

# THE UPPER PALEOLITHIC ON THE MIDDLE COURSE OF THE MORAVA RIVER

Petr Škrdla



Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Institute of Archaeology in Brno

Brno 2005

**THE UPPER PALEOLITHIC  
ON THE  
MIDDLE COURSE OF THE MORAVA RIVER**

**Petr Škrdla**

Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Institute of Archaeology in Brno

Brno 2005

# CONTENTS:

## **A Word from the Series Editor**

### **Preface**

## **Chapter 1**

### **Introduction**

---

9

1.1. Geography	9
1.1.1. Geographic Settings of the Uherské Hradiště Area	9
1.1.2. Climatic Conditions	10
1.1.3. The Geography of Paleolithic Settlement in the Uherské Hradiště Area	11
1.2. Geology	11
1.2.1. Geological Composition of the Uherské Hradiště Area	11
1.2.2. Geological Composition in the Vicinity of the Jarošov II Site Cluster	12
1.2.3. Raw Materials	12
1.2.3.1. Raw Materials Available in the Uherské Hradiště Area and its Surroundings	12
1.2.3.2. Imported Raw Materials	14
1.3. History of research in the Uherské Hradiště Area	15

## **Chapter 2**

### **Jarošov II**

---

19

2.1. The Jarošov II Site Cluster	19
2.1.1. Spatial Definition of the Jarošov II Site Cluster	19
2.1.2. History of Research at the Jarošov II Site Cluster	20
2.2. Jarošov II-Žleb	22
2.3. Jarošov II-Kopaniny	23
2.4. Jarošov II-Podvršťa	25
2.4.1. Site Location	25
2.4.2. Fieldwork Methodology	27
2.4.3. Stratigraphy	31
2.4.4. Dating	35
2.4.5. Stone Industry	37
2.4.5.1. Knapped Stone Industry	37
2.4.5.1.1. Raw Materials	37
2.4.5.1.2. Technology	39
2.4.5.1.3. Typology	45
2.4.5.2. Heavy Duty Implements	50
2.4.6. Other Finds	50
2.4.7. Spatial Distribution	63
2.4.8. Interpretation	70
2.5. Conclusion	71

## **Chapter 3**

### **Catalogue of Sites in the Area**

---

73

3.1. Introduction	73
3.2. Catalogue	74
3.3. List of Sites	160

4.1. Introduction	163
4.1.1. Theoretical Background of Settlements Strategies Studies	163
4.1.2. Post-depositional Changes and Their Influence on the Survival of Paleorelief	165
4.1.2.1. The Spytihněv-Napajedla Microregion – A Case Study	166
4.1.2.2. Concluding remarks	167
4.1.3. Identifying Sites in a Landscape	168
4.1.3.1. Theoretical Background	168
4.1.3.2. History of Site Identification in the Uherské Hradiště Area	168
4.2. Field Survey and Data Analysis	169
4.2.1. Field Survey	169
4.2.2. Localities Database	171
4.2.3. Relief Digitalization and Visualization	171
4.3. The Gravettian Settlement Strategy	172
4.3.1. The Gravettian Settlement Structure in the Uherské Hradiště Area	172
4.3.2. A Definition of the Gravettian Settlement Strategy	174
4.3.3. A Discussion on the Gravettian Settlement Strategy	175
4.4. The Aurignacian Settlement Strategy	177
4.4.1. The Gravettian Settlement Structure in the Uherské Hradiště Area	177
4.4.2. A Definition of the Aurignacian Settlement Strategy	180
4.4.3. A Discussion on the Aurignacian Settlement Strategy	181
4.5. A Comparison of Gravettian and Aurignacian Strategies	184

**Appendix A**

<b>Jarošov-Podvršt'á. Faunal Anomaly among Gravettian sites. Osteological Material Analysis</b> by Rudolf Musil	203
---	-----

**Appendix B**

<b>Faunal Remains from the Sites of Spytihněv-Duchonice, Jarošov-Kopaniny, and Napajedla-Brickyard</b> by Miriam Nývltová Fišáková	217
--	-----

**Appendix C**

<b>Micropetrographic Analysis of Baked Clay Lumps from the Site of Jarošov-Podvršt'á</b> by Martin Hložek and Miroslava Gregerová	223
---	-----

**Appendix D**

<b>Geographic Names</b>	227
-------------------------	-----

<b>The Upper Paleolithic on the Middle Course of the Morava River (Czech summary)</b>	229
---	-----