m :

- (4) $F_m(\sigma)$ is a positive subset of T for all $\sigma \in L(P_m)$,
- (5) $a_{m+1} \in F_m(\sigma_m)$ is a (i, j) -winner in $F_m(\sigma_m)$,
- (6) $m' \leq m$ and $\sigma \in L(P_{m'}) \cap L(P_m)$ imply $F_m(\sigma) \subseteq F_{m'}(\sigma)$.
- (7) $\sigma, \tau \in L(P_m)$ and $\sigma <_{lex} \tau$ imply that $(F_m(\sigma), F_m(\tau))$ is (i, j) -saturated.

Note that by the previous lemmas there is no difficulties constructing these objects. However, we need extra conditions that would guarantee that

$$[\{a_m : m \geq 1\}]^2 \subseteq K_i \cup K_j,$$

that $\gamma_{a_m} \neq \gamma_{a_{m'}}$ for $m \neq m'$, and that

$$Y = \{\gamma_{a_m} : m \geq 1\}$$

is a topological copy of \mathbb{Q} in X .

Therefore, we require also the following conditions:

Therefore, we require also the following conditions:

(8) $F_{m+1}(\sigma_m \widehat{n}) \subseteq F_m(\sigma_m) \cap U_m^T(a_{m+1})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

(9) If $m' < m$, if $\sigma \in L(P_m)$ and if $\sigma <_{lex} \sigma_{m'}$ then

$$F_m(\sigma) \subseteq K_i(a_{m'+1}),$$

(10) if $m' < m$, if $\sigma \in L(P_m)$, and if $\sigma_{m'} <_{lex} \sigma$, then

$$F_m(\sigma) \subseteq K_j(a_{m'+1}).$$

Therefore, we require also the following conditions:

(8) $F_{m+1}(\sigma_m \widehat{n}) \subseteq F_m(\sigma_m) \cap U_m^T(a_{m+1})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

(9) If $m' < m$, if $\sigma \in L(P_m)$ and if $\sigma <_{lex} \sigma_{m'}$ then

$$F_m(\sigma) \subseteq K_i(a_{m'+1}),$$

(10) if $m' < m$, if $\sigma \in L(P_m)$, and if $\sigma_{m'} <_{lex} \sigma$, then

$$F_m(\sigma) \subseteq K_j(a_{m'+1}).$$

Remark

Note that in (9) and (10) we are proving that on our copy of \mathbb{Q} the given colouring c is coarser than the Sierpinski colouring.

An application

Theorem (Raghavan-T., 2018)

Assume that there is a Mazur ordinal and let X be any uncountable set of reals.

An application

Theorem (Raghavan-T., 2018)

Assume that there is a Mazur ordinal and let X be any uncountable set of reals. Let $<$ be the usual ordering between the reals and let $<_{wo}$ be any well-ordering of X .

An application

Theorem (Raghavan-T., 2018)

Assume that there is a Mazur ordinal and let X be any uncountable set of reals. Let $<$ be the usual ordering between the reals and let $<_{\text{wo}}$ be any well-ordering of X . Then for every binary relation $R \subseteq X^2$, there exists $Y \subseteq X$ homeomorphic to \mathbb{Q} such that $R \cap Y^2$ is equal to one of the following relations restricted to Y :

An application

Theorem (Raghavan-T., 2018)

Assume that there is a Mazur ordinal and let X be any uncountable set of reals. Let $<$ be the usual ordering between the reals and let $<_{\text{wo}}$ be any well-ordering of X . Then for every binary relation $R \subseteq X^2$, there exists $Y \subseteq X$ homeomorphic to \mathbb{Q} such that $R \cap Y^2$ is equal to one of the following relations restricted to Y :
 $\top, \perp, =, \neq, <, >, \leq, \geq, <_{\text{wo}}, >_{\text{wo}}, \leq_{\text{wo}}, \geq_{\text{wo}}, < \cap <_{\text{wo}}, < \cap >_{\text{wo}}, > \cap <_{\text{wo}}, > \cap >_{\text{wo}}, \leq \cap \leq_{\text{wo}}, \leq \cap \geq_{\text{wo}}, \geq \cap \leq_{\text{wo}}, \text{ and } \geq \cap \geq_{\text{wo}}$.

Things left to do

Problem

If X is an uncountable separable metric space, does

$$X \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q})_{l, k!(k-1)!}^k$$

for every positive integers k and l ?

Things left to do

Problem

If X is an uncountable separable metric space, does

$$X \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q})_{l, k!(k-1)!}^k$$

for every positive integers k and l ?

If not, what is the optimal condition on X to guarantee this for all k and l ?

Things left to do

Problem

If X is an uncountable separable metric space, does

$$X \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q})_{l, k!(k-1)!}^k$$

for every positive integers k and l ?

If not, what is the optimal condition on X to guarantee this for all k and l ?

Problem

Prove that every universally meager space is σ -scattered.

Things left to do

Problem

If X is an uncountable separable metric space, does

$$X \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q})_{l, k!(k-1)!}^k$$

for every positive integers k and l ?

If not, what is the optimal condition on X to guarantee this for all k and l ?

Problem

Prove that every universally meager space is σ -scattered.

Problem

Prove that the generic continuity requirement on a compact space K guarantees that the topology on $C(K)$ of pointwise convergence on K is σ -fragmented by the norm.

Selected references:

1. E. Asplund, Fréchet differentiability of convex functions. Acta Math. 121 (1968), 31-47.
2. S. Mazur, Problem 43. The Scottish Book, (R.D. Mauldin ed.) Birkhauser 2015.
3. Namioka, I. Separate continuity and joint continuity. Pacific J. Math. 51 (1974), 515-531.
4. R. Haydon, Some problems about scattered spaces. Séminaire d'Initiation à l'Analyse, Exp. No. 9, 10 pp., Publ. Math. Univ. Pierre et Marie Curie, 95, Univ. Paris VI, Paris, 1989/90.
5. S. Todorčević, Universally meager sets and principles of generic continuity and selection in Banach spaces. Adv. Math. 208 (2007), no. 1, 274–298.
6. D. Raghavan and S. Todorčević, Proof of a conjecture of Galvin, arXiv 2018.