



INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS

THE CZECH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES

**Taylor-Couette flow with temperature
fluctuations: Time periodic solutions**

Eduard Feireisl
Young-Sam Kwon

Preprint No. 43-2022

PRAHA 2022

Taylor–Couette flow with temperature fluctuations: Time periodic solutions

Eduard Feireisl * Young–Sam Kwon †

August 9, 2022

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic
Žitná 25, CZ-115 67 Praha 1, Czech Republic

Department of Mathematics, Dong-A University
Busan 49315, Republic of Korea

Abstract

We consider the motion of a viscous compressible and heat conducting fluid confined in the gap between two rotating cylinders (Taylor–Couette flow). The temperature of the cylinders is fixed but not necessarily constant. We show that the problem admits a time-periodic solution as soon as the ratio of the angular velocities of the two cylinders is a rational number.

Keywords: Navier–Stokes–Fourier system, Taylor–Couette flow, time periodic solution

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Field equations	3
1.2	Time periodic solutions	4

*The work of E. Feireisl was partially supported by the Czech Sciences Foundation (GAČR), Grant Agreement 21–02411S. The Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic is supported by RVO:67985840.

†The work of Y.–S. Kwon was partially supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF2020R1F1A1A01049805)

2	Hypotheses and main result	5
2.1	Hypotheses	5
2.2	Weak solution	6
2.3	Main result	7
3	Approximate problem	8
3.1	A priori bounds	10
3.2	Existence of approximate solutions	10
3.3	Limit $N \rightarrow \infty$	10
4	Limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$	11
4.1	Ballistic energy balance	11
4.2	Extending boundary velocity	13
4.3	Uniform bounds	13
4.3.1	Entropy dependent terms	14
4.3.2	Convective term	16
4.4	Pressure estimates	18
4.4.1	Integrability of the pressure	18
4.4.2	Equi-integrability of the pressure	19
4.5	Conclusion, limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$	21
5	Concluding remarks	21

1 Introduction

The Taylor–Couette flow is one of the iconic examples of turbulent fluid motion driven by the adherence of a viscous fluid to the kinematic boundary. Specifically, consider two not necessarily concentric cylinders

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}_1 &= B_{\underline{r}}(\mathbf{a}) \times [0, 1], \quad \mathcal{C}_2 = B_{\bar{r}}(\mathbf{b}) \times [0, 1], \\
B_{\underline{r}}(\mathbf{a}) &= \left\{ x_h \equiv (x_1, x_2) \mid |x_h - \mathbf{a}| \leq \underline{r} \right\}, \quad B_{\bar{r}}(\mathbf{b}) = \left\{ x_h \equiv (x_1, x_2) \mid |x_h - \mathbf{b}| \leq \bar{r} \right\}, \\
0 &< \underline{r}, \quad |\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}| + \underline{r} < \bar{r}.
\end{aligned}$$

For the sake of simplicity, we suppose the motion is periodic in the vertical direction x_3 therefore the fluid domain $\Omega \subset R^3$ is given as

$$\Omega = \left(\text{int}[\mathcal{C}_2] \setminus \mathcal{C}_1 \right) \times \mathbb{T}^1, \quad \mathbb{T}^1 \equiv [0, 1] \Big|_{\{0,1\}}. \tag{1.1}$$

The cylinders $\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2$ rotate with constant angular velocities ω_1, ω_2 respectively. Finally, we suppose the surface temperatures θ_1 of $\partial\mathcal{C}_1, \theta_2$ of $\partial\mathcal{C}_2$ are independent of time but not necessarily constant.

We consider a general viscous and heat conducting fluid. Accordingly, the fluid velocity $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}(t, x)$ satisfies the no-slip boundary conditions

$$\mathbf{u}|_{\partial\Omega} = \mathbf{u}_B, \text{ where } \mathbf{u}_B(x) = \begin{cases} \omega_1(x_h - \mathbf{a})^\perp & \text{on } \partial\mathcal{C}_1, \\ \omega_2(x_h - \mathbf{b})^\perp & \text{on } \partial\mathcal{C}_2. \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

Similarly, we impose the Dirichlet boundary conditions for the fluid temperature $\vartheta = \vartheta(t, x)$. Although the temperature of the cylinders is independent of time, the fact that they rotate enforces the *time dependent* boundary conditions:

$$\vartheta|_{\partial\Omega} = \vartheta_B, \quad \vartheta_B(t, x) = \begin{cases} \theta_1(\mathbf{a} + \underline{r}[\cos(\omega_1 t), \sin(\omega_1 t)], x_3) & \text{on } \partial\mathcal{C}_1, \\ \theta_2(\mathbf{b} + \bar{r}[\cos(\omega_2 t), \sin(\omega_2 t)], x_3) & \text{on } \partial\mathcal{C}_2. \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

If the ratio

$$\frac{\omega_1}{\omega_2} = k - \text{ a rational number} \quad (1.4)$$

the boundary temperature ϑ_B is periodic in time,

$$\vartheta_B(t + T, x) = \vartheta_B(t, x) \text{ for a certain } T \geq 0. \quad (1.5)$$

As pointed out in Yang et al [28]: “*Many natural and industrial turbulent flows are subjected to time-dependent boundary conditions.*”

1.1 Field equations

The time evolution of the fluid density $\varrho = \varrho(t, x)$, the (absolute) temperature $\vartheta = \vartheta(t, x)$ and the velocity $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}(t, x)$ is governed by the *Navier–Stokes–Fourier (NSF) system* of partial differential equations:

$$\partial_t \varrho + \operatorname{div}_x(\varrho \mathbf{u}) = 0, \quad (1.6)$$

$$\partial_t(\varrho \mathbf{u}) + \operatorname{div}_x(\varrho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) + \nabla_x p(\varrho, \vartheta) = \operatorname{div}_x \mathbb{S}(\vartheta, \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u}) + \varrho \nabla_x G, \quad (1.7)$$

$$\partial_t(\varrho e(\varrho, \vartheta)) + \operatorname{div}_x(\varrho e(\varrho, \vartheta) \mathbf{u}) + \nabla_x \mathbf{q}(\vartheta, \nabla_x \vartheta) = \mathbb{S}(\vartheta, \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u}) : \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u} - p(\varrho, \vartheta) \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u}, \quad (1.8)$$

where \mathbb{S} is the viscous stress given by Newton’s rheological law

$$\mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u} = \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_x \mathbf{u} + \nabla_x^t \mathbf{u}), \quad \mathbb{S}(\vartheta, \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u}) = \mu(\vartheta) \left(\nabla_x \mathbf{u} + \nabla_x^t \mathbf{u} - \frac{2}{3} \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u} \mathbb{I} \right) + \eta(\vartheta) \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u} \mathbb{I}, \quad (1.9)$$

and \mathbf{q} is the heat flux given by Fourier’s law

$$\mathbf{q}(\vartheta, \nabla_x \vartheta) = -\kappa(\vartheta) \nabla_x \vartheta. \quad (1.10)$$

The pressure $p = p(\varrho, \vartheta)$ and the internal energy are interrelated by Gibbs’ equation

$$\vartheta Ds = De + pD\frac{1}{\varrho}, \quad (1.11)$$

where s is a new thermodynamic function called entropy.

1.2 Time periodic solutions

Motivated by the example of the Taylor–Couette flow, our goal is to establish existence of time-periodic solutions to the NSF system driven by time periodic boundary conditions. Specifically, we consider a bounded domain $\Omega \subset R^3$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega \text{ of class } C^\infty, \quad \partial\Omega = \cup_{i=1}^n \Gamma_i, \\ \mathbf{u}_B = \mathbf{u}_B(x), \mathbf{u}_B \cdot \mathbf{n}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \\ \vartheta_B(t+T, \cdot)|_{\partial\Omega} = \vartheta_B(t, \cdot)|_{\partial\Omega} \text{ for some } T > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{1.12}$$

The assumption \mathbf{u}_B independent of time can be replaced by time-periodicity, the assumption that \mathbf{u}_B is tangential to the boundary is however essential as it entails the total mass conservation

$$M \equiv \int_{\Omega} \varrho(t, \cdot) \, dx \text{ for any } t. \tag{1.13}$$

The present work can be seen as a continuation of the papers [13] and [15] devoted to the time periodic solutions to the NSF system. The main difference between [13] and [15] is the concept of weak solution. The approach of [15] is based on the mathematical theory developed in the monograph [16] for essentially energetically closed fluid systems, while the more recent result [13] requires the new concept of weak solution for general open systems introduced in [10] and further elaborated in the monograph [17]. Similarly, the present paper needs the new framework [17].

At first glance, the results presented below could be seen as a generalization of [13] to the case of inhomogeneous boundary velocity. There is, however, a substantial difference due to the choice of the equation of state. In order to handle the problem driven by the motion of the boundary, the pressure equation of state must be augmented by the so-called hard-sphere pressure component already used in [14], see also [17, Chapter 9, Section 9.1.4]. Such a hypothesis is not needed in [13] therefore the principal part of the analysis based on *a priori* bounds in [13] is quite complementary to the present case.

To conclude the introductory part, let us recall that there several results concerning the time periodic solutions to the compressible and/or heat conducting fluid systems driven by *smooth and small* data, see e.g. Březina and Kagei [8], [9], Jin and Yang [21], Kagei and Oomachi [22], Kagei and Tsuda [23], Tsuda [25], Valli and Zajaczkowski [26], [27] to name only a few. Last but not least, it is worth mentioning there are alternative approaches to the concept of weak solutions proposed Bresch and Desjardins [4], [5] or Bresch and Jabin [6]. Neither of them, however, seem to apply to problems with inhomogeneous boundary conditions.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we list the main hypotheses and state our main result. In Section 3, we introduce a family of approximate problems solvable by the methods developed in [15]. In Section 4, we perform the limit in the sequence of approximate solutions and prove the main existence result. Section 5 contains concluding remarks.

2 Hypotheses and main result

In this section, we collect the necessary hypotheses imposed on the constitutive equations and state our main result.

2.1 Hypotheses

Following [14], [17, Chapter 4, Section 4.3] we impose the following hypotheses concerning the equation of state:

$$p(\varrho, \vartheta) = p_m(\varrho, \vartheta) + p_r(\vartheta) + p_{HS}(\varrho), \quad e(\varrho, \vartheta) = e_M(\varrho, \vartheta) + e_r(\varrho, \vartheta) + e_{HS}(\varrho), \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$p_m(\varrho, \vartheta) = \vartheta^{\frac{5}{2}} P\left(\frac{\varrho}{\vartheta^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right), \quad p_r(\vartheta) = \frac{a}{3} \vartheta^4, \quad a > 0, \quad p_{HS}(\varrho) = \frac{b\varrho}{(\bar{\varrho} - \varrho)^s}, \quad b > 0, \quad (2.2)$$

$$e_m(\varrho, \vartheta) = \frac{3}{2} \frac{\vartheta^{\frac{5}{2}}}{\varrho} P\left(\frac{\varrho}{\vartheta^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right), \quad e_r(\varrho, \vartheta) = \frac{a}{\varrho} \vartheta^4, \quad e_{HS}(\varrho) = \int_{\bar{\varrho}/2}^{\varrho} \frac{b}{z(\bar{\varrho} - z)^s} dz, \quad s > 3, \quad (2.3)$$

where $P \in C^1[0, \infty)$ satisfies

$$P(0) = 0, \quad P'(Z) > 0 \text{ for } Z \geq 0, \quad 0 < \frac{\frac{5}{3}P(Z) - P'(Z)Z}{Z} \leq c \text{ for } Z > 0. \quad (2.4)$$

In particular, the function $Z \mapsto P(Z)/Z^{\frac{5}{3}}$ is decreasing, and we suppose

$$\lim_{Z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P(Z)}{Z^{\frac{5}{3}}} = p_\infty \geq 0. \quad (2.5)$$

The associated entropy s reads

$$s(\varrho, \vartheta) = s_m(\varrho, \vartheta) + s_r(\varrho, \vartheta), \quad s_m(\varrho, \vartheta) = \mathcal{S}\left(\frac{\varrho}{\vartheta^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right), \quad s_r(\varrho, \vartheta) = \frac{4a}{3} \frac{\vartheta^3}{\varrho}, \quad (2.6)$$

where

$$\mathcal{S}'(Z) = -\frac{3}{2} \frac{\frac{5}{3}P(Z) - P'(Z)Z}{Z^2}. \quad (2.7)$$

Finally, in accordance with the Third law of thermodynamics, we suppose

$$\lim_{\vartheta \rightarrow 0} s(\varrho, \vartheta) = 0 \text{ for any fixed } \varrho > 0, \text{ meaning } \mathcal{S}(Z) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } Z \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.8)$$

The reader may consult [17, Chapter 4] for the physical background of the above hypotheses.

In addition, the transport coefficients μ , η , and κ are continuously differentiable functions of the temperature ϑ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \underline{\mu}(1 + \vartheta) \leq \mu(\vartheta) \leq \bar{\mu}(1 + \vartheta), \quad |\mu'(\vartheta)| \leq c \text{ for all } \vartheta \geq 0, \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq \Lambda \leq 1, \\ 0 \leq \eta(\vartheta) \leq \bar{\eta}(1 + \vartheta), \\ 0 < \underline{\kappa}(1 + \vartheta^\beta) \leq \kappa(\vartheta) \leq \bar{\kappa}(1 + \vartheta^\beta), \quad \beta > 6. \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

The pressure as well as the internal energy are augmented by the so-called hard-sphere component p_{HS} , e_{HS} respectively. They are both singular at $\bar{\varrho}$ and force the density ϱ to be bounded above by $\bar{\varrho}$. This facilitates considerably the analysis leading to new *a priori* estimates. The regularizing effect of the hard-sphere pressure has been exploited in a number of recent studies: [1], [11], [18], the monograph [17] and the references cited therein.

2.2 Weak solution

It is convenient to identify the time periodic functions with distributions defined on the flat torus

$$S_T = [0, T] |_{\{0, T\}}.$$

Suppose that \mathbf{u}_B , ϑ_B have been extended inside Ω .

Definition 2.1 (Weak solution). We say that a trio $(\varrho, \vartheta, \mathbf{u})$ is *weak time periodic solution* to the NSF system (1.6) - (1.11), with the boundary conditions (1.12) if the following holds:

- **Integrability.**

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \varrho < \bar{\varrho} \text{ a.a. in } S_T \times \Omega, \quad \varrho \in C_{\text{weak}}(S_T; L^q(\Omega)) \text{ for any } 1 \leq q < \infty; \\ 0 < \vartheta \text{ a.a. in } S_T \times \Omega, \quad \vartheta \in L^\infty(S_T; L^4(\Omega)) \cap L^2(S_T; W^{1,2}(\Omega)), \quad \vartheta|_{\partial\Omega} = \vartheta_B; \\ \mathbf{u} \in L^2(S_T; W^{1,2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)), \quad \mathbf{u}|_{\partial\Omega} = \mathbf{u}_B; \\ \varrho \mathbf{u} \in C_{\text{weak}}(S_T; L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)). \end{aligned}$$

- **Equation of continuity.**

$$\int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} [\varrho \partial_t \varphi + \varrho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_x \varphi] \, dx \, dt = 0$$

for any $\varphi \in C^1(S_T \times \bar{\Omega})$.

- **Momentum balance.**

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \left[\varrho \mathbf{u} \cdot \partial_t \boldsymbol{\varphi} + \varrho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u} : \nabla_x \boldsymbol{\varphi} + p(\varrho, \vartheta) \operatorname{div}_x \boldsymbol{\varphi} \right] \, dx \, dt \\ = \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \left[\mathbb{S}(\vartheta, \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u}) : \mathbb{D}_x \boldsymbol{\varphi} - \varrho \nabla_x G \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \right] \, dx \, dt \end{aligned}$$

for any $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in C_c^1(S_T \times \Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$.

- **Entropy inequality.**

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \left[\varrho s(\varrho, \vartheta) \partial_t \varphi + \varrho s(\varrho, \vartheta) \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_x \varphi + \frac{\mathbf{q}(\vartheta, \nabla_x \vartheta)}{\vartheta} \cdot \nabla_x \varphi \right] dx dt \\
& \geq \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\varphi}{\vartheta} \left[\mathbb{S}(\vartheta, \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u}) : \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u} - \frac{\mathbf{q}(\vartheta, \nabla_x \vartheta) \cdot \nabla_x \vartheta}{\vartheta} \right] dx dt
\end{aligned}$$

for any $\varphi \in C_c^1(S_T \times \Omega)$, $\varphi \geq 0$.

- **Ballistic energy balance.**

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int_{S_T} \partial_t \psi \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{1}{2} \varrho |\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_B|^2 + \varrho e - \tilde{\vartheta} \varrho s \right] dx dt + \int_{S_T} \psi \int_{\Omega} \frac{\tilde{\vartheta}}{\vartheta} \left[\mathbb{S} : \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u} - \frac{\mathbf{q} \cdot \nabla_x \vartheta}{\vartheta} \right] dx dt \\
& \leq \int_{S_T} \psi \int_{\Omega} \left[\varrho_\varepsilon (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_B) \cdot \nabla_x G - \varrho s \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_x \tilde{\vartheta} - \frac{\mathbf{q}}{\vartheta} \cdot \nabla_x \tilde{\vartheta} - \partial_t \tilde{\vartheta} \varrho s \right] dx dt \\
& - \int_{S_T} \psi \int_{\Omega} [\varrho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u} + p(\varrho, \vartheta) \mathbb{I} - \mathbb{S}(\vartheta, \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u})] : \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u}_B dx dt \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \int_{S_T} \psi \int_{\Omega} \varrho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_x |\mathbf{u}_B|^2 dx dt
\end{aligned}$$

for any $\psi \in C^1(S_T)$, $\psi \geq 0$, and any

$$\tilde{\vartheta} \in C^1(S_T \times \overline{\Omega}), \tilde{\vartheta} > 0, \tilde{\vartheta}|_{\partial\Omega} = \vartheta_B. \quad (2.10)$$

Note carefully that the above definition is the same as in [17, Chapter 12, Definition 7]. As the density ϱ is bounded, we can use the regularization technique of DiPerna and Lions [12] to obtain a renormalized version of the equation of continuity

$$\int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \left[b(\varrho) \partial_t \varphi + b(\varrho) \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_x \varphi + \left(b(\varrho) - b'(\varrho) \varrho \right) \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u} \varphi \right] dx dt = 0$$

for any $\varphi \in C^1(S_T \times \overline{\Omega})$, and any $b \in C^1(R)$.

2.3 Main result

We are ready to state our main result concerning the existence of time periodic solutions.

Theorem 2.2 (Time periodic solution). *Suppose that $\Omega \subset R^3$ is a bounded domain of class (1.12), where the boundary data satisfy*

$$\mathbf{u}_B \in C^2(\partial\Omega; R^3), \vartheta_B \in C^2(S_T \times \partial\Omega), \inf_{S_T \times \partial\Omega} \vartheta_B > 0. \quad (2.11)$$

Let $G \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$, and let the thermodynamic functions p , e , s , and the transport coefficients μ , η , κ satisfy the hypotheses (2.1)–(2.9), with

$$s > 3, \beta > 6. \quad (2.12)$$

Let

$$0 < M < \bar{\varrho}|\Omega|$$

be given.

Then the NSF system (1.6)–(1.10), with the boundary conditions 1.12 admits a time periodic solution $(\varrho, \vartheta, \mathbf{u})$ in the sense specified in Definition 2.1 such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \varrho(t, \cdot) \, dx = M \text{ for any } t \in S_T.$$

The rest of the paper is devoted to the proof of Theorem 2.2.

3 Approximate problem

Following the strategy of [13] we consider an approximate problem replacing the boundary conditions (1.12) by

$$\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0, \quad [\mathbb{S} \cdot \mathbf{n}]_{\text{tan}} = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_B) \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{n} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}|\vartheta - \vartheta_B|^k(\vartheta - \vartheta_B), \quad k = \beta - 1, \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \quad (3.2)$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is a small parameter. Note that (3.1) can be interpreted as Navier's boundary condition with friction while (3.2) is a nonlinear Robin type boundary condition introduced in [2], [13].

Moreover, we replace the hard-sphere component of the pressure by a suitable cut-off, Specifically, we consider

$$\begin{aligned} p_N(\varrho, \vartheta) &= p_m(\varrho, \vartheta) + p_r(\varrho, \vartheta) + \frac{1}{N}\varrho^2 + ([\varrho - \bar{\varrho} - 1]^+)^{\Gamma} + p_{HS}^N(\varrho), \\ p_{HS}^N(\varrho) &= \begin{cases} p_{HS}(\varrho) & \text{if } 0 \leq \varrho \leq \bar{\varrho} - \frac{1}{N}, \\ a_1\varrho + a_2 & \text{if } \varrho > \bar{\varrho} - \frac{1}{N}, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

where the constants a_1, a_2 are chosen in such a way that $p_{HS}^N \in C^1[0, \infty)$. The associated internal energy reads

$$e_N(\varrho, \vartheta) = e_m(\varrho, \vartheta) + e_r(\varrho, \vartheta) + \frac{1}{N}\varrho + \int_{\bar{\varrho}/2}^{\varrho} \frac{1}{z^2} \left(([z - \bar{\varrho} - 1]^+)^{\Gamma} + p_{HS}^N(z) \right) dz$$

cf. [17, Chapter 8, Section 8.2].

The weak formulation of the approximate problem reads:

$$\int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} [\varrho \partial_t \varphi + \varrho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_x \varphi] \, dx \, dt = 0, \quad (3.4)$$

$$\int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \left[b(\varrho) \partial_t \varphi + b(\varrho) \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_x \varphi + \left(b(\varrho) - b'(\varrho) \varrho \right) \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u} \varphi \right] \, dx \, dt = 0 \quad (3.5)$$

for any $\varphi \in C^1(S_T \times \bar{\Omega})$, and any $b \in C^1(R)$, $b' \in C_c(R)$;

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \left[\varrho \mathbf{u} \cdot \partial_t \boldsymbol{\varphi} + \varrho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u} : \nabla_x \boldsymbol{\varphi} + p_N(\varrho, \vartheta) \operatorname{div}_x \boldsymbol{\varphi} \right] \, dx \, dt \\ &= \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \left[\mathbb{S}(\vartheta, \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u}) : \mathbb{D}_x \boldsymbol{\varphi} - \varrho \nabla_x G \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \right] \, dx \, dt - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{S_T} \int_{\partial \Omega} (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_B) \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \, d\sigma_x \, dt \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

for any $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in C^1(S_T \times \bar{\Omega}; R^3)$, $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \cdot \mathbf{n}|_{\partial \Omega} = 0$;

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \left[\varrho s(\varrho, \vartheta) \partial_t \varphi + \varrho s(\varrho, \vartheta) \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_x \varphi + \frac{\mathbf{q}(\vartheta, \nabla_x \vartheta)}{\vartheta} \cdot \nabla_x \varphi \right] \, dx \, dt \\ & \geq \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\varphi}{\vartheta} \left[\mathbb{S}(\vartheta, \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u}) : \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u} - \frac{\mathbf{q}(\vartheta, \nabla_x \vartheta) \cdot \nabla_x \vartheta}{\vartheta} \right] \, dx \, dt \\ & + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{S_T} \int_{\partial \Omega} \varphi \frac{|\vartheta_B - \vartheta|^k (\vartheta_B - \vartheta)}{\vartheta} \, d\sigma_x \, dt \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

for any $\varphi \in C^1(S_T \times \bar{\Omega})$, $\varphi \geq 0$;

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_{S_T} \partial_t \psi \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{1}{2} \varrho |\mathbf{u}|^2 + \varrho e_N(\varrho, \vartheta) \right] \, dx \, dt + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{S_T} \psi \int_{\partial \Omega} |\vartheta - \vartheta_B|^k (\vartheta - \vartheta_B) \, d\sigma_x \, dt \\ & + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{S_T} \psi \int_{\partial \Omega} (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_B) \cdot \mathbf{u} \, d\sigma_x \, dt \leq \int_{S_T} \psi \int_{\Omega} \varrho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_x G \, dx \, dt \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

for any $\psi \in C^1(S_T)$, $\psi \geq 0$.

Relation 3.8 is the standard energy balance whereas the weak formulation is the same as in [15] based on the abstract theory developed in [16]. The approximate system is “almost closed” in the sense that the energy flux through the boundary is controlled by the boundary conditions. Accordingly, solutions of the approximate problem may be obtained exactly as in [15] as long as suitable *a priori* bounds are available. They are discussed in the forthcoming section.

3.1 A priori bounds

Step 1:

For $\psi = 1$, the energy inequality (3.8) yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{S_T} \int_{\partial\Omega} |\vartheta - \vartheta_B|^k (\vartheta - \vartheta_B) d\sigma_x dt + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{S_T} \int_{\partial\Omega} (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_B) \cdot \mathbf{u} d\sigma_x dt \\ & \leq \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \varrho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_x G dx dt = 0 \end{aligned}$$

as G is independent of t . Consequently, since $\vartheta \geq 0$ we obtain

$$\|\vartheta\|_{L^{k+1}(S_T \times \partial\Omega)} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(S_T \times \partial\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim 1, \quad (3.9)$$

where the bound is independent of ε , N . Here and hereafter, the symbol $A \lesssim B$ means there is a positive constant $c > 0$ such that $A \leq cB$.

Step 2: In view of (3.9), the choice $\varphi = 1$ in the entropy inequality (3.7) yields

$$\int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\vartheta} \left[\mathbb{S}(\vartheta, \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u}) : \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u} - \frac{\mathbf{q}(\vartheta, \nabla_x \vartheta) \cdot \nabla_x \vartheta}{\vartheta} \right] dx dt \leq c(\text{data}, \varepsilon), \quad (3.10)$$

where the right-hand side is independent of N but may blow up for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

3.2 Existence of approximate solutions

With (3.9), (3.10) at hand, the remaining *a priori* bounds can be deduced exactly as in [15, Section 2.4]. Repeating step by step the arguments of the existence proof in [15], we obtain the existence of approximate solutions.

Proposition 3.1 (Approximate solutions). *In addition to the hypotheses of Theorem 2.2, let*

$$\varepsilon > 0, \quad N > 0$$

be given.

Then the approximate problem (3.4) – (3.8) admits a solution $(\varrho_{\varepsilon, N}, \vartheta_{\varepsilon, N}, \mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon, N})$.

3.3 Limit $N \rightarrow \infty$

With the *a priori* bounds (3.9), (3.10) at hand, the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ can be performed similarly to [17, Chapter 8, Section 8.2]. We therefore obtain a family of approximate solutions $(\varrho_\varepsilon, \vartheta_\varepsilon, \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon > 0}$ satisfying the problem with the original pressure p and the internal energy e .

4 Limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$

Our ultimate goal is to perform the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in the family of approximate solutions $(\varrho_\varepsilon, \vartheta_\varepsilon, \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon)$ obtained in the previous section. They satisfy the following system of integral identities:

$$\int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} [\varrho_\varepsilon \partial_t \varphi + \varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_x \varphi] \, dx \, dt = 0, \quad (4.1)$$

$$\int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \left[b(\varrho_\varepsilon) \partial_t \varphi + b(\varrho_\varepsilon) \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_x \varphi + \left(b(\varrho_\varepsilon) - b'(\varrho_\varepsilon) \varrho_\varepsilon \right) \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \varphi \right] \, dx \, dt = 0 \quad (4.2)$$

for any $\varphi \in C^1(S_T \times \bar{\Omega})$, and any $b \in C^1(R)$, $b' \in C_c(R)$;

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \left[\varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \cdot \partial_t \boldsymbol{\varphi} + \varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \otimes \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon : \nabla_x \boldsymbol{\varphi} + p(\varrho_\varepsilon, \vartheta_\varepsilon) \operatorname{div}_x \boldsymbol{\varphi} \right] \, dx \, dt \\ &= \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \left[\mathbb{S}(\vartheta_\varepsilon, \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon) : \mathbb{D}_x \boldsymbol{\varphi} - \varrho_\varepsilon \nabla_x G \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \right] \, dx \, dt - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{S_T} \int_{\partial\Omega} (\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_B) \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \, d\sigma_x \, dt \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

for any $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in C^1(S_T \times \bar{\Omega}; R^3)$, $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \cdot \mathbf{n}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$;

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \left[\varrho_\varepsilon s(\varrho_\varepsilon, \vartheta_\varepsilon) \partial_t \varphi + \varrho_\varepsilon s(\varrho_\varepsilon, \vartheta_\varepsilon) \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_x \varphi + \frac{\mathbf{q}(\vartheta_\varepsilon, \nabla_x \vartheta_\varepsilon)}{\vartheta_\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla_x \varphi \right] \, dx \, dt \\ & \geq \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\varphi}{\vartheta_\varepsilon} \left[\mathbb{S}(\vartheta_\varepsilon, \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon) : \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \frac{\mathbf{q}(\vartheta_\varepsilon, \nabla_x \vartheta_\varepsilon) \cdot \nabla_x \vartheta_\varepsilon}{\vartheta_\varepsilon} \right] \, dx \, dt \\ & + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{S_T} \int_{\partial\Omega} \varphi \frac{|\vartheta_B - \vartheta_\varepsilon|^k (\vartheta_B - \vartheta_\varepsilon)}{\vartheta_\varepsilon} \, d\sigma_x \, dt \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

for any $\varphi \in C^1(S_T \times \bar{\Omega})$, $\varphi \geq 0$;

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_{S_T} \partial_t \psi \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{1}{2} \varrho_\varepsilon |\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon|^2 + \varrho_\varepsilon e(\varrho_\varepsilon, \vartheta_\varepsilon) \right] \, dx \, dt + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{S_T} \psi \int_{\partial\Omega} |\vartheta_\varepsilon - \vartheta_B|^k (\vartheta_\varepsilon - \vartheta_B) \, d\sigma_x \, dt \\ & + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{S_T} \psi \int_{\partial\Omega} (\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_B) \cdot \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \, d\sigma_x \, dt \leq \int_{S_T} \psi \int_{\Omega} \varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_x G \, dx \, dt \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

for any $\psi \in C^1(S_T)$, $\psi \geq 0$.

In addition, the density is uniformly bounded,

$$0 \leq \varrho_\varepsilon < \bar{\varrho} \text{ a.a. in } (0, T) \times \Omega. \quad (4.6)$$

4.1 Ballistic energy balance

We start by choosing $\varphi = \psi(t) \tilde{\vartheta}(t, x)$,

$$\tilde{\vartheta} \in C^1(S_T \times \Omega), \quad \psi > 0, \quad \tilde{\vartheta} > 0, \quad \tilde{\vartheta}|_{\partial\Omega} = \vartheta_B,$$

as a test function in the approximate entropy balance (4.4). Adding the resulting expression to the energy balance (4.5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int_{S_T} \partial_t \psi \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{1}{2} \varrho_\varepsilon |\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon|^2 + \varrho_\varepsilon e - \tilde{\vartheta} \varrho_\varepsilon s \right] dx dt + \int_{S_T} \psi \int_{\Omega} \frac{\tilde{\vartheta}}{\vartheta_\varepsilon} \left[\mathbb{S} : \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \frac{\mathbf{q} \cdot \nabla_x \vartheta_\varepsilon}{\vartheta_\varepsilon} \right] dx dt \\
& + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{S_T} \psi \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{|\vartheta_\varepsilon - \vartheta_B|^{k+2}}{\vartheta_\varepsilon} d\sigma_x dt + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{S_T} \psi \int_{\partial\Omega} (\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_B) \cdot \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon d\sigma_x dt \\
& \leq \int_{S_T} \psi \int_{\Omega} \left[\varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_x G - \varrho_\varepsilon s \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_x \tilde{\vartheta} - \frac{\mathbf{q}}{\vartheta_\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla_x \tilde{\vartheta} - \partial_t \tilde{\vartheta} \varrho_\varepsilon s \right] dx dt. \tag{4.7}
\end{aligned}$$

Next, the choice $\varphi = \psi(t) \mathbf{u}_B(x)$ in the momentum balance (4.3) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \left[\varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \cdot \mathbf{u}_B \partial_t \psi + \psi \varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \otimes \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon : \nabla_x \mathbf{u}_B + \psi p(\varrho_\varepsilon, \vartheta_\varepsilon) \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u}_B \right] dx dt \\
& = \int_{S_T} \psi \int_{\Omega} \left[\mathbb{S}(\vartheta_\varepsilon, \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon) : \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u}_B - \varrho_\varepsilon \nabla_x G \cdot \mathbf{u}_B \right] dx dt - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{S_T} \psi \int_{\partial\Omega} (\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_B) \cdot \mathbf{u}_B d\sigma_x dt. \tag{4.8}
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\varphi = \psi(t) \mathbf{u}_B(x)$ is an admissible test function as $\mathbf{u}_B \cdot \mathbf{n}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$.

Finally, taking $\varphi = \psi(t) \frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{u}_B|^2$ in the equation of continuity (4.1) we get

$$- \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{1}{2} \varrho_\varepsilon |\mathbf{u}_B|^2 \partial_t \psi + \psi \varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \cdot \mathbf{u}_B \cdot \nabla_x \mathbf{u}_B \right] dx dt = 0. \tag{4.9}$$

Summing up (4.7)–(4.9) we obtain an approximate ballistic energy balance in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int_{S_T} \partial_t \psi \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{1}{2} \varrho_\varepsilon |\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_B|^2 + \varrho_\varepsilon e - \tilde{\vartheta} \varrho_\varepsilon s \right] dx dt + \int_{S_T} \psi \int_{\Omega} \frac{\tilde{\vartheta}}{\vartheta_\varepsilon} \left[\mathbb{S} : \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \frac{\mathbf{q} \cdot \nabla_x \vartheta_\varepsilon}{\vartheta_\varepsilon} \right] dx dt \\
& + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{S_T} \psi \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{|\vartheta_\varepsilon - \vartheta_B|^{k+2}}{\vartheta_\varepsilon} d\sigma_x dt + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{S_T} \psi \int_{\partial\Omega} |\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_B|^2 d\sigma_x dt \\
& \leq \int_{S_T} \psi \int_{\Omega} \left[\varrho_\varepsilon (\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_B) \cdot \nabla_x G - \varrho_\varepsilon s \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_x \tilde{\vartheta} - \frac{\mathbf{q}}{\vartheta_\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla_x \tilde{\vartheta} - \partial_t \tilde{\vartheta} \varrho_\varepsilon s \right] dx dt \\
& - \int_{S_T} \psi \int_{\Omega} [\varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \otimes \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon + p(\varrho_\varepsilon, \vartheta_\varepsilon) \mathbb{I} - \mathbb{S}(\vartheta_\varepsilon, \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon)] : \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u}_B dx dt \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \int_{S_T} \psi \int_{\Omega} \varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_x |\mathbf{u}_B|^2 dx dt \tag{4.10}
\end{aligned}$$

for any $\psi \in C^1(S_T)$, $\psi \geq 0$.

4.2 Extending boundary velocity

Following Galdi [19, Lemma IX.4.1], see also Kozono and Yanagisawa [24, Proposition 1], we may extend \mathbf{u}_B

$$\mathbf{u}_{\delta,B}(t, x) = \mathbf{curl}_x(d_\delta(x)\mathbf{z}_B(x)), \quad \mathbf{curl}_x \mathbf{z}_B = \mathbf{u}_B, \quad (4.11)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} |d_\delta| &\leq 1, \quad d_\delta(x) \equiv 1 \text{ for all } x \text{ in an open neighborhood of } \partial\Omega, \\ d_\delta(x) &\equiv 0 \text{ whenever } \text{dist}[x, \partial\Omega] > \delta, \\ |D_x^\alpha d_\delta(x)| &\leq c \frac{\delta}{\text{dist}^{|\alpha|}[x, \partial\Omega]}, \quad |\alpha| = 1, 2, \quad 0 < \delta < 1, \quad x \in \Omega. \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

The specific value of the parameter $\delta > 0$ will be fixed in the next section.

4.3 Uniform bounds

The desired uniform bounds will follow from the ballistic energy balance with the ansatz

$$\psi = 1, \quad \tilde{\vartheta} = \vartheta_B,$$

where ϑ_B is the harmonic extension of the boundary temperature,

$$\Delta_x \vartheta_B(t, \cdot) = 0 \text{ for any } t \in S_T, \quad \vartheta_B \text{ satisfies (1.12)}. \quad (4.13)$$

In accordance with the extension of \mathbf{u}_B introduced in the preceding section, we have $\text{div}_x \mathbf{u}_B = 0$, and the ballistic energy balance (4.10) gives rise to

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\vartheta_B}{\vartheta_\varepsilon} \left[\mathbb{S}(\vartheta_\varepsilon, \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon) : \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon + \frac{\kappa(\vartheta_\varepsilon) |\nabla_x \vartheta_\varepsilon|^2}{\vartheta_\varepsilon} \right] dx dt \\ &+ \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{S_T} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{|\vartheta_\varepsilon - \vartheta_B|^{\beta+1}}{\vartheta_\varepsilon} d\sigma_x dt + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{S_T} \int_{\partial\Omega} |\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_B|^2 d\sigma_x dt \\ &\leq - \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \left[\varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_B \cdot \nabla_x G + \varrho_\varepsilon s(\varrho_\varepsilon, \vartheta_\varepsilon) \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_x \vartheta_B + \frac{\mathbf{q}}{\vartheta_\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla_x \vartheta_B + \partial_t \vartheta_B \varrho_\varepsilon s(\varrho_\varepsilon, \vartheta_\varepsilon) \right] dx dt \\ &- \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} [\varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \otimes \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \mathbb{S}(\vartheta_\varepsilon, \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon)] : \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u}_B dx dt, \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

where we have used

$$\int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_x G dx dt = 0 = \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_x |\mathbf{u}_B|^2 dx dt.$$

Our goal is to show that all integrals on the right-hand side of (4.13) are either bounded or dominated by those on the left-hand side.

First, as a consequence of the standard maximum principle,

$$\inf_{S_T \times \Omega} \vartheta_B \geq \inf_{S_T \times \partial\Omega} \vartheta_B > 0.$$

Consequently, by virtue of hypothesis (2.9) and the standard Sobolev embedding,

$$\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^6(\Omega; R^3)}^2 \lesssim \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega; R^3)}^2 \lesssim \int_{\Omega} \frac{\vartheta_B}{\vartheta_\varepsilon} \mathbb{S}(\vartheta_\varepsilon, \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon) : \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \, dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} |\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_B|^2 \, d\sigma_x + 1. \quad (4.15)$$

Similarly,

$$\|\vartheta_\varepsilon^{\frac{\beta}{2}}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 \lesssim \int_{\Omega} \frac{\vartheta_B}{\vartheta_\varepsilon^2} \kappa(\vartheta_\varepsilon) |\nabla_x \vartheta_\varepsilon|^2 \, dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{|\vartheta_\varepsilon - \vartheta_B|^{\beta+1}}{\vartheta_\varepsilon} \, d\sigma_x + 1. \quad (4.16)$$

Next, it follows from the uniform bound (4.6) that

$$\left| \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_B \cdot \nabla_x G \, dx \, dt \right| \lesssim 1.$$

In addition, by virtue of hypothesis (2.9),

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{S}(\vartheta_\varepsilon, \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon) : \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u}_B \, dx \right| \lesssim \omega \|\nabla_x \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega; R^{3 \times 3})}^2 + c(\omega) \|\vartheta_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$$

for any $\omega > 0$. Choosing $\omega > 0$ small enough, this integral can be absorbed by the left-hand side of (4.14).

Thus, in view of (4.15), (4.16), the previous observations, and boundedness of the density, inequality (4.14) gives rise to

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{S_T} \left(\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 + \|\vartheta_\varepsilon^{\frac{\beta}{2}}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 + \|\nabla_x \log(\vartheta_\varepsilon)\|_{L^2(\Omega; R^3)}^2 \right) \, dt \\ & + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{S_T} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{|\vartheta_\varepsilon - \vartheta_B|^{\beta+1}}{\vartheta_\varepsilon} \, d\sigma_x \, dt + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{S_T} \int_{\partial\Omega} |\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_B|^2 \, d\sigma_x \, dt \\ & \lesssim \left| \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \left[\varrho_\varepsilon s(\varrho_\varepsilon, \vartheta_\varepsilon) \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_x \vartheta_B + \frac{\mathbf{q}}{\vartheta_\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla_x \vartheta_B + \partial_t \vartheta_B \varrho_\varepsilon s(\varrho_\varepsilon, \vartheta_\varepsilon) \right] \, dx \, dt \right| \\ & + \left| \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_\varepsilon (\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \otimes \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon) : \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u}_B \, dx \, dt \right| + 1. \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

4.3.1 Entropy dependent terms

Our goal is to control the first integral on the right-hand side of (4.17). Denoting

$$\mathcal{K}(\vartheta) = \int_1^\vartheta \frac{\kappa(z)}{z} \, dz,$$

we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_{\Omega} \frac{\mathbf{q}}{\vartheta_{\varepsilon}} \cdot \nabla_x \vartheta_B \, dx &= \int_{\Omega} \frac{\kappa(\vartheta_{\varepsilon}) \nabla_x \vartheta_{\varepsilon}}{\vartheta_{\varepsilon}} \cdot \nabla_x \vartheta_B \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \nabla_x \mathcal{K}(\vartheta_{\varepsilon}) \cdot \nabla_x \vartheta_B \, dx \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathcal{K}(\vartheta_{\varepsilon}) \nabla_x \vartheta_B \cdot \mathbf{n} \, d\sigma_x, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that ϑ_B is harmonic inside Ω . It follows from hypothesis (2.9)

$$\left| \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathcal{K}(\vartheta_{\varepsilon}) \nabla_x \vartheta_B \cdot \mathbf{n} \, d\sigma_x \right| \lesssim \left(1 + \int_{S_T} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{|\vartheta_{\varepsilon} - \vartheta_B|^{\beta+1}}{\vartheta_{\varepsilon}} \, d\sigma_x \, dt \right).$$

Consequently, inequality (4.17) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{S_T} \left(\|\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 + \|\vartheta_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{\beta}{2}}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 + \|\nabla_x \log(\vartheta_{\varepsilon})\|_{L^2(\Omega;R^3)}^2 \right) dt \\ & + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{S_T} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{|\vartheta_{\varepsilon} - \vartheta_B|^{\beta+1}}{\vartheta_{\varepsilon}} \, d\sigma_x \, dt + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{S_T} \int_{\partial\Omega} |\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon} - \mathbf{u}_B|^2 \, d\sigma_x \, dt \\ & \lesssim \left| \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} [\varrho_{\varepsilon} s(\varrho_{\varepsilon}, \vartheta_{\varepsilon}) \mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla_x \vartheta_B + \partial_t \vartheta_B \varrho_{\varepsilon} s(\varrho_{\varepsilon}, \vartheta_{\varepsilon})] \, dx \, dt \right| \\ & + \left| \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{\varepsilon} (\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon} \otimes \mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}) : \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u}_B \, dx \, dt \right| + 1. \end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

Next, using hypothesis (2.8), we easily obtain

$$0 \leq \varrho s_m(\varrho, \vartheta) \lesssim (\varrho \log^+(\varrho) + \varrho \log^+(\vartheta) + 1), \tag{4.19}$$

see [17, Chapter 4, Section 12.4.2]. Consequently, by means of (4.6),

$$|\varrho_{\varepsilon} s(\varrho_{\varepsilon}, \vartheta_{\varepsilon}) \mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}| \lesssim |\varrho_{\varepsilon} s_m(\varrho_{\varepsilon}, \vartheta_{\varepsilon}) \mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}| + |\vartheta_{\varepsilon}|^3 |\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}| \lesssim (1 + |\vartheta_{\varepsilon}|^3) |\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}|.$$

Since $\beta > 6$, the integral

$$\int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} (1 + |\vartheta_{\varepsilon}|^3) |\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}| \, dx \, dt$$

can be absorbed by the left-hand side of (4.18). The integral

$$\int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \partial_t \vartheta_B \varrho_{\varepsilon} s(\varrho_{\varepsilon}, \vartheta_{\varepsilon}) \, dx \, dt$$

can be handled in a similar fashion. We conclude

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{S_T} \left(\|\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 + \|\vartheta_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{\beta}{2}}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 + \|\nabla_x \log(\vartheta_{\varepsilon})\|_{L^2(\Omega;R^3)}^2 \right) dt \\ & + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{S_T} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{|\vartheta_{\varepsilon} - \vartheta_B|^{\beta+1}}{\vartheta_{\varepsilon}} \, d\sigma_x \, dt + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{S_T} \int_{\partial\Omega} |\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon} - \mathbf{u}_B|^2 \, d\sigma_x \, dt \\ & \lesssim \left| \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_{\varepsilon} (\mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon} \otimes \mathbf{u}_{\varepsilon}) : \mathbf{u}_B \, dx \, dt \right| + 1. \end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

4.3.2 Convective term

It remains to handle the convective term

$$\varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \otimes \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon = \varrho_\varepsilon (\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_B) \otimes (\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_B) + \varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_B \otimes \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon + \varrho_\varepsilon (\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_B) \otimes \mathbf{u}_B.$$

Writing

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega \varrho_\varepsilon (\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \otimes \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon) : \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u}_B \, dx &= \int_\Omega \varrho_\varepsilon (\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_B) \otimes (\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_B) : \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u}_B \, dx \\ &\quad + \int_\Omega \varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_B \otimes \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon : \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u}_B \, dx + \int_\Omega \varrho_\varepsilon (\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_B) \otimes \mathbf{u}_B : \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u}_B \, dx \end{aligned}$$

we immediately see that the only problematic term is

$$\int_\Omega \varrho_\varepsilon (\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_B) \otimes (\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_B) : \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u}_B \, dx.$$

Let \mathbf{w}_ε be the unique solution of the Dirichlet problem

$$\Delta_x \mathbf{w}_\varepsilon = 0, \quad \mathbf{w}_\varepsilon|_{\partial\Omega} = (\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_B). \quad (4.21)$$

Write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega \varrho_\varepsilon (\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_B) \otimes (\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_B) : \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u}_B \, dx &= \int_\Omega \varrho_\varepsilon (\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_B - \mathbf{w}_\varepsilon) \otimes (\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_B - \mathbf{w}_\varepsilon) : \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u}_B \, dx \\ &\quad + \int_\Omega \varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{w}_\varepsilon \otimes (\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_B) : \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u}_B \, dx + \int_\Omega \varrho_\varepsilon (\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_B - \mathbf{w}_\varepsilon) \otimes \mathbf{w}_\varepsilon : \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u}_B \, dx. \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

By means of the uniform bound on the density, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_\Omega \varrho_\varepsilon (\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_B - \mathbf{w}_\varepsilon) \otimes (\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_B - \mathbf{w}_\varepsilon) : \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u}_B \, dx \right| \\ &\lesssim \int_\Omega \frac{|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_B - \mathbf{w}_\varepsilon|^2}{\text{dist}^2(x, \partial\Omega)} \text{dist}^2(x, \partial\Omega) |\mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u}_B| \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of Hardy–Sobolev inequality, we obtain

$$\int_\Omega \frac{|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_B - \mathbf{w}_\varepsilon|^2}{\text{dist}^2(x, \partial\Omega)} \, dx \lesssim \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_B - \mathbf{w}_\varepsilon\|_{W_0^{1,2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \lesssim \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + 1.$$

Thus going back to Section 4.2, we can choose $\delta = \delta(\omega) > 0$ so small that

$$\left| \int_\Omega \varrho_\varepsilon (\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_B - \mathbf{w}_\varepsilon) \otimes (\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_B - \mathbf{w}_\varepsilon) : \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u}_B \, dx \right| \leq \delta \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + c. \quad (4.23)$$

for any $\omega > 0$.

To control the remaining two integrals in (4.22), we first evoke the L^p -elliptic estimates applied to (4.21):

$$\|\mathbf{w}_\varepsilon\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \lesssim \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_B\|_{W^{1-\frac{1}{p},p}(\partial\Omega)}.$$

In particular, for $p = \frac{4}{3}$, we get

$$\|\mathbf{w}_\varepsilon\|_{W^{1,\frac{4}{3}}(\Omega)} \lesssim \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_B\|_{W^{\frac{1}{4},\frac{4}{3}}(\partial\Omega)}.$$

Moreover, we use the interpolation to obtain the following inequality

$$\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_B\|_{W^{\frac{1}{4},\frac{4}{3}}(\partial\Omega)} \lesssim \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_B\|_{W^{\frac{1}{4},2}(\partial\Omega)} \leq \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_B\|_{W^{\frac{1}{2},2}(\partial\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_B\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Finally, we recall the standard Sobolev embedding

$$\|\mathbf{w}_\varepsilon\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \lesssim \|\mathbf{w}_\varepsilon\|_{W^{1,\frac{4}{3}}(\Omega)}, \quad 1 \leq q \leq \frac{12}{5}.$$

Thus we may infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{w}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega;R^3)} &\lesssim \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_B\|_{W^{\frac{1}{2},2}(\partial\Omega;R^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_B\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega;R^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_B\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega;R^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_B\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega;R^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

where we have here used the trace theorem.

Going back to (4.22), we have to estimate the products

$$\int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon| |\mathbf{w}_\varepsilon| \, dx \leq \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega;R^3)} \|\mathbf{w}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega;R^3)}.$$

It follows from (4.24)

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega;R^3)} \|\mathbf{w}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega;R^3)} &\lesssim \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega;R^3)} \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_B\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega;R^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_B\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega;R^3)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \omega \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega;R^3)} \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_B\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega;R^3)} + c(\omega) \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega;R^3)} \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_B\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega;R^3)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the first term on the right-hand side may be absorbed by the left-hand side of (4.20) if $\omega > 0$ is small enough. Finally, repeating the same argument,

$$\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega;R^3)} \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_B\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega;R^3)} \leq \omega \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega;R^3)}^2 + c(\omega) \|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_B\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega;R^3)}^2$$

we conclude that also this term is controlled by the left-hand side of (4.20) if $\omega > 0$ is fixed small enough and $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

In view of the preceding argument, inequality (4.20) gives rise to the desired conclusion

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{S_T} \left(\|\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 + \|\vartheta_\varepsilon^{\frac{\beta}{2}}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 + \|\nabla_x \log(\vartheta_\varepsilon)\|_{L^2(\Omega;R^3)}^2 \right) dt \\ &+ \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{S_T} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{|\vartheta_\varepsilon - \vartheta_B|^{\beta+1}}{\vartheta_\varepsilon} \, d\sigma_x \, dt + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{S_T} \int_{\partial\Omega} |\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_B|^2 \, d\sigma_x \, dt \lesssim 1 \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

uniformly for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

4.4 Pressure estimates

The uniform bounds (4.6), (4.25) are strong enough to control all terms in the field equations with the only exception of the pressure and the associated integral energy that are singular for $\varrho \rightarrow \bar{\varrho}$. The desired estimates can be derived following the arguments of [7].

First, we introduce the so-called Bogovskii operator

$$\mathcal{B} : L_0^q(\Omega) \equiv \left\{ f \in L^q(\Omega) \mid \int_{\Omega} f \, dx = 0 \right\} \rightarrow W_0^{1,q}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d), \quad 1 < q < \infty,$$

$$\operatorname{div}_x \mathcal{B}[f] = f.$$

The operator \mathcal{B} can be constructed by means of the original ansatz of Bogovskii [3] elaborated by Galdi [19, Chapter 3], and later revisited by Geissert, Heck, and Hieber [20]. \mathcal{B} maps bounded sets of $L_0^q(\Omega)$ into bounded sets of $W_0^{1,q}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ and bounded sets in $(W^{1,q}(\Omega))^* \perp 1$ to bounded sets of $L^q(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ provided Ω is a Lipschitz domain.

4.4.1 Integrability of the pressure

We consider the quantity

$$\varphi = \mathcal{B} \left[\varrho_\varepsilon - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_\varepsilon \, dx \right]$$

as a test function in the approximate momentum balance (4.3). After a straightforward manipulation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} p(\varrho_\varepsilon, \vartheta_\varepsilon) \left[\varrho_\varepsilon - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_\varepsilon \, dx \right] \, dx \, dt \\ &= \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \left[\varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \cdot \mathcal{B}[\operatorname{div}_x(\varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon)] - \varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \otimes \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon : \nabla_x \mathcal{B} \left[\varrho_\varepsilon - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_\varepsilon \, dx \right] \right] \, dx \, dt \\ &+ \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{S}(\vartheta_\varepsilon, \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon) : \mathbb{D}_x \mathcal{B} \left[\varrho_\varepsilon - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_\varepsilon \, dx \right] \, dx \, dt \\ &- \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_\varepsilon \nabla_x G \cdot \mathcal{B} \left[\varrho_\varepsilon - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_\varepsilon \, dx \right] \, dx \, dt \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

As ϱ_ε are uniformly bounded, it is easy to check that the right-hand side of (4.26) is bounded by means of the uniform estimates established in (4.25). Since the total mass is constant, we get

$$\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_\varepsilon \, dx < \bar{\varrho}.$$

In particular, boundedness of the integral on the right-hand side of (4.26) yields a uniform bound

$$\int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} p(\varrho_\varepsilon, \vartheta_\varepsilon) \, dx \, dt \lesssim 1 \quad (4.27)$$

uniformly for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Moreover, as observed in [7, Section 2.1, formula (2.4)], uniform integrability of the hard–sphere pressure yields equi–integrability of the associated internal energy. Specifically,

$$(\varrho_\varepsilon e(\varrho_\varepsilon, \vartheta_\varepsilon))_{\varepsilon>0} \text{ is } L^1 \text{– equi–integrable.} \quad (4.28)$$

Finally, using (4.28), we may deduce from the ballistic energy inequality (4.10), exactly as for the initial–value problem,

$$(\varrho_\varepsilon |\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon|^2)_{\varepsilon>0}, (\varrho_\varepsilon e(\varrho_\varepsilon, \vartheta_\varepsilon))_{\varepsilon>0} \text{ bounded in } L^\infty(S_T; L^1(\Omega)). \quad (4.29)$$

4.4.2 Equi–integrability of the pressure

The ultimate goal of this section is to establish L^1 –integrability of the pressure. To this end, we repeat the above procedure with the test function

$$\varphi = \mathcal{B} \left[b(\varrho_\varepsilon) - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_\Omega b(\varrho_\varepsilon) \, dx \right]$$

in (4.3), where b is a function compatible with the renormalized equation of continuity (4.2). After a straightforward manipulation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{S_T} \int_\Omega p(\varrho_\varepsilon, \vartheta_\varepsilon) \left[b(\varrho_\varepsilon) - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_\Omega b(\varrho_\varepsilon) \, dx \right] \, dx \, dt \\ &= - \int_{S_T} \int_\Omega \varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \otimes \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon : \nabla_x \mathcal{B} \left[b(\varrho_\varepsilon) - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_\Omega b(\varrho_\varepsilon) \, dx \right] \, dx \, dt \\ & \quad + \int_{S_T} \int_\Omega \mathbb{S}(\vartheta_\varepsilon, \mathbb{D}_x \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon) : \mathbb{D}_x \mathcal{B} \left[b(\varrho_\varepsilon) - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_\Omega b(\varrho_\varepsilon) \, dx \right] \, dx \, dt \\ & \quad - \int_{S_T} \int_\Omega b(\varrho_\varepsilon) \nabla_x G \cdot \mathcal{B} \left[b(\varrho_\varepsilon) - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_\Omega b(\varrho_\varepsilon) \, dx \right] \, dx \, dt \\ & \quad + \int_{S_T} \int_\Omega \varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \cdot \mathcal{B} \left[(b(\varrho_\varepsilon) - b'(\varrho_\varepsilon) \varrho_\varepsilon) \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_\Omega (b(\varrho_\varepsilon) - b'(\varrho_\varepsilon) \varrho_\varepsilon) \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \, dx \right] \, dx \, dt \\ & \quad - \int_{S_T} \int_\Omega \varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \cdot \mathcal{B} [\operatorname{div}_x (b(\varrho_\varepsilon) \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon)] \, dx \, dt. \end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

The main idea is to consider $b(\varrho) = p_{HS}^\nu(\varrho)$, where $\nu > 0$ is sufficiently small. In view of (4.27), $p_{HS}^\nu(\varrho_\varepsilon)$ is uniformly bounded in the Lebesgue space $L^q((0, T) \times \Omega)$, where $q > 1$ can be arbitrarily large provided $\nu > 0$ is small. It would follow that

$$p(\varrho_\varepsilon, \vartheta_\varepsilon)^{1+\nu} \text{ is bounded in } L^1((0, T) \times \Omega)$$

provided we can show that all integrals on the right–hand side of (4.30) are bounded uniformly for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

There are certain technical difficulties to carry out the above programme. To begin, p_{HS} is singular therefore not directly eligible for the renormalized equation (4.2). Fortunately, we may consider b any C^1 truncation of p_{HS} as ϱ_ε are uniformly bounded and behaviour of b for large argument is irrelevant. In particular, we may consider

$$b(\varrho_\varepsilon) = (p_{HS}^N)^\nu(\varrho_\varepsilon),$$

with P_{HS}^N given by (3.3). The desired conclusion then follows as soon as we are able to show that the right-hand side of (4.30) remains bounded uniformly for $N \rightarrow \infty$, $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Given the properties of the operator \mathcal{B} , the only problematic term is the integral

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} \varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \cdot \mathcal{B} \left[((p_{HS}^N)^\nu(\varrho_\varepsilon) - [(p_{HS}^N)^\nu]'(\varrho_\varepsilon)\varrho_\varepsilon) \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} ((p_{HS}^N)^\nu(\varrho_\varepsilon) - [(p_{HS}^N)^\nu]'(\varrho_\varepsilon)\varrho_\varepsilon) \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \, dx \right] dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (4.31)$$

Indeed this integral contains the derivative $[(p_{HS}^N)^\nu]'$ that is more singular than $(p_{HS}^N)^\nu$ in the neighbourhood of $\bar{\varrho}$ when $N \rightarrow \infty$.

On the one hand,

$$[(p_{HS}^N)^\nu]'(\varrho) \approx \begin{cases} (\bar{\varrho} - \varrho)^{-(\nu s + 1)} & \text{if } \varrho \leq \bar{\varrho} - \frac{1}{N} \\ N^{\nu s + 1}, & \text{if } \varrho > \bar{\varrho} - \frac{1}{N}, \end{cases} \quad (4.32)$$

On the other hand, in accordance with (4.29),

$$(\varrho_\varepsilon e(\varrho_\varepsilon, \vartheta_\varepsilon))_{\varepsilon > 0} \text{ bounded in } L^\infty(S_T; L^1(\Omega)).$$

Thus, by means of hypothesis (2.3),

$$((\bar{\varrho} - \varrho)^{1-s})_{\varepsilon > 0} \text{ bounded in } L^\infty(S_T; L^1(\Omega)). \quad (4.33)$$

Comparing (4.32) with (4.33) we conclude

$$[(p_{HS}^N)^\nu]'(\varrho_\varepsilon)\varrho_\varepsilon \text{ bounded in } L^\infty(S_T; L^q(\Omega)) \text{ provided } s \geq q\nu s + q + 1. \quad (4.34)$$

If $s > 3$, we can find ν small enough so that $q > 2$ in (4.34). Consequently,

$$([(p_{HS}^N)^\nu]'(\varrho_\varepsilon)\varrho_\varepsilon) \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon > 0} \text{ is bounded in } L^2(S_T; L^\beta(\Omega)) \text{ for some } \beta > 1;$$

whence

$$\mathcal{B} \left[((p_{HS}^N)^\nu(\varrho_\varepsilon) - [(p_{HS}^N)^\nu]'(\varrho_\varepsilon)\varrho_\varepsilon) \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} ((p_{HS}^N)^\nu(\varrho_\varepsilon) - [(p_{HS}^N)^\nu]'(\varrho_\varepsilon)\varrho_\varepsilon) \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \, dx \right]$$

is bounded in $L^2(S_T; L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega; R^3))$. In view of the uniform bounds (4.25), we conclude that the integral (4.31) remains bounded uniformly for $N \rightarrow \infty$, $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Thus we have obtained the desired conclusion

$$\int_{S_T} \int_{\Omega} p(\varrho_\varepsilon, \vartheta_\varepsilon)^{1+\nu} \, dx \, dt \lesssim 1 \text{ for some } \nu > 0. \quad (4.35)$$

4.5 Conclusion, limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$

In the previous section, we have obtained all available uniform bounds, specifically, the uniform density estimates (4.6), the “dissipative estimates” (4.25), and the pressure estimates (4.35). Now it is standard, extracting suitable subsequences as the case may be, to identify the limits

$$\begin{aligned}\varrho_\varepsilon &\rightarrow \varrho \text{ in } C_{\text{weak}}(S_T; L^q(\Omega)) \text{ for any finite } q, \\ \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon &\rightarrow \mathbf{u} \text{ weakly in } L^2(0, T; W^{1,2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)), \\ \vartheta_\varepsilon &\rightarrow \vartheta \text{ weakly in } L^2(0, T; W^{1,2}(\Omega)).\end{aligned}\tag{4.36}$$

Moreover, it follows from (4.25) that the limit velocity and temperature satisfy the desired boundary conditions

$$\mathbf{u}|_{\partial\Omega} = \mathbf{u}_B, \quad \vartheta|_{\partial\Omega} = \vartheta_B.\tag{4.37}$$

Finally, it is a routine matter to perform the limit in the approximate equation of continuity (4.1), (4.2), the momentum equation (4.3), the entropy inequality (4.4), and the ballistic energy balance (4.10) as long as we can show strong (pointwise a.a.) convergence

$$\varrho_\varepsilon \rightarrow \varrho, \quad \vartheta_\varepsilon \rightarrow \vartheta \text{ a.a. in } (0, T) \times \Omega.\tag{4.38}$$

This is a non-trivial task, however nowadays well understood. In particular, the compactness arguments based on Div-curl Lemma and Lions’ identity can be modified to accommodate the time periodic setting exactly as in [15, Section 9.3].

We have proved Theorem 2.2.

5 Concluding remarks

As already pointed out, the above result can be easily extended to the case of time periodic boundary velocity as well as time periodic potential G . A more delicate issue would be considering general inflow/outflow boundary conditions in the spirit of [1]. The present approximation does not apply as the total mass is generally not conserved. Adopting the indirect method of [1] based applying a fixed point argument to the associated Poincaré map would result in essential technical difficulties due to the presence of the internal energy equation.

The possibility of eliminating the hard-sphere pressure component remains largely open as long as the boundary velocity is non-zero. The main and possibly the only unsurmountable problem is controlling the convective term as in Section 4.3.2. Note that the same difficulty arises at the level of stationary solutions, cf. [11].

References

- [1] A. Abbatiello and E. Feireisl. On the motion of a compressible viscous fluid driven by time periodic inflow/outflow boundary conditions. 2021. To appear in J. Dynamics Differential Equations.

- [2] D. Basarić, E. Feireisl, M. Medvidřová-Lukáčřová, H. Mizerová, and Y. Yuan. Penalization method for the Navier–Stokes–Fourier system. *Arxive Preprint Series*, **arXiv 2112.10549**, 2021. To appear in ESAIM: Mathematical Modelling and Numerical Analysis.
- [3] M. E. Bogovskii. Solution of some vector analysis problems connected with operators div and grad (in Russian). *Trudy Sem. S.L. Sobolev*, **80**(1):5–40, 1980.
- [4] D. Bresch and B. Desjardins. Stabilité de solutions faibles globales pour les équations de Navier-Stokes compressibles avec température. *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, **343**:219–224, 2006.
- [5] D. Bresch and B. Desjardins. On the existence of global weak solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations for viscous compressible and heat conducting fluids. *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, **87**:57–90, 2007.
- [6] D. Bresch and P.-E. Jabin. Global existence of weak solutions for compressible Navier-Stokes equations: thermodynamically unstable pressure and anisotropic viscous stress tensor. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, **188**(2):577–684, 2018.
- [7] J. Březina, E. Feireisl, and A. Novotný. Globally bounded trajectories for the barotropic Navier-Stokes system with general boundary conditions. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, **45**(12):1820–1832, 2020.
- [8] J. Březina and Y. Kagei. Decay properties of solutions to the linearized compressible Navier-Stokes equation around time-periodic parallel flow. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.*, **22**(7):1250007, 53, 2012.
- [9] J. Březina and Y. Kagei. Spectral properties of the linearized compressible Navier-Stokes equation around time-periodic parallel flow. *J. Differential Equations*, **255**(6):1132–1195, 2013.
- [10] N. Chaudhuri and E. Feireisl. Navier–Stokes–Fourier system with Dirichlet boundary conditions. **arxiv preprint No. 2106.05315**, 2021.
- [11] I. S. Ciuperca, E. Feireisl, M. Jai, and A. Petrov. Stationary solutions of the Navier-Stokes–Fourier system in planar domains with impermeable boundary. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, **140**:110–138, 2020.
- [12] R.J. DiPerna and P.-L. Lions. Ordinary differential equations, transport theory and Sobolev spaces. *Invent. Math.*, **98**:511–547, 1989.
- [13] E. Feireisl, P. Gwiazda, and A. Świerczewska-Gwiazda. Time periodic motion of temperature driven compressible fluids. *Arxive Preprint Series*, **arxiv preprint No. 2204.05374**, 2022.
- [14] E. Feireisl and Y.-S. Kwon. Asymptotic stability of solutions to the Navier-Stokes–Fourier system driven by inhomogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations.(7)*, **47**:1435–1456, 2022.

- [15] E. Feireisl, P. Mucha, A. Novotný, and M. Pokorný. Time periodic solutions to the full Navier-Stokes-Fourier system. *Arch. Rational. Mech. Anal.*, **204**:745–786, 2012.
- [16] E. Feireisl and A. Novotný. *Singular limits in thermodynamics of viscous fluids*. Advances in Mathematical Fluid Mechanics. Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2017. Second edition.
- [17] E. Feireisl and A. Novotný. *Mathematics of open fluid systems*. Birkhäuser–Verlag, Basel, 2022.
- [18] E. Feireisl and P. Zhang. Quasi-neutral limit for a model of viscous plasma. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, **197**(1):271–295, 2010.
- [19] G. P. Galdi. *An introduction to the mathematical theory of the Navier - Stokes equations, Second Edition*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2003.
- [20] M. Geißert, H. Heck, and M. Hieber. On the equation $\operatorname{div} u = g$ and Bogovskii’s operator in Sobolev spaces of negative order. In *Partial differential equations and functional analysis*, volume 168 of *Oper. Theory Adv. Appl.*, pages 113–121. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2006.
- [21] Ch. Jin and T. Yang. Time periodic solution to the compressible Navier-Stokes equations in a periodic domain. *Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B (Engl. Ed.)*, **36**(4):1015–1029, 2016.
- [22] Y. Kagei and R. Oomachi. Stability of time periodic solution of the Navier-Stokes equation on the half-space under oscillatory moving boundary condition. *J. Differential Equations*, **261**(6):3366–3413, 2016.
- [23] Y. Kagei and K. Tsuda. Existence and stability of time periodic solution to the compressible Navier-Stokes equation for time periodic external force with symmetry. *J. Differential Equations*, **258**(2):399–444, 2015.
- [24] H. Kozono and T. Yanagisawa. Leray’s problem on the stationary Navier-Stokes equations with inhomogeneous boundary data. *Math. Z.*, **262**(1):27–39, 2009.
- [25] K. Tsuda. Existence and stability of time periodic solution to the compressible Navier-Stokes-Korteweg system on \mathbb{R}^3 . *J. Math. Fluid Mech.*, **18**(1):157–185, 2016.
- [26] A. Valli. Navier-Stokes equations for compressible fluids: global estimates and periodic solutions. In *Nonlinear functional analysis and its applications, Part 2 (Berkeley, Calif., 1983)*, volume 45 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 467–476. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1986.
- [27] A. Valli and M. Zajaczkowski. Navier-Stokes equations for compressible fluids: Global existence and qualitative properties of the solutions in the general case. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **103**:259–296, 1986.

- [28] R. Yang, K. Leong, Chong, Q. Wang, R. Verzicco, O. Shishkina, and D. Lohse. Periodically modulated thermal convection. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **125**:154502, 2020.