



INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS

THE CZECH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES

**Time periodic motion of temperature
driven compressible fluids**

Eduard Feireisl

Piotr Gwiazda

Agnieszka Świerczewska-Gwiazda

Preprint No. 19-2022

PRAHA 2022

Time periodic motion of temperature driven compressible fluids

Eduard Feireisl ^{*} Piotr Gwiazda Agnieszka Świerczewska-Gwiazda [†]

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic
Žitná 25, CZ-115 67 Praha 1, Czech Republic

Institute of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics, University of Warsaw
Banacha 2, 02-097 Warsaw, Poland

Abstract

We consider the Navier–Stokes–Fourier system describing the motion of a compressible viscous fluid in a container with impermeable boundary subject to time periodic heating and under the action of a time periodic potential force. We show the existence of a time periodic weak solution for arbitrarily large physically admissible data.

Keywords: Navier–Stokes–Fourier system, time periodic solution, Dirichlet problem

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Main result	4
2.1	Constitutive theory	4
2.2	Weak solutions	6
2.3	Main result	7
3	Approximate problem	8
3.1	Existence of approximate solutions	9
3.2	Approximate ballistic energy balance	10

^{*}The work of E.F. was partially supported by the Czech Sciences Foundation (GAČR), Grant Agreement 21–02411S. The Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic is supported by RVO:67985840. This work is partially supported by the Simons Foundation Award No 663281 granted to the Institute of Mathematics of the Polish Academy of Sciences for the years 2021–2023.

[†]The work of A. Ś-G. was partially supported by National Science Centre (Poland), agreement no 2017/27/B/ST1/01569.

4	Uniform bounds	11
4.1	Mass conservation	11
4.2	Energy estimates	11
4.3	Pressure estimates	14
4.4	Uniform bounds for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$	18
5	Convergence	21
6	Concluding remarks	22

1 Introduction

There are numerous examples of turbulent fluid motion excited by changes of the boundary temperature, among which the well studied problem of Rayleigh–Bénard convection, see e.g. Davidson [8]. Motivated by similar problems in astrophysics of gaseous stars, we consider a general compressible viscous possibly rotating fluid, occupying a bounded domain $\Omega \subset R^d$, $d = 2, 3$, driven by periodic changes of boundary temperature. The relevant system of field equations for the standard variables: the mass density $\varrho = \varrho(t, x)$, the velocity $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}(t, x)$, and the (absolute) temperature $\vartheta = \vartheta(t, x)$ reads:

$$\partial_t \varrho + \operatorname{div}_x(\varrho \mathbf{u}) = 0, \tag{1.1}$$

$$\partial_t(\varrho \mathbf{u}) + \operatorname{div}_x(\varrho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) + \varrho(\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{u}) + \nabla_x p(\varrho, \vartheta) = \operatorname{div}_x \mathbb{S} + \varrho \nabla_x G, \tag{1.2}$$

$$\partial_t(\varrho e(\varrho, \vartheta)) + \operatorname{div}_x(\varrho e(\varrho, \vartheta) \mathbf{u}) + \nabla_x \mathbf{q} = \mathbb{S} : \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u} - p(\varrho, \vartheta) \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u}, \tag{1.3}$$

where \mathbb{S} is the viscous stress given by Newton’s rheological law

$$\mathbb{S}(\vartheta, \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u}) = \mu(\vartheta) \left(\nabla_x \mathbf{u} + \nabla_x^t \mathbf{u} - \frac{2}{3} \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u} \mathbb{I} \right) + \eta(\vartheta) \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u} \mathbb{I}, \tag{1.4}$$

and \mathbf{q} is the heat flux given by Fourier’s law

$$\mathbf{q}(\vartheta, \nabla_x \vartheta) = -\kappa(\vartheta) \nabla_x \vartheta. \tag{1.5}$$

The momentum equation is augmented by the Coriolis force with the rotation constant vector $\boldsymbol{\omega}$, the associated centrifugal force as well as the gravitation and other possible inertial time-periodic forces are regrouped in the potential G . The fluid occupies a bounded smooth domain $\Omega \subset R^d$, $d = 2, 3$ endowed with the Dirichlet boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{u}|_{\partial\Omega} &= 0, \\ \vartheta|_{\partial\Omega} &= \vartheta_B\end{aligned}\tag{1.6}$$

The functions $\vartheta_B = \vartheta_B(t, x)$ and $G = G(t, x)$ are smooth and T -periodic in the time variable,

$$\begin{aligned}\vartheta_B(t + T, x) &= \vartheta_B(t, x), \\ G(t + T, x) &= G(t, x).\end{aligned}\tag{1.7}$$

Hereafter, the problem (1.1)–(1.6) is referred to as *Navier–Stokes–Fourier system*.

Our goal is to show the existence of a time-periodic solution to problem (1.1)–(1.7). There is a substantial number of references, where such a result is proven under some smallness and smoothness assumption on the data. Valli and Zajaczkowski [23], [24] observe that the distance of two smooth global in time solutions decays in time for the system close to a stable equilibrium, and, as a by product, they deduce the existence of a time periodic solution. Similar ideas have been followed by many authors, see Březina and Kagei [4], [5], Jin and Yang [17], Kagei and Oomachi [18], Kagei and Tsuda [19], Tsuda [22] to name only a few. Turbulent fluid flows given by large forces out of equilibrium are mostly considered in the framework of *weak* solutions. Based on the mathematical theory of compressible fluids developed by Lions [20], [21], the existence of large time periodic solutions for the simplified isentropic system was proved in [9] for the isentropic pressure–density equation of state $p(\varrho) = a\varrho^\gamma$, $\gamma \geq \frac{9}{5}$. The later development of the theory in [12] enabled to extend the result to the case $\gamma > \frac{5}{3}$, see Cai and Tan [6].

The situation is more delicate for the complete fluid systems including thermal effects. As a direct consequence of the Second law of thermodynamics, the existence of (forced) time periodic solutions is ruled out for problems with purely conservative boundary conditions, see [13]. In [10], the heat flux was controlled by means of a Robin type boundary condition

$$\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{n} = d(\vartheta - \Theta_0) \text{ on } \partial\Omega,\tag{1.8}$$

with a given “mean” temperature Θ_0 . Accordingly, the internal energy is transferred out of the fluid domain in the high temperature regime and the time periodic motion is possible, see [10, Theorem 1]. Our goal is to show a similar result for the Dirichlet boundary conditions (1.6). Note that the problem is much more delicate than in [10] as the heat flux through the boundary is *a priori* not controlled.

Our approach is based on several rather new ideas that appeared only recently in the mathematical theory of open fluid systems.

- The concept of *weak solution* for the Navier–Stokes–Fourier system based on a combination of the entropy inequality and the ballistic energy balance developed in [7].
- *Uniform bounds* and large time asymptotics of the weak solutions in the spirit of [14].
- An *approximation scheme* based on a penalization of the Dirichlet boundary conditions via (1.8).

The constitutive restrictions imposed on the equations of state as well as the transport coefficients are the same as in the existence theory [7]. In particular, the general equation of state of real monoatomic gases proposed in [11, Chapters 1,2] is included. From this point of view, the result is apparently better than in the isentropic case studied in [9], and later revisited by Cai and Tan [6], where the condition $\gamma > \frac{5}{3}$ is needed. The price to pay is the potential form of the driving force $\mathbf{f} = \nabla_x G$ that, however, includes the physically relevant centrifugal as well as gravitational forces.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the basic hypotheses concerning the constitutive relations and state the main result. In Section 3, we introduce an approximation scheme inspired by [10]. Section 4 is the heart of the paper. Here we establish the necessary uniform bounds to perform the limit in the sequence of approximate solutions. Finally, in Section 5, we obtain the desired solution as a limit of the approximate sequence.

2 Main result

Before stating the main result, we recall the form of the constitutive equations proposed in [11, Chapters 1,2]. To comply with the Second law of thermodynamics, we postulate the existence of *entropy* s , related to the internal energy e and the pressure p through Gibbs' equation

$$\vartheta Ds(\varrho, \vartheta) = De(\varrho, \vartheta) + p(\varrho, \vartheta)D\left(\frac{1}{\varrho}\right). \quad (2.1)$$

2.1 Constitutive theory

Similarly to [11, Chapters 1,2] we consider the pressure equation of state in the form

$$p(\varrho, \vartheta) = p_m(\varrho, \vartheta) + p_{\text{rad}}(\vartheta),$$

where p_m is the pressure of a general *monoatomic* gas related to the internal energy through

$$p_m(\varrho, \vartheta) = \frac{2}{3}\varrho e_m(\varrho, \vartheta), \quad (2.2)$$

augmented by the radiation pressure

$$p_{\text{rad}}(\vartheta) = \frac{a}{3}\vartheta^4, \quad a > 0.$$

Similarly, the internal energy reads

$$e(\varrho, \vartheta) = e_m(\varrho, \vartheta) + e_{\text{rad}}(\varrho, \vartheta), \quad e_{\text{rad}}(\varrho, \vartheta) = \frac{a}{\varrho} \vartheta^4.$$

Now, Gibbs' equation (2.1) gives rise to a specific form of p_m ,

$$p_m(\varrho, \vartheta) = \vartheta^{\frac{5}{2}} P\left(\frac{\varrho}{\vartheta^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right)$$

for a certain $P \in C^1[0, \infty)$. Consequently,

$$p(\varrho, \vartheta) = \vartheta^{\frac{5}{2}} P\left(\frac{\varrho}{\vartheta^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right) + \frac{a}{3} \vartheta^4, \quad e(\varrho, \vartheta) = \frac{3}{2} \frac{\vartheta^{\frac{5}{2}}}{\varrho} P\left(\frac{\varrho}{\vartheta^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right) + \frac{a}{\varrho} \vartheta^4, \quad a > 0. \quad (2.3)$$

In addition, we suppose

$$P(0) = 0, \quad P'(Z) > 0 \text{ for } Z \geq 0, \quad 0 < \frac{\frac{5}{3}P(Z) - P'(Z)Z}{Z} \leq c \text{ for } Z > 0. \quad (2.4)$$

that may be seen as a direct consequence of *hypothesis of thermodynamic stability*, see [11, Chapter 1], and Bechtel, Rooney, and Forrest [1]. It follows that the function $Z \mapsto P(Z)/Z^{\frac{5}{3}}$ is decreasing, and we suppose

$$\lim_{Z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P(Z)}{Z^{\frac{5}{3}}} = p_\infty > 0. \quad (2.5)$$

The associated entropy takes the form

$$s(\varrho, \vartheta) = \mathcal{S}\left(\frac{\varrho}{\vartheta^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right) + \frac{4a}{3} \frac{\vartheta^3}{\varrho}, \quad (2.6)$$

where

$$\mathcal{S}'(Z) = -\frac{3}{2} \frac{\frac{5}{3}P(Z) - P'(Z)Z}{Z^2} < 0. \quad (2.7)$$

Finally, we impose the Third law of thermodynamics, see e.g. Belgiorno [2], [3], requiring the total entropy to vanish as soon as the absolute temperature approaches zero,

$$\lim_{Z \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{S}(Z) = 0. \quad (2.8)$$

It is easy to check that (2.4) – (2.8) imply

$$0 \leq \varrho \mathcal{S}\left(\frac{\varrho}{\vartheta^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right) \leq c(1 + \varrho \log^+(\varrho) + \varrho \log^+(\vartheta)). \quad (2.9)$$

As for the transport coefficients, we suppose that they are continuously differentiable functions of the absolute temperature satisfying

$$0 < \underline{\mu}(1 + \vartheta) \leq \mu(\vartheta), \quad |\mu'(\vartheta)| \leq \bar{\mu},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \eta(\vartheta) \leq \bar{\eta}(1 + \vartheta), \\
0 &< \underline{\kappa}(1 + \vartheta^\beta) \leq \kappa(\vartheta) \leq \bar{\kappa}(1 + \vartheta^\beta),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

where, in accordance with the existence theory developed in [7], we require

$$\beta > 6. \tag{2.11}$$

2.2 Weak solutions

It is convenient to identify the time periodic functions (distributions) with objects defined on a periodic “flat sphere”

$$S_T = [0, T] \setminus \{0, T\}$$

We are ready to introduce the concept of *time periodic solution* to the Navier–Stokes–Fourier system (1.1)–(1.7).

Definition 2.1 (weak solution). We say that a trio $(\varrho, \vartheta, \mathbf{u})$ is a weak time–periodic solution to the problem (1.1)–(1.7) if the following holds:

- **Regularity class:**

$$\begin{aligned}
\varrho &\in C_{\text{weak}}(S_T; L^\gamma(\Omega)) \text{ for } \gamma = \frac{5}{3}, \\
\mathbf{u} &\in L^2(S_T; W_0^{1,2}(\Omega; R^d)), \quad \varrho \mathbf{u} \in C_{\text{weak}}(S_T, L^{\frac{2\gamma}{\gamma+1}}(\Omega; R^d)), \\
\vartheta^{\beta/2}, \log(\vartheta) &\in L^2(S_T; W^{1,2}(\Omega)), \\
(\vartheta - \vartheta_B) &\in L^2(S_T; W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

- **Equation of continuity:**

$$\int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} [\varrho \partial_t \varphi + \varrho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_x \varphi] \, dx \, dt = 0, \tag{2.13}$$

$$\int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \left[b(\varrho) \partial_t \varphi + b(\varrho) \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_x \varphi + (b(\varrho) - b'(\varrho) \varrho) \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u} \varphi \right] \, dx \, dt = 0 \tag{2.14}$$

for any $\varphi \in C^1(S_T \times \bar{\Omega})$, and any $b \in C^1(R)$, $b' \in C_c(R)$.

- **Momentum equation:**

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \left[\varrho \mathbf{u} \cdot \partial_t \boldsymbol{\varphi} + \varrho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u} : \nabla_x \boldsymbol{\varphi} - \varrho (\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{u}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} + p \operatorname{div}_x \boldsymbol{\varphi} \right] dx dt \\ &= \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \left[\mathbb{S} : \nabla_x \boldsymbol{\varphi} - \varrho \nabla_x G \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \right] dx dt, \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

for any $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in C_c^1(S_T \times \Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$.

- **Entropy inequality:**

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \left[\varrho s \partial_t \varphi + \varrho s \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_x \varphi + \frac{\mathbf{q}}{\vartheta} \cdot \nabla_x \varphi \right] dx dt \\ & \geq \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\varphi}{\vartheta} \left[\mathbb{S} : \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u} - \frac{\mathbf{q} \cdot \nabla_x \vartheta}{\vartheta} \right] dx dt \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

for any $\varphi \in C_c^1(S_T \times \Omega)$, $\varphi \geq 0$;

- **Ballistic energy balance:**

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_{S_T} \partial_t \psi \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{1}{2} \varrho |\mathbf{u}|^2 + \varrho e - \tilde{\vartheta} \varrho s \right] dx dt + \int_{S_T} \psi \int_{\Omega} \frac{\tilde{\vartheta}}{\vartheta} \left[\mathbb{S} : \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u} - \frac{\mathbf{q} \cdot \nabla_x \vartheta}{\vartheta} \right] dx dt \\ & \leq \int_{S_T} \psi \int_{\Omega} \left[\varrho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_x G - \varrho s \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_x \tilde{\vartheta} - \frac{\mathbf{q}}{\vartheta} \cdot \nabla_x \tilde{\vartheta} \right] dx dt \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

for any $\psi \in C^1(S_T)$, $\psi \geq 0$, and any $\tilde{\vartheta} \in C^1(S_T \times \bar{\Omega})$,

$$\tilde{\vartheta} > 0, \quad \tilde{\vartheta}|_{\partial\Omega} = \vartheta_B. \quad (2.18)$$

The weak time-periodic solutions are therefore the weak solutions in the sense of [7] that are T -periodic in the time variable. The instantaneous values the *conservative variables* $\varrho(\tau, \cdot)$, $(\varrho \mathbf{u})(\tau, \cdot)$ are well defined as well as the right and left hand limits of the total entropy $S = \varrho s(\varrho, \vartheta)$,

$$\langle S(\tau-, \cdot); \phi \rangle \equiv \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\delta} \int_{\tau-\delta}^{\tau} \int_{\Omega} \varrho s(t, \cdot) \phi dx dt, \quad \langle S(\tau+, \cdot); \phi \rangle \equiv \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\delta} \int_{\tau}^{\tau+\delta} \int_{\Omega} \varrho s(t, \cdot) \phi dx dt.$$

2.3 Main result

Having collected the necessary preliminary material we are ready to state our main result.

Theorem 2.2 (existence of time periodic solutions). *Let $\Omega \subset R^d$, $d = 2, 3$ be a bounded domain of class $C^{2+\nu}$. Suppose that the pressure p , the internal energy e , the entropy s , as well as the transport coefficients μ , η , and κ satisfy the hypotheses (2.2)–(2.11). Finally, let the data $G \in W^{1,\infty}(S_T \times \Omega)$, $\vartheta_B \in C^3(S_T \times R^d)$ be time periodic as stated in (1.7), and*

$$\inf_{S_T \times \Omega} \vartheta_B = \underline{\vartheta} > 0.$$

Then for any M_0 there exists at least one time periodic solution $(\varrho, \vartheta, \mathbf{u})$ of the problem (1.1)–(1.7) in the sense specified in Definition 2.1 satisfying

$$\int_{\Omega} \varrho(t, \cdot) \, dx = M_0 \text{ for any } t \in S_T.$$

Remark 2.3. In the hypotheses of Theorem 2.2, we assume that $\vartheta_B|_{\partial\Omega}$ is a restriction of a (smooth) function defined on the whole space R^d .

The rest of the paper is devoted to the proof of Theorem 2.2.

3 Approximate problem

The most efficient way of constructing suitable approximate solutions seems adapting the result of [10] to the present setting. Specifically, the approximation scheme is based on penalization the Dirichlet boundary condition for the temperature via the Robin boundary conditions

$$\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{n} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} |\vartheta - \vartheta_B|^k (\vartheta - \vartheta_B), \quad k \geq 0, \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \quad (3.1)$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is a small parameter.

The approximate solutions $(\varrho_\varepsilon, \vartheta_\varepsilon, \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon)$ are defined similarly to Definition 2.1:

- **Regularity class:**

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho_\varepsilon &\in C_{\text{weak}}(S_T; L^\gamma(\Omega)) \text{ for } \gamma = \frac{5}{3}, \\ \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon &\in L^2(S_T; W_0^{1,2}(\Omega; R^d)), \quad \varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \in C_{\text{weak}}(S_T, L^{\frac{2\gamma}{\gamma+1}}(\Omega; R^d)) \\ \vartheta_\varepsilon^{\beta/2}, \log(\vartheta_\varepsilon) &\in L^2(S_T; W^{1,2}(\Omega)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

- **Equation of continuity:**

$$\int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} [\varrho_\varepsilon \partial_t \varphi + \varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_x \varphi] \, dx \, dt = 0, \quad (3.3)$$

$$\int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \left[b(\varrho_\varepsilon) \partial_t \varphi + b(\varrho_\varepsilon) \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_x \varphi + \left(b(\varrho_\varepsilon) - b'(\varrho_\varepsilon) \varrho_\varepsilon \right) \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \varphi \right] \, dx \, dt = 0 \quad (3.4)$$

for any $\varphi \in C^1(S_T \times \overline{\Omega})$, and any $b \in C^1(R)$, $b' \in C_c(R)$.

• **Momentum equation:**

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \left[\varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \cdot \partial_t \boldsymbol{\varphi} + \varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \otimes \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon : \nabla_x \boldsymbol{\varphi} - \varrho_\varepsilon (\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon) \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} + p \operatorname{div}_x \boldsymbol{\varphi} \right] \, dx \, dt \\ &= \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \left[\mathbb{S} : \nabla_x \boldsymbol{\varphi} - \varrho_\varepsilon \nabla_x G \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \right] \, dx \, dt, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

for any $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in C_c^1(S_T \times \Omega; R^d)$.

• **Entropy inequality:**

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \left[\varrho_\varepsilon s \partial_t \varphi + \varrho_\varepsilon s \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_x \varphi + \frac{\mathbf{q}}{\vartheta_\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla_x \varphi \right] \, dx \, dt \\ & \geq \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\varphi}{\vartheta_\varepsilon} \left[\mathbb{S} : \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \frac{\mathbf{q} \cdot \nabla_x \vartheta_\varepsilon}{\vartheta_\varepsilon} \right] \, dx \, dt + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{S_T} \int_{\partial \Omega} \varphi \frac{|\vartheta_B - \vartheta_\varepsilon|^k (\vartheta_B - \vartheta_\varepsilon)}{\vartheta_\varepsilon} \, d\sigma_x \, dt. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

for any $\varphi \in C^1(S_T \times \overline{\Omega})$, $\varphi \geq 0$;

• **Energy balance:**

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_{S_T} \partial_t \psi \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{1}{2} \varrho_\varepsilon |\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon|^2 + \varrho_\varepsilon e \right] \, dx \, dt + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{S_T} \psi \int_{\partial \Omega} |\vartheta_\varepsilon - \vartheta_B|^k (\vartheta_\varepsilon - \vartheta_B) \, d\sigma_x \, dt \\ &= \int_{S_T} \psi \int_{\Omega} \varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_x G \, dx \, dt \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

for any $\psi \in C^1(S_T)$

cf. [10, Section 2.2].

3.1 Existence of approximate solutions

Our aim is use the existence result proved in [10, Theorem 1] to obtain the approximate solutions $(\varrho_\varepsilon, \vartheta_\varepsilon, \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon > 0}$. To perform this step some comments are in order. In comparison with [10], the present problem features the following new ingredients:

- The action of the Coriolis force in the momentum equation (3.5).
- The function ϑ_B in (3.1) is time dependent whereas its counterpart Θ_0 in [10] depends only on x .
- The exponent k in (3.1) equals zero in [10].

It is easy to check that the existence proof in [10] can be modified to accommodate the above changes as soon as suitable *a priori* bounds similar to those in [10, Section 2.4] are established. To see this, we start with the energy balance (3.7) yielding

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{S_T} \int_{\partial\Omega} |\vartheta_\varepsilon - \vartheta_B|^k (\vartheta_\varepsilon - \vartheta_B) d\sigma_x dt &= \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_x G dx dt = - \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_\varepsilon \partial_t G dx dt \\ &\leq M_0 \|\partial_t G\|_{L^\infty(S_T \times \Omega)}, \text{ where } M_0 = \int_{\Omega} \varrho dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

As $\vartheta_\varepsilon > 0$ a.a., (3.8) yields the bound

$$\|\vartheta_\varepsilon\|_{L^{k+1}(S_T \times \partial\Omega)} \lesssim 1 \quad (3.9)$$

in terms of the data and uniform for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Consequently, the entropy inequality (3.6) gives rise to the bound on the entropy production rate

$$\int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\vartheta_\varepsilon} \left[\mathbb{S} : \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \frac{\mathbf{q} \cdot \nabla_x \vartheta_\varepsilon}{\vartheta_\varepsilon} \right] dx dt \leq c(\varepsilon, \text{data}) \quad (3.10)$$

and the remaining estimates are obtained exactly as in [10, Section 2.4]. Note that the right-hand side of (3.10) may blow up for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

With the necessary *a priori* bounds at hand, we obtain a family of approximate solutions $(\varrho_\varepsilon, \vartheta_\varepsilon, \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0}$ exactly as in [10].

Proposition 3.1 (Approximate solutions). *In addition to the hypotheses of Theorem 2.2, let*

$$\varepsilon > 0, \quad 6 < k + 1 = \beta, \quad M_0 > 0 \quad (3.11)$$

be given.

Then the approximate problem (3.2) – (3.7) admits a solution $(\varrho_\varepsilon, \vartheta_\varepsilon, \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon)$.

3.2 Approximate ballistic energy balance

Let $\tilde{\vartheta} \in C^1(S_T \times \bar{\Omega})$ satisfy (2.18). Choosing $\varphi(t, x) = \psi(t) \tilde{\vartheta}(t, x)$, where $\psi \in C^1(S_T)$, $\psi \geq 0$, as a test function in the approximate entropy inequality (3.6) and adding the resulting integral to the energy balance (3.7), we deduce

$$- \int_{S_T} \partial_t \psi \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{1}{2} \varrho_\varepsilon |\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon|^2 + \varrho_\varepsilon e - \tilde{\vartheta} \varrho_\varepsilon s \right] dx dt + \int_{S_T} \psi \int_{\Omega} \frac{\tilde{\vartheta}}{\vartheta_\varepsilon} \left[\mathbb{S} : \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \frac{\mathbf{q} \cdot \nabla_x \vartheta_\varepsilon}{\vartheta_\varepsilon} \right] dx dt$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{S_T} \psi \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{|\vartheta_\varepsilon - \vartheta_B|^{k+2}}{\vartheta_\varepsilon} d\sigma_x dt \\
& \leq \int_{S_T} \psi \int_{\Omega} \left[\varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_x G - \varrho_\varepsilon s \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_x \tilde{\vartheta} - \frac{\mathbf{q}}{\vartheta_\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla_x \tilde{\vartheta} - \partial_t \tilde{\vartheta} \varrho_\varepsilon s \right] dx dt. \tag{3.12}
\end{aligned}$$

Inequality (3.12) is obviously a counter part of the ballistic energy balance (2.17) and will be used in the forthcoming part to deduce the necessary bounds on the family of approximate solutions.

4 Uniform bounds

In order to perform the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in the family of approximate solutions obtained in Proposition 3.1, we need uniform bounds independent of ε .

4.1 Mass conservation

Obviously, as the total mass of the fluid is conserved, we get

$$M_0 = \int_{\Omega} \varrho_\varepsilon(t, \cdot) dx \text{ for all } t \in S_T \Rightarrow \sup_{t \in S_T} \|\varrho_\varepsilon(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \lesssim 1. \tag{4.1}$$

4.2 Energy estimates

As both $\partial\Omega$ and the boundary data ϑ_B are smooth, we may suppose that

$$\Delta_x \vartheta_B(t, \cdot) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \text{ for any } t \in S_T. \tag{4.2}$$

Choosing $\psi = 1$, $\tilde{\vartheta} = \vartheta_B$ in the ballistic energy inequality (3.12) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\vartheta_B}{\vartheta_\varepsilon} \left[\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon) : \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon + \frac{\kappa(\vartheta_\varepsilon) |\nabla_x \vartheta_\varepsilon|^2}{\vartheta_\varepsilon} \right] dx dt \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{S_T} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{|\vartheta_\varepsilon - \vartheta_B|^{k+2}}{\vartheta_\varepsilon} d\sigma_x dt \\
& \leq \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \left[\varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_x G - \varrho_\varepsilon s(\varrho_\varepsilon, \vartheta_\varepsilon) \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_x \vartheta_B + \frac{\kappa(\vartheta_\varepsilon) \nabla_x \vartheta_\varepsilon}{\vartheta_\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla_x \vartheta_B - \partial_t \tilde{\vartheta} \varrho_\varepsilon s(\varrho_\varepsilon, \vartheta_\varepsilon) \right] dx dt. \tag{4.3}
\end{aligned}$$

By virtue of hypothesis (2.10) and Korn's inequality, we obtain

$$\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{W_0^{1,2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \lesssim \int_{\Omega} \frac{\vartheta_B}{\vartheta_\varepsilon} \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon) : \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon dx.$$

Moreover, again by virtue of (2.10),

$$\int_{\Omega} \left[|\nabla_x \vartheta_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{\beta}{2}}|^2 + |\nabla_x \log(\vartheta_{\varepsilon})|^2 \right] dx \lesssim \int_{\Omega} \frac{\vartheta_B \kappa(\vartheta_{\varepsilon}) |\nabla_x \vartheta_{\varepsilon}|^2}{\vartheta_{\varepsilon}} dx.$$

By Poincarè inequality (see e.g. Theorem 4.4.6 in [26]) we obtain that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\vartheta_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{\beta}{2}}|^2 dx \lesssim \int_{\partial\Omega} |\vartheta_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{\beta}{2}}|^2 d\sigma_x + \int_{\Omega} \left| \vartheta_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{\beta}{2}} - \int_{\partial\Omega} \vartheta_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{\beta}{2}} d\sigma_x \right|^2 dx \lesssim \int_{\partial\Omega} |\vartheta_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{\beta}{2}}|^2 d\sigma_x + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_x \vartheta_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{\beta}{2}}|^2 dx$$

as well as

$$\int_{\Omega} |\log(\vartheta_{\varepsilon})|^2 dx \lesssim \int_{\partial\Omega} |\log(\vartheta_{\varepsilon})|^2 d\sigma_x + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_x \log(\vartheta_{\varepsilon})|^2 dx.$$

Collecting the last three inequalities, hypothesis (3.11) and estimating the boundary terms

$$|\vartheta_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{\beta}{2}}|^2 + |\log(\vartheta_{\varepsilon})|^2 \lesssim \frac{|\vartheta_{\varepsilon} - \vartheta_B|^{k+2}}{\vartheta_{\varepsilon}}$$

gives

$$\left\| \vartheta_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \right\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 + \|\log(\vartheta_{\varepsilon})\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 \lesssim \left(1 + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{|\vartheta_{\varepsilon} - \vartheta_B|^{k+2}}{\vartheta_{\varepsilon}} d\sigma_x + \int_{\Omega} \frac{\vartheta_B \kappa(\vartheta_{\varepsilon}) |\nabla_x \vartheta_{\varepsilon}|^2}{\vartheta_{\varepsilon}} dx \right).$$

Note that as ε is small, thus the coefficient at the boundary term may appear without violating the estimates, but aiming to match the formulation of approximate ballistic energy balance. Gathering the previous observations, we may infer that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{S_T} \left[\|\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}\|_{W_0^{1,2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)}^2 + \left\| \vartheta_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \right\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 + \|\log(\vartheta_{\varepsilon})\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 \right] dt \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{S_T} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{|\vartheta_{\varepsilon} - \vartheta_B|^{\beta+1}}{\vartheta_{\varepsilon}} d\sigma_x dt \\ \lesssim & \left(1 + \left| \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \left[\varrho_{\varepsilon} \mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla_x G - \varrho_{\varepsilon} s(\varrho_{\varepsilon}, \vartheta_{\varepsilon}) \mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla_x \vartheta_B + \frac{\kappa(\vartheta_{\varepsilon}) \nabla_x \vartheta_{\varepsilon}}{\vartheta_{\varepsilon}} \cdot \nabla_x \vartheta_B - \partial_t \tilde{\varrho}_{\varepsilon} s(\varrho_{\varepsilon}, \vartheta_{\varepsilon}) \right] dx dt \right| \right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Now, as ϱ_{ε} , \mathbf{u}_{ε} solve the equation of continuity (2.13),

$$\int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{\varepsilon} \mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla_x G dx dt = - \int_{S_T} \varrho_{\varepsilon} \partial_t G dt \leq c(M_0, G).$$

In addition, denoting

$$\mathcal{K}(\vartheta) = \int_1^{\vartheta} \frac{\kappa(z)}{z} dz,$$

we obtain, by virtue of (4.2),

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{\kappa(\vartheta_{\varepsilon}) \nabla_x \vartheta_{\varepsilon}}{\vartheta_{\varepsilon}} \cdot \nabla_x \vartheta_B \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \nabla_x \mathcal{K}(\vartheta_{\varepsilon}) \cdot \nabla_x \vartheta_B \, dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathcal{K}(\vartheta_{\varepsilon}) \nabla_x \vartheta_B \cdot \mathbf{n} \, d\sigma_x.$$

Consequently, as κ satisfies hypothesis (2.10), we conclude

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} \frac{\kappa(\vartheta_{\varepsilon}) \nabla_x \vartheta_{\varepsilon}}{\vartheta_{\varepsilon}} \cdot \nabla_x \vartheta_B \, dx \right| = \left| \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathcal{K}(\vartheta_{\varepsilon}) \nabla_x \vartheta_B \cdot \mathbf{n} \, d\sigma_x \right| \lesssim \left(1 + \int_{S_T} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{|\vartheta_{\varepsilon} - \vartheta_B|^{\beta+1}}{\vartheta_{\varepsilon}} \, d\sigma_x \, dt \right).$$

Thus inequality (4.4) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{S_T} \left[\|\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}\|_{W_0^{1,2}(\Omega; R^d)}^2 + \left\| \vartheta_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \right\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 + \|\log(\vartheta_{\varepsilon})\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 \right] \, dt \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{S_T} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{|\vartheta_{\varepsilon} - \vartheta_B|^{\beta+1}}{\vartheta_{\varepsilon}} \, d\sigma_x \, dt \\ & \lesssim \left(1 + \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \left(|\varrho_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{S}(\varrho_{\varepsilon}, \vartheta_{\varepsilon}) \mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla_x \vartheta_B| + |\partial_t \tilde{\vartheta} \varrho_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{S}(\varrho_{\varepsilon}, \vartheta_{\varepsilon})| \right) \, dx \, dt \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

In accordance with hypothesis (2.6), we decompose the entropy as

$$\varrho_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{S}(\varrho_{\varepsilon}, \vartheta_{\varepsilon}) = \varrho_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{S} \left(\frac{\varrho_{\varepsilon}}{\vartheta_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) + \frac{4a}{3} \vartheta_{\varepsilon}^3.$$

Consequently, the radiation component may be handled as

$$\int_{\Omega} |\vartheta_{\varepsilon}^3 \mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla_x \vartheta_B| \, dx \leq \delta \|\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(\Omega; R^d)}^2 + c(\delta, \vartheta_B) \int_{\Omega} \vartheta_{\varepsilon}^6 \, dx$$

for any $\delta > 0$. Consequently, as $\beta > 6$, this term can be absorbed by the left-hand side of (4.5) yielding

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{S_T} \left[\|\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}\|_{W_0^{1,2}(\Omega; R^d)}^2 + \left\| \vartheta_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \right\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 + \|\log(\vartheta_{\varepsilon})\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 \right] \, dt \\ & + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{S_T} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{|\vartheta_{\varepsilon} - \vartheta_B|^{\beta+1}}{\vartheta_{\varepsilon}} \, d\sigma_x \, dt \lesssim \left(1 + \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \left| \varrho_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{S} \left(\frac{\varrho_{\varepsilon}}{\vartheta_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) \mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla_x \vartheta_B \right| + \left| \varrho_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{S} \left(\frac{\varrho_{\varepsilon}}{\vartheta_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) \partial_t \tilde{\vartheta} \right| \, dx \, dt \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Finally, following the arguments of [14, Section 4.4], we make use of the Third law of thermodynamics enforced through hypothesis (2.8). Specifically, if

$$\frac{\varrho}{\vartheta^{\frac{3}{2}}} < r \text{ meaning } \varrho < r \vartheta^{\frac{3}{2}},$$

we get, by virtue of (2.9),

$$0 \leq \varrho \mathcal{S} \left(\frac{\varrho}{\vartheta^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) \lesssim \left(1 + r \vartheta^{\frac{3}{2}} \left[\log^+(r \vartheta^{\frac{3}{2}}) + \log^+(\vartheta) \right] \right). \quad (4.7)$$

Consequently, we deduce from (4.6),

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{S_T} \left[\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{W_0^{1,2}(\Omega; R^d)}^2 + \left\| \vartheta_\varepsilon^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \right\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 + \|\log(\vartheta_\varepsilon)\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 \right] dt + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{S_T} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{|\vartheta_\varepsilon - \vartheta_B|^{\beta+1}}{\vartheta_\varepsilon} d\sigma_x dt \\ & \lesssim \left(\Lambda(r) + \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{ \frac{\varrho_\varepsilon}{\vartheta_\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}} \geq r \right\}} \left| \varrho_\varepsilon \mathcal{S} \left(\frac{\varrho_\varepsilon}{\vartheta_\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_x \vartheta_B \right| dx dt + \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{ \frac{\varrho_\varepsilon}{\vartheta_\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}} \geq r \right\}} \left| \varrho_\varepsilon \mathcal{S} \left(\frac{\varrho_\varepsilon}{\vartheta_\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) \partial_t \tilde{\vartheta} \right| dx dt \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

where $\Lambda(r) \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$.

Now, again by hypothesis (2.8),

$$0 \leq \mathbb{1}_{\left\{ \frac{\varrho_\varepsilon}{\vartheta_\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}} \geq r \right\}} \mathcal{S} \left(\frac{\varrho_\varepsilon}{\vartheta_\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) \leq \mathcal{S}(r) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

In an analogue way we treat the term $\int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \partial_t \tilde{\vartheta} \varrho_\varepsilon dx dt$. Going back to (4.8) we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{S_T} \left[\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{W_0^{1,2}(\Omega; R^d)}^2 + \left\| \vartheta_\varepsilon^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \right\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 + \|\log(\vartheta_\varepsilon)\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 \right] dt \\ & + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{S_T} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{|\vartheta_\varepsilon - \vartheta_B|^{\beta+1}}{\vartheta_\varepsilon} d\sigma_x dt \lesssim \left(\Lambda(r) + \mathcal{S}(r) \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} (|\varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon| + \varrho_\varepsilon) dx dt \right), \\ & \Lambda(r) \rightarrow \infty, \mathcal{S}(r) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

4.3 Pressure estimates

To close the estimates we have to control the density in terms of the integrals on the right-hand side of (4.9). To this end, we use the nowadays standard pressure estimates obtained via Bogovskii operator. Specifically, we use the quantity

$$\varphi(t, x) = \mathcal{B} \left[\varrho_\varepsilon^\omega - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_\varepsilon^\omega dx \right], \omega > 0,$$

as a test function in the momentum equation (3.5). Here \mathcal{B} denotes the operator enjoying the following properties:

-
-

$$\mathcal{B} : L_0^q(\Omega) \equiv \left\{ v \in L^q(\Omega) \mid \int_{\Omega} v \, dx = 0 \right\} \rightarrow W_0^{1,q}(\Omega; R^d), \quad 1 < q < \infty;$$

$$\operatorname{div}_x \mathcal{B}[v] = v;$$

- if $v = \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{g}$, with $\mathbf{g} \in L^q(\Omega; R^d)$, $\operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{g} \in L^r(\Omega)$, $\mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{n}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, then

$$\|\mathcal{B}[\operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{g}]\|_{L^r(\Omega; R^d)} \lesssim \|\mathbf{g}\|_{L^r(\Omega; R^d)},$$

see Galdi [15, Chapter 3] or Geißert, Heck, and Hieber [16]. After a straightforward manipulation (see e.g. [9]), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} p(\varrho_\varepsilon, \vartheta_\varepsilon) \varrho_\varepsilon^\omega \, dx \, dt &= \int_{S_T} \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \left(\int_{\Omega} \varrho_\varepsilon^\omega \, dx \right) \left(\int_{\Omega} p(\varrho_\varepsilon, \vartheta_\varepsilon) \, dx \right) \, dt \\ &\quad - \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_\varepsilon (\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \otimes \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon) : \nabla_x \mathcal{B} \left[\varrho_\varepsilon^\omega - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_\varepsilon^\omega \, dx \right] \, dx \, dt \\ &\quad + \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_\varepsilon (\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon) \cdot \mathcal{B} \left[\varrho_\varepsilon^\omega - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_\varepsilon^\omega \, dx \right] \, dx \, dt \\ &\quad + \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{S}(\vartheta_\varepsilon, \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon) : \nabla_x \mathcal{B} \left[\varrho_\varepsilon^\omega - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_\varepsilon^\omega \, dx \right] \, dx \, dt \\ &\quad - \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_\varepsilon \nabla_x G \cdot \mathcal{B} \left[\varrho_\varepsilon^\omega - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_\varepsilon^\omega \, dx \right] \, dx \, dt \\ &\quad + \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \cdot \mathcal{B}[\operatorname{div}_x(\varrho_\varepsilon^\omega \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon)] \, dx \, dt \\ &\quad + (\omega - 1) \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \cdot \mathcal{B} \left[\varrho_\varepsilon^\omega \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_\varepsilon^\omega \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \, dx \right] \, dx \, dt. \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

Since the total mass M_0 is constant, the smoothing properties of \mathcal{B} yield

$$\left\| \mathcal{B} \left[\varrho_\varepsilon^\omega - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_\varepsilon^\omega \, dx \right] \right\|_{L^\infty(S_T \times \Omega; R^d)} \leq c(M_0) \text{ as soon as } \omega < \frac{1}{d}.$$

Moreover, in accordance with hypotheses (2.3)–(2.5),

$$\varrho^{\frac{5}{3}} + \vartheta^4 \lesssim p(\varrho, \vartheta) \lesssim \varrho^{\frac{5}{3}} + \vartheta^4 + 1.$$

In view of these facts, inequality (4.10) gives rise to

$$\int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_\varepsilon^{\frac{5}{3} + \omega} \, dx \, dt \leq c(M_0) \left(1 + \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \vartheta_\varepsilon^4 \, dx \, dt \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon} \otimes \mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}) : \nabla_x \mathcal{B} \left[\varrho_{\varepsilon}^{\omega} - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{\varepsilon}^{\omega} dx \right] dx dt \\
& + \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}) \cdot \mathcal{B} \left[\varrho_{\varepsilon}^{\omega} - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{\varepsilon}^{\omega} dx \right] dx dt \\
& + \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{S}(\vartheta_{\varepsilon}, \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}) : \nabla_x \mathcal{B} \left[\varrho_{\varepsilon}^{\omega} - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{\varepsilon}^{\omega} dx \right] dx dt \\
& + \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{\varepsilon} \mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon} \cdot \mathcal{B}[\operatorname{div}_x(\varrho_{\varepsilon}^{\omega} \mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon})] dx dt \\
& + (\omega - 1) \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{\varepsilon} \mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon} \cdot \mathcal{B} \left[\varrho_{\varepsilon}^{\omega} \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{\varepsilon}^{\omega} \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon} dx \right] dx dt. \tag{4.11}
\end{aligned}$$

The following steps will be performed for $d = 3$. Obviously even better estimates can be obtained if $d = 2$. First,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon} \otimes \mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}) : \nabla_x \mathcal{B} \left[\varrho_{\varepsilon}^{\omega} - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{\varepsilon}^{\omega} dx \right] dx dt \right| \\
& \lesssim \int_{S_T} \|\varrho_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\gamma}(\Omega)} \|\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^6(\Omega; R^3)}^2 \|\varrho_{\varepsilon}^{\omega}\|_{L^q(\Omega)} dt \\
& \lesssim \sup_{t \in S_T} \|\varrho_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\gamma}(\Omega)} \int_{S_T} \|\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega; R^3)}^2 dt \sup_{t \in S_T} \|\varrho_{\varepsilon}^{\omega}\|_{L^q(\Omega)} dt,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$q = \frac{3\gamma}{2\gamma - 3} > 1 \text{ provided } \gamma > \frac{3}{2}.$$

Fixing

$$\gamma = \frac{5}{3}, \quad \omega = \frac{3\gamma}{2\gamma - 3} = \frac{1}{15} \tag{4.12}$$

and using the fact that the total mass M_0 is conserved, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon} \otimes \mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}) : \nabla_x \mathcal{B} \left[\varrho_{\varepsilon}^{\omega} - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{\varepsilon}^{\omega} dx \right] dx dt \right| \\
& \leq c(M_0) \sup_{t \in S_T} \|\varrho_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\gamma}(\Omega)} \int_{S_T} \|\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega; R^3)}^2 dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Seeing that the integral containing the Coriolis force can be controlled in a similar way we may rewrite (4.11) in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{5}{3} + \omega} dx dt \leq c(M_0) \left(1 + \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \vartheta_{\varepsilon}^4 dx dt \right) \\
& + \sup_{t \in S_T} \|\varrho_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\gamma}(\Omega)} \int_{S_T} \|\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega; R^3)}^2 dt
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{S}(\vartheta_\varepsilon, \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon) : \nabla_x \mathcal{B} \left[\varrho_\varepsilon^\omega - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_\varepsilon^\omega \, dx \right] \, dx \, dt \\
& + \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \cdot \mathcal{B}[\operatorname{div}_x(\varrho_\varepsilon^\omega \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon)] \, dx \, dt \\
& + (\omega - 1) \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \cdot \mathcal{B} \left[\varrho_\varepsilon^\omega \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_\varepsilon^\omega \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \, dx \right] \, dx \, dt. \tag{4.13}
\end{aligned}$$

In a similar way, we get

$$\left| \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \cdot \mathcal{B}[\operatorname{div}_x(\varrho_\varepsilon^\omega \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon)] \, dx \, dt \right| \lesssim \int_{S_T} \|\varrho_\varepsilon\|_{L^\gamma(\Omega)} \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^6(\Omega; R^3)} \|\varrho_\varepsilon^\omega \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^q(\Omega; R^3)} \, dt,$$

where

$$\frac{1}{\gamma} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{q} = 1.$$

In addition,

$$\|\varrho_\varepsilon^\omega \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^q(\Omega; R^3)} \leq \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^6(\Omega; R^3)} \|\varrho_\varepsilon^\omega\|_{L^p(\Omega)}, \text{ where } \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{p};$$

whence

$$\left| \int_{\tau}^{\tau+1} \int_{\Omega} \varrho \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathcal{B}[\operatorname{div}_x(\varrho^\alpha \mathbf{u})] \, dx \right| \leq c(M) \sup_{t \in (\tau, \tau+1)} \|\varrho\|_{L^{\frac{5}{3}}(\Omega)} \int_{\tau}^{\tau+1} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega; R^3)}^2 \, dt$$

as soon as (4.12) holds.

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \cdot \mathcal{B} \left[\varrho_\varepsilon^\omega \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_\varepsilon^\omega \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \, dx \right] \, dx \, dt \right| \\
& \leq \int_{S_T} \|\varrho_\varepsilon\|_{L^\gamma(\Omega)} \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^6(\Omega; R^3)} \left\| \mathcal{B} \left[\varrho_\varepsilon^\omega \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_\varepsilon^\omega \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \, dx \right] \right\|_{L^q(\Omega; R^3)} \, dt,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\frac{1}{\gamma} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{q} = 1.$$

Here,

$$\left\| \mathcal{B} \left[\varrho_\varepsilon^\omega \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_\varepsilon^\omega \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \, dx \right] \right\|_{L^q(\Omega; R^3)} \lesssim \|\varrho_\varepsilon^\omega \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^r(\Omega; R^3)}, \quad q = \frac{3r}{3-r},$$

and

$$\|\varrho_\varepsilon^\omega \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^r(\Omega; R^3)} \leq \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega; R^3)} \|\varrho_\varepsilon^\omega\|_{L^p(\Omega)}, \text{ with } \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{r}.$$

Thus using (4.12) we may infer that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{\varepsilon} \mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon} \cdot \mathcal{B} \left[\varrho_{\varepsilon}^{\omega} \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{\varepsilon}^{\omega} \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon} \, dx \right] \, dx \, dt \right| \\ & \leq c(M_0) \sup_{t \in S_T} \|\varrho_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\frac{5}{3}}(\Omega)} \int_{S_T} \|\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega; R^3)}^2 \, dt. \end{aligned}$$

Going back to (4.13) and summarizing the previous estimates we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{5}{3}+\omega} \, dx \, dt \leq c(M_0) \left(1 + \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \vartheta_{\varepsilon}^4 \, dx \, dt \right. \\ & \quad + \sup_{t \in S_T} \|\varrho_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\frac{5}{3}}(\Omega)} \int_{S_T} \|\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega; R^3)}^2 \, dt \\ & \quad \left. + \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{S}(\vartheta_{\varepsilon}, \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}) : \nabla_x \mathcal{B} \left[\varrho_{\varepsilon}^{\omega} - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{\varepsilon}^{\omega} \, dx \right] \, dx \, dt \right), \text{ where } \omega = \frac{1}{15}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

The last step is estimating

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{S}(\vartheta_{\varepsilon}, \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}) : \nabla_x \mathcal{B} \left[\varrho_{\varepsilon}^{\omega} - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{\varepsilon}^{\omega} \, dx \right] \, dx \\ & \leq (1 + \|\vartheta_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^4(\Omega)}) \|\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega; R^3)} \left\| \nabla_x \mathcal{B} \left[\varrho_{\varepsilon}^{\omega} - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{\varepsilon}^{\omega} \, dx \right] \right\|_{L^4(\Omega; R^3)} \\ & \leq c(M) (1 + \|\vartheta_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^4(\Omega)}) \|\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega; R^3)}. \end{aligned}$$

We therefore conclude the pressure estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{5}{3}+\omega} \, dx \, dt \leq c(M_0) \left[1 + \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \vartheta_{\varepsilon}^4 \, dx \, dt \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left(1 + \sup_{t \in S_T} \|\varrho_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\frac{5}{3}}(\Omega)} \right) \int_{S_T} \|\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega; R^3)}^2 \, dt \right], \omega = \frac{1}{15}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

4.4 Uniform bounds for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$

As $\beta > 6$, we deduce from the inequalities (4.9), (4.15) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \vartheta_{\varepsilon}^4 \, dx \, dt & \lesssim \left(1 + \int_{S_T} \|\vartheta_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{\beta}{2}}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 \, dt \right) \\ & \lesssim \left(1 + \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{\varepsilon} |\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}| \, dx \, dt \right) \end{aligned}$$

provided we fix $r = 1$ in (4.9). Furthermore,

$$\int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{\varepsilon} |\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}| \, dx \, dt \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{\varepsilon} \, dx \, dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{\varepsilon} |\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}|^2 \, dx \, dt$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{1}{2}TM_0 + \frac{1}{2} \sup_{t \in S_T} \|\varrho_\varepsilon\|_{L^{\frac{5}{3}}(\Omega)} \int_{S_T} \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^5(\Omega;R^3)}^2 dt \\
&\leq c(M_0) \left(1 + \sup_{t \in S_T} \|\varrho_\varepsilon\|_{L^{\frac{5}{3}}(\Omega)} \int_{S_T} \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega;R^3)}^2 dt \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, inequality (4.15) reduces to

$$\int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_\varepsilon^{\frac{5}{3}+\omega} dx dt \leq c(M_0) \left[1 + \left(1 + \sup_{t \in S_T} \|\varrho_\varepsilon\|_{L^{\frac{5}{3}}(\Omega)} \right) \int_{S_T} \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega;R^3)}^2 dt \right], \quad \omega = \frac{1}{15}. \quad (4.16)$$

Next, going back to (4.9) we get

$$\int_{S_T} \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega;R^3)}^2 dt \lesssim \left(\mathcal{S}(r) \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} (\varrho_\varepsilon |\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon| + \varrho_\varepsilon) dx dt + \Lambda(r) \right)$$

where, by means of the standard Sobolev embedding theorem,

$$\int_{\Omega} \varrho_\varepsilon |\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon| dx \leq \|\sqrt{\varrho_\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\sqrt{\varrho_\varepsilon}\|_{L^3(\Omega)} \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^6(\Omega;R^3)} \leq c(M_0) \|\sqrt{\varrho_\varepsilon}\|_{L^3(\Omega)} \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega;R^3)}.$$

Consequently,

$$\int_{S_T} \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega;R^3)}^2 dt \lesssim \left(\mathcal{S}(r) \int_{S_T} \|\varrho_\varepsilon\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)} dt + \Lambda(r) \right) \quad (4.17)$$

Now, introducing the total energy of the system,

$$E(\varrho, \vartheta, \mathbf{u}) = \frac{1}{2} \varrho |\mathbf{u}|^2 + \varrho e(\varrho, \vartheta)$$

we first observe that

$$\sup_{t \in S_T} \int_{\Omega} E(\varrho_\varepsilon, \vartheta_\varepsilon, \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon) dx \lesssim \left(1 + \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} E(\varrho_\varepsilon, \vartheta_\varepsilon, \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon) dx dt \right). \quad (4.18)$$

The estimate (4.18) follows from the mean value theorem and the ballistic energy inequality (3.12). Indeed, in view of the uniform bounds established in Section 4.2, we first deduce (4.18) for the ballistic energy

$$E(\varrho_\varepsilon, \vartheta_\varepsilon, \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon) - \vartheta_B \varrho_\varepsilon s(\varrho_\varepsilon, \vartheta_\varepsilon),$$

and then use (2.9) to observe that the entropy part $\vartheta_B \varrho_\varepsilon s(\varrho_\varepsilon, \vartheta_\varepsilon)$ is a lower order perturbation.

Now, we estimate the kinetic energy using (4.17),

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_\varepsilon |\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon|^2 dx dt &\leq \sup_{t \in S_T} \|\varrho_\varepsilon\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)} \int_{S_T} \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^6(\Omega;R^3)}^2 dt \\
&\leq c \sup_{t \in S_T} \|\varrho_\varepsilon\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)} \int_{\tau}^{\tau+1} \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega;R^3)}^2 dt
\end{aligned}$$

$$\lesssim \Lambda(r) \sup_{t \in S_T} \|\varrho_\varepsilon\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)} + \mathcal{S}(r) \sup_{t \in S_T} \|\varrho_\varepsilon\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)} \int_{S_T} \|\varrho_\varepsilon\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)} dt.$$

In addition, by interpolation,

$$\|\varrho_\varepsilon\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)} \leq \|\varrho_\varepsilon\|_{L^{\frac{5}{3}}(\Omega)}^{\frac{5}{6}} \|\varrho_\varepsilon\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{6}}. \quad (4.19)$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_\varepsilon |\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon|^2 dx dt &\leq \\ &\lesssim c(M_0) \Lambda(r) \sup_{t \in S_T} \|\varrho_\varepsilon\|_{L^{\frac{5}{3}}(\Omega)}^{\frac{5}{6}} + \mathcal{S}(r) \sup_{t \in S_T} \|\varrho_\varepsilon\|_{L^{\frac{5}{3}}(\Omega)}^{\frac{5}{6}} \int_{S_T} \|\varrho_\varepsilon\|_{L^{\frac{5}{3}}(\Omega)}^{\frac{5}{6}} dt. \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

Combining (4.16), (4.17), (4.19) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_\varepsilon^{\frac{5}{3}+\omega} dx dt &\leq c(M_0) \left[1 + \left(1 + \sup_{t \in S_T} \|\varrho_\varepsilon\|_{L^{\frac{5}{3}}(\Omega)} \right) \int_{S_T} \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega; R^3)}^2 dt \right] \\ &\leq c(M_0) \left[1 + \left(1 + \sup_{t \in S_T} \|\varrho_\varepsilon\|_{L^{\frac{5}{3}}(\Omega)} \right) \left(\mathcal{S}(r) \int_{S_T} \|\varrho_\varepsilon\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)} dt + \Lambda(r) \right) \right] \\ &\leq c(M_0) \left[1 + \left(1 + \sup_{t \in S_T} \|\varrho_\varepsilon\|_{L^{\frac{5}{3}}(\Omega)} \right) \left(\mathcal{S}(r) \int_{S_T} \|\varrho_\varepsilon\|_{L^{\frac{5}{3}}(\Omega)}^{\frac{5}{6}} dt + \Lambda(r) \right) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

Interpolating L^1 and $L^{\frac{5}{3}+\omega}$ and using boundedness of the total mass we have

$$\int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_\varepsilon^{\frac{5}{3}} dx dt \leq c(M_0) \left(\int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_\varepsilon^{\frac{5}{3}+\omega} dx dt \right)^{\frac{10}{11}} \text{ provided } \omega = \frac{1}{15}. \quad (4.22)$$

Thus summing up (4.18)–(4.22) we may infer that

$$\begin{aligned} (4.18) &\Rightarrow \sup_{t \in S_T} \int_{\Omega} E(\varrho_\varepsilon, \vartheta_\varepsilon, \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon) dx \lesssim \left(1 + \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} E(\varrho_\varepsilon, \vartheta_\varepsilon, \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon) dx dt \right) \\ &\lesssim \left[1 + \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \left(\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{W_0^{1,2}(\Omega; R^d)}^2 + \left\| \vartheta_\varepsilon^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \right\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 + \|\log(\vartheta_\varepsilon)\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 \right) dx dt \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_\varepsilon |\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon|^2 dx dt + \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_\varepsilon^{\frac{5}{3}} dx dt \right] \\ (4.9) &\Rightarrow \lesssim \left[1 + \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_\varepsilon |\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon|^2 dx dt + \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_\varepsilon^{\frac{5}{3}} dx dt \right] \\ (4.20) &\Rightarrow \lesssim \left[1 + \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_\varepsilon^{\frac{5}{3}} dx dt \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \Lambda(r) \left(\sup_{t \in S_T} \int_{\Omega} E(\varrho_\varepsilon, \vartheta_\varepsilon, \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon) \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{S}(r) \left(\sup_{t \in S_T} \int_{\Omega} E(\varrho_\varepsilon, \vartheta_\varepsilon, \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon) \, dx \right) \Big] \\
(4.22) \quad & \Rightarrow \leq c(M_0) \left[1 + \left(\int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_\varepsilon^{\frac{5}{3} + \omega} \, dx \, dt \right)^{\frac{10}{11}} \right. \\
& \left. + \Lambda(r) \left(\sup_{t \in S_T} \int_{\Omega} E(\varrho_\varepsilon, \vartheta_\varepsilon, \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon) \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{S}(r) \left(\sup_{t \in S_T} \int_{\Omega} E(\varrho_\varepsilon, \vartheta_\varepsilon, \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon) \, dx \right) \right] \\
(4.21) \quad & \Rightarrow \leq c(M_0) \left[\Lambda(r) + \mathcal{S}^{\frac{10}{11}}(r) \left(\sup_{t \in S_T} \int_{\Omega} E(\varrho_\varepsilon, \vartheta_\varepsilon, \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon) \, dx \right) \right. \\
& \left. + \Lambda(r) \left(\sup_{t \in S_T} \int_{\Omega} E(\varrho_\varepsilon, \vartheta_\varepsilon, \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon) \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{S}(r) \left(\sup_{t \in S_T} \int_{\Omega} E(\varrho_\varepsilon, \vartheta_\varepsilon, \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon) \, dx \right) \right]. \quad (4.23)
\end{aligned}$$

As $\mathcal{S}(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, we fix $r > 0$ large enough to deduce from (4.23) the desired energy bound

$$\sup_{t \in S_T} \int_{\Omega} E(\varrho_\varepsilon, \vartheta_\varepsilon, \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon) \, dx \leq c(M_0). \quad (4.24)$$

5 Convergence

Our ultimate goal is to perform the limit in the sequence of approximate solutions $(\varrho_\varepsilon, \vartheta_\varepsilon, \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon > 0}$ to obtain the existence of the time-periodic solution claimed in Theorem 2.2. With the energy estimate (4.24) at hand, this is a routine matter nowadays well understood. Indeed the test functions used in the entropy inequality (2.16) are compactly supported thus unaffected by the boundary integral in its approximate counterpart (3.6). Similarly, the approximate ballistic energy (3.12) is in fact stronger than (2.17) due to the penalization

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{S_T} \psi \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{|\vartheta_\varepsilon - \vartheta_B|^{k+2}}{\vartheta_\varepsilon} \, d\sigma_x \, dt \lesssim 1, \quad \psi \geq 0. \quad (5.1)$$

In particular, for $\psi = 1$, the above inequality together with the (3.12) yield

$$\vartheta_\varepsilon \rightarrow \vartheta \text{ weakly in } L^2(0, T; W^{1,2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d))$$

with the limit trace $\vartheta|_{\partial\Omega} = \vartheta_B$ as required in Theorem 2.2.

Consequently, the proof of convergence is exactly the same as in the existence theory elaborated in [7] with the exception of the strong convergence of the density, the ‘‘initial’’ value of which is unspecified in the periodic setting. Fortunately, the compactness arguments based on Lions’ identity and boundedness of the oscillation defect measure can be modified to accommodate the time periodic setting exactly as in [10, Section 9.3]. Thus the proof of Theorem 2.2 can be completed.

6 Concluding remarks

In comparison with [10], the available *a priori* bounds do not allow to handle a general driving force $\varrho \mathbf{g}$ in the momentum equation. Although the potential case $\mathbf{g} = \nabla_x G$ is physically relevant, more general (non-potential) forces occur when the fluid is stirred up by the motion of the container. A detailed inspection of the arguments in Section 4.3 reveals they could be considerably improved in the case $d = 2$ due to the Sobolev embedding $W^{1,2} \subset L^q$ for any finite q . Similar improvement may also be expected in the case the total mass M_0 is small, cf. Wang and Wang [25]. We therefore strongly conjecture that the present result can be extended to a general driving force \mathbf{g} provided

- either $d = 2$,
- or

$$M_0 = \int_{\Omega} \varrho \, dx$$

is small enough with respect to the amplitude of \mathbf{g} .

As potentiality of \mathbf{g} was also used in the estimate (3.8) crucial for boundedness of the approximate sequence, the proof of the above conjecture would require a different kind of approximation scheme.

References

- [1] S. E. Bechtel, F.J. Rooney, and M.G. Forest. Connection between stability, convexity of internal energy, and the second law for compressible Newtonian fluids. *J. Appl. Mech.*, **72**:299–300, 2005.
- [2] F. Belgiorno. Notes on the third law of thermodynamics, I. *J. Phys. A*, **36**:8165–8193, 2003.
- [3] F. Belgiorno. Notes on the third law of thermodynamics, ii. *J. Phys. A*, **36**:8195–8221, 2003.
- [4] J. Březina and Y. Kagei. Decay properties of solutions to the linearized compressible Navier-Stokes equation around time-periodic parallel flow. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.*, **22**(7):1250007, 53, 2012.
- [5] J. Březina and Y. Kagei. Spectral properties of the linearized compressible Navier-Stokes equation around time-periodic parallel flow. *J. Differential Equations*, **255**(6):1132–1195, 2013.
- [6] H. Cai and Z. Tan. Weak time-periodic solutions to the compressible Navier-Stokes equations. *Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B (Engl. Ed.)*, **36**(2):499–513, 2016.
- [7] N. Chaudhuri and E. Feireisl. Navier–Stokes–Fourier system with Dirichlet boundary conditions. **arxiv preprint No. 2106.05315**, 2021.

- [8] P. A. Davidson. *Turbulence: An introduction for scientists and engineers*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2004.
- [9] E. Feireisl, Š. Matušů-Nečasová, H. Petzeltová, and I. Straškraba. On the motion of a viscous compressible flow driven by a time-periodic external flow. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, **149**:69–96, 1999.
- [10] E. Feireisl, P. Mucha, A. Novotný, and M. Pokorný. Time periodic solutions to the full Navier-Stokes-Fourier system. *Arch. Rational. Mech. Anal.*, **204**:745–786, 2012.
- [11] E. Feireisl and A. Novotný. *Singular limits in thermodynamics of viscous fluids*. Advances in Mathematical Fluid Mechanics. Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2017. Second edition.
- [12] E. Feireisl, A. Novotný, and H. Petzeltová. On the existence of globally defined weak solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations of compressible isentropic fluids. *J. Math. Fluid Mech.*, **3**:358–392, 2001.
- [13] E. Feireisl and H. Petzeltová. On the long-time behaviour of solutions to the Navier-Stokes-Fourier system with a time-dependent driving force. *J. Dynam. Differential Equations*, **19**(3):685–707, 2007.
- [14] E. Feireisl and A. Świerczewska-Gwiazda. The Rayleigh–Bénard problem for compressible fluid flows. **arxiv preprint No. 2110.10198**, 2021.
- [15] G. P. Galdi. *An introduction to the mathematical theory of the Navier - Stokes equations, I*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.
- [16] M. Geißert, H. Heck, and M. Hieber. On the equation $\operatorname{div} u = g$ and Bogovskii’s operator in Sobolev spaces of negative order. In *Partial differential equations and functional analysis*, volume 168 of *Oper. Theory Adv. Appl.*, pages 113–121. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2006.
- [17] Ch. Jin and T. Yang. Time periodic solution to the compressible Navier-Stokes equations in a periodic domain. *Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B (Engl. Ed.)*, **36**(4):1015–1029, 2016.
- [18] Y. Kagei and R. Oomachi. Stability of time periodic solution of the Navier-Stokes equation on the half-space under oscillatory moving boundary condition. *J. Differential Equations*, **261**(6):3366–3413, 2016.
- [19] Y. Kagei and K. Tsuda. Existence and stability of time periodic solution to the compressible Navier-Stokes equation for time periodic external force with symmetry. *J. Differential Equations*, **258**(2):399–444, 2015.
- [20] P.-L. Lions. *Mathematical topics in fluid dynamics, Vol.1, Incompressible models*. Oxford Science Publication, Oxford, 1996.

- [21] P.-L. Lions. *Mathematical topics in fluid dynamics, Vol.2, Compressible models*. Oxford Science Publication, Oxford, 1998.
- [22] K. Tsuda. Existence and stability of time periodic solution to the compressible Navier-Stokes-Korteweg system on \mathbb{R}^3 . *J. Math. Fluid Mech.*, **18**(1):157–185, 2016.
- [23] A. Valli. Navier-Stokes equations for compressible fluids: global estimates and periodic solutions. In *Nonlinear functional analysis and its applications, Part 2 (Berkeley, Calif., 1983)*, volume 45 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 467–476. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1986.
- [24] A. Valli and M. Zajackowski. Navier-Stokes equations for compressible fluids: Global existence and qualitative properties of the solutions in the general case. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **103**:259–296, 1986.
- [25] X. Wang and W. Wang. On global behavior of weak solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations of compressible fluid for $\gamma = 5/3$. *Bound. Value Probl.*, pages 2015:176, 13, 2015.
- [26] W. Ziemer *Weakly differentiable functions* Springer-Verlag, 1989